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Abstract

The spanning ratio of a connected graph defined on $n$ points in the Euclidean plane is the maximal ratio over all pairs of data points $(u, v)$, of the minimum graph distance between $u$ and $v$, over the Euclidean distance between $u$ and $v$. A connected graph is said to be a $k$-spanner if regardless of the point configuration, the spanning ratio does not exceed $k$. For example, for any $k$, there exists a point set whose minimum spanning tree is not a $k$-spanner. At the other end of the spectrum, a Delaunay triangulation is guaranteed to be a 2.42-spanner[11]. For proximity graphs in between these two extremes, such as Gabriel graphs[8], relative neighborhood graphs[16] and $\beta$-skeletons[12] with $\beta \in [0, 2]$ some interesting questions arise. We show that the spanning ratio for Gabriel graphs (which are $\beta$-skeletons with $\beta = 1$) is $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ in the worst case. For all $\beta$-skeletons with $\beta \in [0, 1]$, we prove that the spanning ratio is at most $O(n^{\gamma})$ where $\gamma = (1 - \log_2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}))/2$. For all $\beta$-skeletons with $\beta \in [1, 2)$, we prove that there exist point sets whose spanning ratio is at least $(\frac{1}{2} - o(1)) \sqrt{n}$. For relative neighborhood graphs[16] (skeletons with $\beta = 2$), we show that there exist point sets where the spanning ratio is $\Omega(n)$. For points drawn independently from the uniform distribution on the unit square, we show that the spanning ratio of the (random) Gabriel graph (and all $\beta$-skeletons with $\beta \in [1, 2]$) tends to $\infty$ in probability as $\sqrt{\log n}/\log \log n$.
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1 Introduction.

Many problems in geometric network design, pattern recognition and classification, geographic variation analysis, geographic information systems, computational geometry, computational morphology, and computer vision use the underlying structure (also referred to as the skeleton or internal shape) of a set of data points revealed by means of a proximity graph (see for example [16], [13], [7], [9]). A proximity graph attempts to exhibit the relation between points in a point set. Two points are joined by an edge if they are deemed close by some proximity measure. It is the measure that determines the type of graph that results. Many different measures of proximity have been defined, giving rise to many different types of proximity graphs. An extensive survey on the current research in proximity graphs can be found in Jaromczyk and Toussaint [9].
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In this paper, we are concerned with the spanning ratio of proximity graphs. Consider \( n \) points in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), and define a proximity graph on these points, such as the Gabriel graph [8], or the relative neighborhood graph [16]. We assume that the graphs are connected. For a pair of data points \((u, v)\), the length of the shortest path measured by Euclidean distance is denoted by \( L(u, v) \), while the direct Euclidean distance is \( D(u, v) \). The spanning ratio of the graph is defined by

\[
S \overset{\text{def}}{=} \max_{(u, v)} \frac{L(u, v)}{D(u, v)},
\]

where the maximum is over all \( \binom{n}{2} \) pairs of data points. Graphs with small spanning ratios are important in some applications (see [7] for a survey on spanners). The history for the Delaunay triangulation is interesting. First, Chew [2, 3] showed that in the worst case, \( S \geq \pi/2 \). Subsequently, Dobkin et al. [5] showed that the Delaunay triangulation was a \(((1 + \sqrt{5})/2)\pi \approx 5.08\) spanner. Finally, Keil and Gutwin [10, 11] improve this to \( 2\pi/(3\cos(\pi/6)) \approx 2.42\). It is conjectured that the spanning ratio of the Delaunay triangulation is \( \pi/2 \). The complete graph has \( S = 1 \), but is less interesting because the number of edges is not linear but quadratic in \( n \).

In this paper, we concentrate on a family of proximity graphs known as \( \beta \)-skeletons [12] with \( \beta \) in the interval \([0, 2]\). This family of graphs contains certain well-known proximity graphs such as the Gabriel graph [8] when \( \beta = 1 \) and the relative neighborhood graph [16] when \( \beta = 2 \). As graphs become sparser, their spanning ratios increase. For example, it is trivial to show that for any \( k \), there are planar minimal spanning trees with \( n \) vertices for which \( S \geq k \), whereas the Delaunay triangulation has a constant spanning ratio.

In this note, we probe the expanse in between these two extremes. We show that for any \( n \), in the plane, there exists a point set whose Gabriel graph satisfies \( S \geq c \sqrt{n} \), where \( c \) is a universal constant. We also show that for any Gabriel graph in the plane, \( S \leq c' \sqrt{n} \) for another constant \( c' \). For all \( \beta \)-skeletons with \( \beta \in [0, 1] \), we prove that the spanning ratio is at most \( O(n^\gamma) \) where \( \gamma = (1 - \log_2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \beta^2})) / 2 \). For all \( \beta \)-skeletons with \( \beta \in [1, 2] \), we prove that there exist point sets whose spanning ratio is at least \( \left( \frac{1}{2} - o(1) \right) \sqrt{n} \). For relative neighborhood graphs, we show that there exist point sets where the spanning ratio is \( \Omega(n) \). The second part of the paper deals with point sets drawn independently from the uniform distribution on the unit square. We show that the spanning ratio of the (random) Gabriel graph (and thus all \( \beta \)-skeletons with \( \beta \in [1, 2] \) tends to \( \infty \) in probability as \( \sqrt{\log n/\log \log n} \).

\section{Preliminaries}

We begin by defining some of the graph theoretic and geometric terminology used in this paper. For more details see [1] and [15].

A graph \( G = (V, E) \) consists of a finite non-empty set \( V(G) \) of \textit{vertices}, and a set \( E(G) \) of unordered pairs of vertices known as \textit{edges}. An edge \( e \in E(G) \) consisting of vertices \( u \) and \( v \) is denoted by \( e = uv \); \( u \) and \( v \) are called the \textit{endpoints} of \( e \) and are said to be \textit{adjacent} vertices or \textit{neighbors}. The \textit{degree} of a vertex \( v \in V(G) \), denoted by \( \deg_G(v) \) (or just \( \deg(v) \) when no confusion will result), is the number of edges of \( E(G) \) which have \( v \) as an endpoint. A \textit{path} in a graph \( G \) is a finite non-null sequence \( P = v_1v_2 \ldots v_k \) where the vertices \( v_1, v_2, \ldots v_k \) are distinct and \( v_i, v_{i+1} \) is an edge for each \( i = 1 \ldots k - 1 \). The vertices \( v_1 \) and \( v_k \) are known as the \textit{endpoints} of the path. A \textit{cycle} is a path whose endpoints are the same. A graph is \textit{connected} if, for each pair of vertices \( u, v \in V \), there is a path from \( u \) to \( v \).

Intuitively speaking, a \textit{proximity graph} on a finite set \( P \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) is obtained by connecting pairs of points of \( P \) with line segments if the points are considered to be close in some sense.
Different definitions of closeness give rise to different proximity graphs. One technique for defining a proximity graph on a set of points is to select a geometric region defined by two points of $P$—for example the smallest disk containing the two points—and then specifying that a segment is drawn between the two points if and only if this region contains no other points from $P$. Such a region will be referred to as a \textit{region of influence} of the two points. Four such definitions follow.

Given a set $P$ of points in $\mathbb{R}^2$, the \textit{relative neighborhood graph} of $P$, denoted by $\text{RNG}(P)$, has a segment between points $u$ and $v$ in $P$ if the intersection of the open disks of radius $D(u,v)$ centered at $u$ and $v$ is empty. This region of influence is referred to as the \textit{lune} of $u$ and $v$. Equivalently, $u,v \in S$ are adjacent if and only if

$$D(u,v) \leq \max[D(u,w), D(v,w)], \text{ for all } w \in S, w \neq u,v.$$ 

The \textit{Gabriel graph} of $P$, denoted by $\text{GG}(P)$, has as its region of influence the closed disk having segment $\overline{uv}$ as diameter. That is, two vertices $u,v \in S$ are adjacent if and only if

$$D^2(u,v) < D^2(u,w) + D^2(v,w), \text{ for all } w \in S, w \neq u,v.$$ 

A \textit{Delaunay triangulation} of a set $P$ of points in the plane, denoted by $\text{DT}(P)$, is a triangulation of $P$ such that for each interior face, the triangle which bounds that face has the property that the circle circumscribing the triangle contains no other points of the graph in its interior. A set $P$ may admit more than one Delaunay triangulation, but only if $P$ contains four or more co-circular points. A list of properties of the Delaunay triangulation can be found in [15].

Given a finite set $P$ of distinct points in $\mathbb{R}^2$, we define the $\beta$-skeleton of $P$. $\beta$-skeletons are a family of graphs having vertex set $P$, parametrized by the value of $\beta$. For each pair $x,y$ of points in $P$, we define the region of influence for a given value of $\beta$, and denote this region as $R(x,y,\beta)$.

1. For $\beta = 0$, $R(x,y,\beta)$ is the line segment $\overline{xy}$.
2. For $0 < \beta < 1$, $R(x,y,\beta)$ is the intersection of the two disks of radius $D(x,y)/(2\beta)$ passing through both $x$ and $y$.
3. For $1 \leq \beta < \infty$, $R(x,y,\beta)$ is the intersection of the two disks of radius $\beta D(x,y)/2$ and centered at the points $((1-\beta)/2)x + (\beta/2)y$ and $(\beta/2)x + (1-\beta/2)y$, respectively.
4. For $\beta = \infty$, $R(x,y,\beta)$ is the infinite strip perpendicular to the line segment $\overline{xy}$.

Now consider the set of segments $\overline{xy}$ with $x,y \in P$ such that $R(x,y,\beta) \cap P \setminus \{x,y\} = \emptyset$ (i.e. the set of edges $\overline{xy}$ whose region of influence contains no points of $P \setminus \{x,y\}$). This set of distinct points and segments naturally defines a graph called the \textit{$\beta$-skeleton} of $P$ [12]. Notice that different values of the parameter $\beta$ give rise to different graphs. Note also that different graphs may result for the same value of $\beta$ if the regions of influence are constructed with open rather than closed disks, however, these boundary effects do not alter our results. When necessary, we will explicitly state whether the region of influence is open or closed. These graphs will be referred to as open $\beta$-skeletons and closed $\beta$-skeletons, respectively. When $\beta = 1$ the closed $\beta$-skeleton is the Gabriel graph and when $\beta = 2$ the open $\beta$-skeleton is the relative neighborhood graph.

Also, as the value of $\beta$ increases, the graphs become sparser since the region of influence increases in size. $\beta$-skeletons with $\beta \leq 2$ are connected. Therefore, we will concentrate on the interval $\beta \in [0,2]$.

\textbf{Observation 1} Let $G_1$ and $G_2$ be two $\beta$-skeletons of the point set $P$, with parameter $\beta$ fixed at $k$ and $k'$, respectively. If $k \leq k'$, then $G_2 \subseteq G_1$. 
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Finally, we describe another graph, a minimum spanning tree, which is not defined in terms of a region of influence. Given a set $P$ of points in the plane, consider a connected straight-line graph $G$ on $P$, that is, a graph having as its edge set $E$ a collection of line segments connecting pairs of vertices of $P$. Define the weight of $G$ to be the sum of all of the edge lengths of $G$. Such a graph is called a minimum spanning tree of $P$, denoted by $MST(P)$, if its weight is no greater than the weight of any other connected straight-line graph on $P$. (It is easy to see that such a graph must be a tree.) In general, a set $P$ may have many minimum spanning trees (for example, if $P$ consists of the vertices of a regular polygon).

The following relationships among the different proximity graphs hold for any finite set $P$ of points in the plane.

**Lemma 1** [15] $MST(P) \subseteq RNG(P) \subseteq GG(P) \subseteq DT(P)$

![Figure 1: Gabriel graph for a random point set.](image)

3 A lower bound on the spanning ratio.

We begin with a deterministic lower bound on the spanning ratio of $\beta$-skeletons. The example developed in this section is essential for the understanding of the results on random Gabriel graphs.
**Theorem 1** For any $n \geq 2$, there exists a set of $n$ points in the plane whose $\beta$-skeleton with $\beta \in [1, 2]$ has spanning ratio

$$S \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - o(1)\right) \sqrt{n}.$$ 

Note: That $\beta = 1$ is the Gabriel graph and that all $\beta$-skeletons with $\beta > 1$ are subgraphs of the Gabriel graph. Also, the $1/2 - o(1)$ factor can be improved to $2/3$.

**Proof.** Let $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Place points $p_i$ and $q_i$ at locations $(-r_i, y_i)$ and $(r_i, y_i)$ respectively ($1 \leq i \leq m$) where

$$r_i = 1 - (i - 1)/n$$

$$y_i = (i - 1)/\sqrt{n}$$

If $n$ is odd place the remaining point at the same location as $p_1$.

We claim that for each pair $p_i, q_i$, the circle with diameter $p_i q_i$ contains the points $p_{i+1}$ and $q_{i+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m - 1$). Let $d$ be the distance from the center of the circle with diameter $p_i q_i$ to the point $p_{i+1}$. For $p_{i+1}$ to lie within this circle, $d$ must be at most $r_i$. By construction,

$$d = \sqrt{(r_i - 1/n)^2 + 1/n}.$$ 

Thus we require $(r_i - 1/n)^2 + 1/n \leq r_i^2$ or, equivalently, $r_i \geq 1/2 + 1/(2n)$, which holds for $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$.

It follows that when $i \leq j$, edge $p_i q_j$ does not belong to the Gabriel graph of these points (unless $i = j = m$), since $p_{i+1}$ lies in or on the circle with diameter $p_i q_i$. Similarly, when $i > j$, edge $p_i q_j$ is precluded by point $q_{j+1}$.

The Euclidean distance between $p_1$ and $q_1$ is two. However, the shortest path from $p_1$ to $q_1$ using Gabriel graph edges is at least $2y_m$, which results in a spanning ratio of

$$S = y_m = (\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1)/\sqrt{n} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - o(1)\right) \sqrt{n}.$$ 

\[\square\]
When $\beta$ is in the interval $(0, 1]$, Eppstein\cite{6} presents an elegant fractal construction that provides a non-constant lower bound on the spanning ratio. His result is summarized in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2** For any $n = 5^k + 1$, there exists a set of $n$ points in the plane whose $\beta$-skeleton with $\beta \in (0, 1]$ has spanning ratio $\Omega(n^c)$, where $c = \log_2(5/(3 + 2\sin(\theta)))$ and $\theta < (\pi - \sin^{-1}(\beta))/2$.

The spanning ratio leaps to infinity for $\beta > 2$, since past this point, the graph may be disconnected. Therefore, it only makes sense to consider spanning ratios when $\beta \in [0, 2]$. When $\beta = 0$, the $\beta$-skeleton of a point set has spanning ratio 1. Note that for Gabriel graphs, the above result implies a ratio of $\Omega(n^c), 0.077 < c < 0.078$, thus for $\beta \geq 1$, Theorem 1 provides a much stonger bound of $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$.

4 Lower Bound for relative neighborhood graphs

In this section, we show that there exist point sets where the spanning ratio for relative neighborhood graphs (open $\beta$-skeletons with $\beta = 2$) is $\Omega(n)$.

**Lemma 2** The spanning ratio for the relative neighborhood graph of a set of $n$ points in the plane can be $\Omega(n)$.

**Proof.** Refer to Figure 2. Let $\theta = 60 - \epsilon$ and $\alpha = 60 + 2\epsilon$. We will fix $\epsilon$ later. Since $\alpha + 2\theta = \pi$, the points $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n$ are colinear. Similarly, the points $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_n$ are colinear. The point $a_{i+1}$ blocks the edge $a_i b_i$. An edge $a_i b_j$ for $i < j$ is blocked by $a_{i+1}$ and an edge $a_i b_j$ for $i > j$ is blocked by $b_{i+1}$. Thus, the only edges in the RNG of these points are $a_i a_{i+1}, b_i b_{i+1}$ and $a_n, b_n$. Let $A_i = ||a_{i+1} - a_i||$. Let $B_i = ||b_{i+1} - b_i||$.

Triangle$(a_0, a_1, b_0)$ and Triangle$(a_1, b_1, b_0)$ are similar, therefore, $B_0 = A_0^2/A$. By the same argument, $A_1 = A_0^3/A^2$, and $B_1 = A_1^4/A^3$. In general, $A_i = A_0^{2i+1}/A^{2i}$ and $B_i = A_0^{2i+2}/A^{2i+1}$.

We choose an $\epsilon$ so that $A_0/A > (1/2)^{1/2n}$. Let $L$ be the length of the path from $a_0$ to $b_0$. $L > \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i + B_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i (A_0/A)^i$. Since $A_0/A > (1/2)^{1/2n}$, we have that $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_0 (A_0/A)^i > 1/2 \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} A_0 = A_0 n$. Therefore, $L > A_0 n$.

**Lemma 3** For any $\beta \leq 2$, the ratio $L(x, y)/D(x, y) \leq n - 1$.

**Proof.** Let $G$ be the $\beta$-skeleton of a set of $n$ points $P$. Note that the minimum spanning tree $MST(P)$ is contained in $G$. Let $x, y$ be two points in $P$.

Let $L(x, y)$ be the length of the unique path from $x$ to $y$ in $MST(P)$. This path has at most $n - 1$ edges and each edge must have length at most $D(x, y)$, otherwise, $MST(P)$ can be made shorter. Therefore, $L(x, y) \leq (n - 1) D(x, y)$.

5 Upper Bound

The upper bound established in this section applies to $\beta$-skeletons for $\beta \in [0, 1]$. The $\beta$-skeleton of a point set $P$ for $\beta \in [0, 1]$ is a graph in which points $x$ and $y$ in $P$ are connected by an edge if and only if there is no other point $z \in S$ such that $\angle xyz \geq \pi - \arcsin \beta$. Recall that the Gabriel graph is the closed $\beta$-skeleton of $P$ with $\beta = 1$ and is a subgraph of the Delaunay triangulation of $P$. 
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To upper bound the spanning ratio of $\beta$-skeletons, we show that there exits a special walk $SW(x,y)$ in the $\beta$-skeleton between the endpoints of any Delaunay edge $xy$. We upper bound the length $|SW(x,y)|$ of $SW(x,y)$ as a multiple of $D(x,y)$. We then combine this with an upper bound on the spanning ratio of Delaunay triangulations [10, 11] to obtain our result.

Let $DT(S)$ be the Delaunay triangulation of a points set $P$. In order to describe the walk between the endpoints of a Delaunay edge, we define the peak of a Delaunay edge.

**Lemma 4** Let $xy$ be an edge of $DT(P)$. Either $xy$ is an edge of the $\beta$-skeleton of $P$ or there exists a unique $z$ (called the peak of $xy$) such that triangle($xyz$) is in $DT(P)$ and $z$ lies in the $\beta$-region of $xy$.

**Proof.** Suppose $xy \in DT(P)$ is not an edge in the $\beta$-skeleton of $P$. Then there exists a point $v \in P$ such that $\angle xvy > \pi - \arcsin \beta$. Since $xy$ is an edge of $DT(P)$, there exists a unique $z$ on the same side of $xy$ as $v$ such that $\text{disc}(xyz)$ is empty. This implies $\angle xzy \geq \angle xvy$ and thus $z$ lies in the $\beta$-region of $xy$. Since $\beta \leq 1$, $\text{disc}(xyz)$ contains that part of the $\beta$-region of $xy$ which lies on the other side of $xy$ from $z$. Since this circle is empty, $z$ is unique.

We now define the walk $SW(x,y)$ between the endpoints of the Delaunay edge $xy$. (Note that in a walk edges may be repeated. See Bondy and Murty for details [1].)

$$SW(x,y) = \begin{cases} x y & \text{if } xy \in \beta\text{-skeleton of } P \\ SW(x,z) \cup SW(z,y) & \text{otherwise (} z \text{ is the peak of } xy) \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 5** Given a set $P$ of $n$ points in the plane. If $xy \in DT(P)$ then the number of edges in $SW(x,y)$ is at most $6n - 12$.

**Proof.** Since a Delaunay edge is adjacent to at most two Delaunay triangles, an edge can occur at most twice in the walk $SW(x,y)$. Since there are at most $3n - 6$ edges in $DT(S)$ by Euler's
Lemma 6 Let $P$ be a set of $n$ points in the plane. For all $x, y \in S$, if $xy \in DT(P)$ then

$$|SW(x, y)| \leq m^\gamma D(x, y)$$

where $\gamma = (1 - \log_2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}))/2$ and $m$ is the number of edges in $SW(x, y)$.

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on the number of edges $m$ in $SW(x, y)$. When $m = 1$, i.e. $SW(x, y)$ is simply the line segment from $x$ to $y$, the lemma clearly holds.

If $m > 1$, then $|SW(x, y)| = |SW(x, z)| + |SW(z, y)|$ for $z$ the peak of $xy$. Let $k$ be the number of edges in $SW(x, z)$. Thus, $m - k$ is the number of edges in $SW(z, y)$. Let $a = D(x, y)$, $b = D(x, z)$, and $c = D(y, z)$. Since $xz$ and $zy$ are Delaunay edges, by induction, $|SW(x, z)| \leq bk^\gamma$ and $|SW(z, y)| \leq c(m - k)^\gamma$. Thus it suffices to prove that

$$bk^\gamma + c(m - k)^\gamma \leq am^\gamma .$$

As a function of $k$ the left-hand side of the equation is maximized when $k = mc^\phi/(b^\phi + c^\phi)$ where $\phi = 1/(\gamma - 1)$. With this substitution for $k$, after factoring $m^\gamma$, it remains to show,

$$b \left(\frac{c^\phi}{b^\phi + c^\phi}\right)^\gamma + c \left(\frac{b^\phi}{b^\phi + c^\phi}\right)^\gamma \leq a .$$
By the law of cosines, \( a^2 = b^2 + c^2 - 2bc \cos A \) where \( A \) is the angle at the peak \( z \). Thus we need only show,

\[
b \left( \frac{c^\phi}{b^\phi + c^\phi} \right)^\gamma + c \left( \frac{b^\phi}{b^\phi + c^\phi} \right)^\gamma - \sqrt{b^2 + c^2 - 2bc \cos A} \leq 0.
\]

This inequality holds for \( b,c \) if and only if it holds for \( ab, ab \) for all \( a > 0 \). Thus we may assume that \( b + c = 1 \). The left-hand side, as a function of \( b \), is maximized at \( b = 1/2 \), and the inequality holds as long as \( \gamma \geq (1 - \log_2(1 - \cos A))/2 \). The angle \( A \) is minimized (thus maximizing \( (1 - \log_2(1 - \cos A))/2 \)) when \( z \) lies on the boundary of the \( \beta \)-region. For such \( z \), \( 1 - \cos A = 1 + \sqrt{1 - \beta^2} \).

\[\square\]

**Theorem 3** The spanning ratio of the \( \beta \)-skeleton of a set \( P \) of \( n \) points in the plane is at most

\[
\frac{4\pi(6n - 12)^\gamma}{3\sqrt{3}}
\]

where \( \gamma = (1 - \log_2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}))/2. \)

**Proof.** Given two arbitrary points \( x, y \) in \( P \), let \( M = e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_j \) represent the shortest path between \( x \) and \( y \) in \( DT(P) \). Keil and Gutwin [10, 11] have shown that the length of \( P \) is at most \( 2\pi/(3 \cos(\pi/6)) \) times \( D(x, y) \).

For each edge \( e_i \) in \( M \), by Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, we know there exists a path in the \( \beta \)-skeleton whose length is at most \((6n - 12)^\gamma \) times the length of \( e_i \). Therefore, the shortest path between \( x \) and \( y \) in the \( \beta \)-skeleton has length at most \( 2\pi(6n - 12)^\gamma/(3 \cos(\pi/6)) \) times \( D(x, y) \). The theorem follows.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 1** The spanning ratio of the Gabriel graph of an \( n \)-point set is at most

\[
\frac{4\pi}{3\sqrt{2n - 4}}.
\]

When \( \beta \) lies strictly between 0 and 1, there is a gap between the upper bound and lower bound on the spanning ratio of \( \beta \)-skeletons. As noted in section 3, the spanning ratio is at least \( \Omega(n^\theta) \) where \( c = \log_5(5/(3 + 2 \sin(\theta))) \) and \( \theta < (\pi - \sin^{-1} \beta)/2 \). We have shown here that the spanning ratio is at most \( O(n^\gamma) \) where \( \gamma = (1 - \log_2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}))/2 \). Refer to Figure 4 for a graph of the difference in the exponents between the upper and lower bound. The gap is closed for Gabriel graphs (\( \beta = 1 \)). For Gabriel graphs, the lower bound construction given in section 3, together with the upper bound given here, show that the spanning ratio is indeed \( \Theta(n^{1/2}) \).

### 6 Random Gabriel graphs.

If \( n \) points are drawn uniformly and at random from the unit square \([0,1]^2\), the spanning ratio of the induced Gabriel graph grows unbounded in probability. In particular, we have the following.

**Theorem 4** If \( n \) points are drawn uniformly and at random from the unit square \([0,1]^2\), and \( S \) is the spanning ratio of the induced Gabriel graph, then

\[
P \left\{ S < c \sqrt{\frac{a \log n}{\log \log n}} \right\} \leq 2e^{-2n^{1-12a-o(1)}}
\]
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Figure 4: Gap between upper and lower bound of spanning ratio

for constant c. Thus, for $a < 1/12$, with probability tending exponentially quickly to one,

$$S \geq c \sqrt{a \log n / \log \log n}.$$  

Proof. The main idea is to show that a set of $n$ points randomly distributed in the unit square contains many tower-like structures of size $c \log n / \log \log n$ each of which has spanning ratio approximately the square root of its size. We first define what a tower-like structure is and then show that the expected number of such structures is large.

A tower-like structure resembles the towers of section 3 but the points may be slightly perturbed. For $i = 1, \ldots, k$, let $A_i$ and $B_i$ be discs both of radius $d/k$ (the constant $d$ will be specified later) located at $(r_i, y_i)$ and $(-r_i, y_i)$ respectively, where the sequences $r_i$ and $y_i$ are given below.

$$r_i = 1 - \frac{i - 1}{2k},$$

$$y_i = (i - 1) \sqrt{\frac{1/2 - (1 + \sqrt{2}) d}{k} \left(1 - \frac{1/2 - (1 + \sqrt{2}) d}{k}\right)}.$$  

The value of $d$ is chosen so that $y_i$ is positive ($d < 1/(2 + 2\sqrt{2})$).

Let $C$ be the smallest square enclosing the $A_i$ and $B_i$ within a border of width $y_k$. (So $C$ extends from $(-3y_k/2 - d/k, -y_k - d/k)$ to $(3y_k/2 + d/k, 2y_k + d/k)$.)
Figure 5: The construction of $A_i$ and $A_{i+1}$.

Assume that each of the $A_i$ and $B_i$ contain exactly one point and $C$ contains no other data point beyond these $2k$ points. We claim that among the points in $C$, the only edges are those connecting $A_1$ with $A_2$, $A_2$ with $A_3$, and so forth, up to $A_{k-1}$ and $A_k$. Then $A_k$ connects with $B_k$, $B_k$ with $B_{k-1}$ and so forth down to $B_1$. The proof of this claim is rather technical and is deferred to appendix A. Note that the $A_i$'s and $B_i$'s are disjoint.

Let $u$ and $v$ be the points in $A_1$ and $B_1$ respectively. We have $D(u, v) \leq 2 + 2d/k$. Also, any path from $u$ to $v$ entirely in $C$ must be equal in length to the chain, which is longer than $2y_k$. If the path leaves $C$, then at least two edges leave $C$, and those edges have length at least $2y_k$, taken together. Thus, $L(u, v) \geq 2y_k$. Therefore,

$$S \geq \frac{L(u, v)}{D(u, v)} \geq \frac{y_k}{1 + d/k} \geq c\sqrt{k},$$

for sufficiently large $k$ where $c$ is a constant that depends on $d$.

Let $bC$ denote the scaled down set $\{bx : x \in C\}$.

Divide $[0,1]^2$ into $n$ non-overlapping tiles of size $1/\sqrt{n} \times 1/\sqrt{n}$. For $b = 1/(4\sqrt{kn})$, $bC$ fits within one of these tiles. Thus we may place $n$ non-overlapping copies of $bC$ within the unit square. For a given data set, we call a tile tower-like if it contains exactly $2k$ data points, one each for $bA_i$ and $bB_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ within it. Let $N$ be the number of tiles that are tower-like.

Clearly, since the distribution is uniform,

$$EN = nP\{\text{a tile is tower-like}\}.$$

Pick one tile and partition the $n$ data points over the following disjoint sets: the $bA_i$'s, the $bB_i$'s, $bC - \cup bA_i \cup bB_i$, and $[0,1]^2 - bC$. The cardinalities of these sets, taken together, form a multinomial random vector with probabilities given by the areas of the sets involved. For example, area $(bA_i) = b^2 \pi d^2/k^2$. According to the formula for the multinomial distribution,

$$P\{\text{a tile is tower-like}\} = \frac{n!}{(n - 2k)!} \left(\frac{b^2 \pi d^2}{k^2}\right)^{2k} (1 - 1/n)^{n-2k}$$
\[
\begin{align*}
\geq & \ (n - 2k + 1)^{2k} \left( \frac{\pi d^2}{16nk^3} \right)^{2k} (1 - 1/n)^n \\
\geq & \ \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{(n - 2k + 1)\pi d^2}{16nk^3} \right)^{2k} \\
\geq & \ \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\pi d^2}{32k^3} \right)^{2k}
\end{align*}
\]
provided that \( n \) is sufficiently large and \( k < (n + 2)/4 \). We conclude that
\[
EN \geq \frac{n}{4} \left( \frac{\pi d^2}{32k^3} \right)^{2k}.
\]
If \( k = a \log n/\log \log n \) for a constant \( a < 1/6 \), then
\[
EN \geq n^{1-6a-o(1)} \to \infty.
\]
For each one of these tower-like squares, there is a pair of data points for which the spanning ratio is at least
\[
c\sqrt{k} \geq c \sqrt{\frac{a \log n}{\log \log n}}.
\]
Change one of the \( n \) data points. That will change the number \( N \) by at most one. But then, by McDiarmid’s inequality [14], we have
\[
\mathbb{P}\{|N - EN| \geq t\} \leq 2e^{-2t^2/n}.
\]
In particular, for fixed \( \epsilon > 0 \),
\[
\mathbb{P}\{|N - EN| \geq \epsilon EN\} \leq 2e^{-2\epsilon^2n^{1-12a-o(1)}} \to 0
\]
when \( a < 1/12 \). This shows that \( N/EN \to 1 \) in probability for such a choice of \( a \) (and thus \( k \)), and thus that for every \( \epsilon > 0 \),
\[
\mathbb{P}\{N < (1 - \epsilon)EN\} \to 0.
\]
As another application, we have
\[
\mathbb{P}\{S < c \sqrt{a \log n/\log \log n}\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{N = 0\} = \mathbb{P}\{N - EN \leq -EN\} \leq 2e^{-2n^{1-12a-o(1)}} \to 0.
\]
Note that this probability decreases exponentially quickly with \( n \). \( \square \)

**Remark 1.** We have implicitly shown several other properties of random Gabriel graphs. For example, a Gabriel graph partitions the plane into a finite number of polygonal regions. The outside polygon which extends to \( \infty \) is excluded. Let \( D_n \) be the maximal number of vertices in these polygons. Then \( D_n \to \infty \) in probability, because \( D_n \) is larger than the maximal size of any tower that occurs in the point set, and this was shown to diverge in probability. From what transpired above, this is bounded from below in probability by \( \Omega(a \log n/\log \log n) \). \( \square \)
Figure 6: Gabriel graph with tower-like square.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \beta = 0 )</th>
<th>( 0 &lt; \beta &lt; 1 )</th>
<th>( \beta = 1 )</th>
<th>( 1 &lt; \beta &lt; 2 )</th>
<th>( \beta = 2 )</th>
<th>( \beta &gt; 2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower Bound</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \Omega(n^c) )</td>
<td>( \Omega(\sqrt{n}) )</td>
<td>( \Omega(\sqrt{n}) )</td>
<td>( \Omega(n) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Bound</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( O(n^c) )</td>
<td>( O(\sqrt{n}) )</td>
<td>( O(n) )</td>
<td>( O(n) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ c = \log_5(5/(3 + 2 \sin(\theta))) \text{ and } \theta < (\pi - \sin^{-1}(\beta))/2. \]
\[ \gamma = (1 - \log_2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}))/2. \]

Table 1: Summary of Results on the Spanning Ratio of \( \beta \)-skeletons

7 Conclusion

We studied the spanning ratio of \( \beta \)-skeletons with \( \beta \) ranging from 0 to 2. This class of proximity graphs includes the Gabriel graph and the relative neighborhood graph. Table 1 summerizes our results. For \( \beta > 2 \), \( \beta \)-skeletons lose connectivity; thus, their spanning ratio leaps to infinity. For points drawn independently from the uniform distribution on the unit square, we showed that the spanning ratio of the (random) Gabriel graph (and all \( \beta \)-skeletons with \( \beta \in [1, 2] \)) tends to \( \infty \) in probability as \( \sqrt{\log n}/\log \log n \).

Several open problems arise from this investigation. It would be interesting to close the gap between upper and lower bounds for \( \beta \)-skeletons in the ranges \( 0 < \beta < 1 \) and \( 1 < \beta < 2 \). Also, for random point sets, it would be interesting to try to find a matching upper bound for the spanning ratio.
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A Tower-like construction

The purpose of this section is to show that the only Gabriel edges among the points in the tower-like construction described in section 3 are between $A_i$ and $A_{i+1}$, $B_i$ and $B_{i+1}$, and $A_k$ and $B_k$ for $i = 1 \ldots k - 1$. Recall that the tower-like construction consists of $2k$ points, one in each of the discs $A_i$, $B_i$ for $i = 1 \ldots k$, where $A_i$ and $B_i$ are discs of radius $d/k$ centered at $(y_i, r_i)$ and $(y_i, -r_i)$ respectively. The sequences $r_i$ and $y_i$ are given below.

$$r_i = 1 - i - \frac{1}{2k}$$

$$y_i = (i - 1) \sqrt{\frac{1/2 - (1 + \sqrt{2})d}{k}} \left( 1 - \frac{1/2 - (1 + \sqrt{2})d}{k} \right).$$

In a Gabriel graph, two points are connected by an edge if and only if the disc whose diameter is the segment joining those points is empty. In our construction, we do not have precise information as to the location of the points. We only know that a point lies within a small disc (whose location we do know). Thus a basic problem is, given two discs, what is the region that, if it contains a point, will forbid an edge between a point in one disc and a point in the other. After we have determined this region, we must show for any two discs in our construction between which we claim no edge exists, that there is a third disc contained within that pair’s region.

Let $A$ and $B$ be two discs each of radius $s$, whose centers are at $p = (r, 0)$ and $q = (-r, 0)$. The region $Q$ we are interested in is the intersection of all discs whose diameter has one endpoint $a$ in $A$ and the other endpoint $b$ in $B$.

We will determine the upper boundary of $Q$ (the points with positive $y$ coordinate). The lower boundary is symmetric. Consider a ray with origin $(0, -r)$ that intersects the segment $pq$. A point


v on this ray is inside Q if and only if for all points a ∈ A and b ∈ B, \( \angle avb \geq \pi/2 \). For each point v, the points a ∈ A and b ∈ B that minimize \( \angle avb \) are the tangent points of the lines through v tangent to the A and B respectively. (Strictly speaking, there are two tangent lines from a point to a disc; and a and b are defined by those tangent lines which form the minimum of the resulting four possible angles.) For v with positive y coordinate, this minimum angle is a continuous, decreasing function of the distance between v and (0, −r). Thus the upper boundary of Q intersects the ray at a single point \( v^* \) where \( \min_{a \in A, b \in B} \angle av^*b = \pi/2 \).

Let T be the circle whose diameter is the segment pq, and let t be the point (other than (0, −r)) where our chosen ray intersects T. We claim that \( v^* \) is the point on the ray that is closer to (0, −r) by \( s\sqrt{2} \). To show this, consider the lines from t to p and q. These lines are parallel to the tangent lines from \( v^* \) to a and b respectively, where a ∈ A and b ∈ B minimize \( \angle av^*b \). In order to establish that \( \overline{v^*a} \) is parallel to \( \overline{tp} \), drop a line perpendicular to \( \overline{tp} \) from \( v^* \) to a point u on \( \overline{tp} \). Since \( \angle pt, (0, −r) = \pi/4 \), the triangle \( \triangle tuu^* \) is a right, isosceles triangle. Its hypotenuse has length \( s\sqrt{2} \) so its sides have length \( s \). Thus \( \overline{v^*a} \) is consistently distance \( s \) from \( \overline{tp} \). The same argument applies to \( \overline{v^*b} \) and \( \overline{tq} \). Since \( \angle ptq = \pi/2 \), the claim is established. It is perhaps surprising that the region Q does not touch A or B.

For our tower-like construction we use a disc to approximate the region Q. The disc D centered at (0, 0) with radius \( r - s\sqrt{2} \) is contained within Q. (Note: The point \( v^* \) appears to lie on the boundary of D in the illustration. This is misleading. The point \( v^* \) does not lie on the boundary of D except for \( v^* \) with x-coordinate equal to 0.) Thus if a point lies within D, there is no Gabriel edge between any two points a ∈ A and b ∈ B. The tower-like construction insures that this is the case for any pair of discs \( A = A_i \) and \( B = B_j \), by placing the discs \( A_{i+1} \) and \( B_{i+1} \) within the disc D. Also the disc D for any pair \( A = A_i \) and \( B = B_j \) with \( i \neq j \) contains either \( A_{i+1} \) if \( i < j \) or \( B_{i+1} \) if \( i > j \). Finally, for \( A = A_i \) and \( B = A_j \) with \( i < j - 1 \), the disc D contains \( A_k \) where \( i < k < j \). (This holds for \( B_i \) discs by symmetry.)
It remains to show that the remaining edges in the tower-like construction do exist. A similar argument to the one presented above establishes that the union of the discs with diameter $ab$ with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ is a region contained in the disc $\hat{D}$ of radius $r + s\sqrt{2}$ centered at the origin. This region is empty for each pair $A = A_i$ and $B = A_{i+1}$ since $r_i \geq 1/2$ while the distance between the centers of $A$ and $B$ is $O(1/\sqrt{k})$. 