

	Coverage: Subfields	How to Organize? Multiple Cross-Cuts	Current Topics
<p>UBC Grad Course in InfoVis IEEE VisWeek 2010 Panel Perspectives on Teaching Data Visualization</p> <p>Tamara Munzner UBC Computer Science 28 October 2010</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> infovis, with one lecture on scivis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> me, and many others scivis, with one lecture on infovis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> even more courses vis: true integration, covering both fields well <ul style="list-style-type: none"> common: really one of above two things rare: true integration with deep coverage of both <ul style="list-style-type: none"> is this holy grail goal? should it be?? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Principles <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Perception, Cognition, ... Techniques/Algorithms <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Focus+Content, Small Multiples, Force-Directed Layout, MDS, Treemaps, Semantic Zooming, ... Data Types <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Graphs/Trees, Tables, High-Dimensional, Text, ... Domains <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Biology, Software, Journalism, Networking, ... Evaluation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> one lecture (common case) common case: grab bag, me too! (now) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intro Design Studies Fundamentals <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Perception/Memory Color Statistical Graphics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Multiples/Interaction Space/Layers/Order Navigation/Zooming Focus+Content High Dimensionality <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Graphs/Trees User Studies
<p>Current Structure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> first half: they read, I lecture. core material. second half: they present <ul style="list-style-type: none"> student presentations on additional material that others not required to read second half: they do projects. types: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> programming <ul style="list-style-type: none"> problem-driven technique-driven implement system from research literature analysis: use existing tool(s) to analyze datasets <ul style="list-style-type: none"> much longer writeup course thus accessible to nonmajors, a few each year. survey 	<p>Beyond Technical Content: Research Skills</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> as central as content material for grad course reading research papers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> several dozen writing technical material <ul style="list-style-type: none"> reading questions project proposal final report (in VisWeek research paper format) giving technical talks <ul style="list-style-type: none"> presentations on topic of their choice project updates final presentation reading reviews <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I give detailed written comments at level of paper review for final material includes both style and content 	<p>Biggest Weakness: No Synthesis Text</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> recurring eval theme: reading load much too heavy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5 readings/class * 2 classes/week * 6 core weeks no textbook with sufficient synthesis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wired textbook great for cognitive principles <ul style="list-style-type: none"> not for communicating what we as a field have learned over past 20 years original readings usually have far different intent than what I want students to think about writing textbook now <ul style="list-style-type: none"> then will restructure course considerably <ul style="list-style-type: none"> more time for design exercises once reading load lighter 	<p>Rethinking Topics: Beyond The Grab-Bag</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> principles <ul style="list-style-type: none"> design process, visual encoding, interaction, general <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2009 nested model: address evaluation-in-carbuncle techniques <ul style="list-style-type: none"> composite views <ul style="list-style-type: none"> spatial ordering, additional channels, pixel-oriented, layering, glyphs adjacent views <ul style="list-style-type: none"> linking between views, types of multiples data reduction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> overview, aggregation, filtering, navigation, focus+content, reducing dimensionality examples (data types) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> graphs, trees, tables, text, geographic, spatial fields
<p>Material</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> book <ul style="list-style-type: none"> summary chapter test-driving book structure available now appears in Shirley ugrad graphics textbook, 3rd ed freely downloadable, thanks to AK, Peters http://www.cs.ubc.ca/labs/magpr/vis/2009/VisChapter/ full book to come hope to have teachable draft by fall 2011 all course material available online http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/infovis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> all 7 years: readings, lectures, demos, projects, ... 	<p>Logistics</p>	<p>Take 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> structure <ul style="list-style-type: none"> readings spread across through term projects in second half of term students pick which topic to present each topic two days: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> first two student presenters then 1 lecture grading: 50% project, 35% presentation, 15% participation 	<p>Take 1 Failure Modes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> projects all on simpler stuff from first half of class, not all the cool stuff at the end students horribly bored by their colleagues presenting on material they'd just read my lectures require lastminute readjustment for good coverage w/o repetition course not accessible to nonCS students since requires programming for projects
<p>Take 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> first half: they read, I lecture. core material. second half: they present, they do projects student presentations on additional material that others not required to read grading: 50% project, 25% presentation, 15% participation, 5% assignment projects can be programming or analysis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> analysis: use existing tool(s) to analyze datasets, much longer writeup course thus accessible to nonmajors, a few each year. 	<p>Getting Them To Do Readings</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Take 2 failure mode: they don't do the reading heavy reading load: 5 readings/lecture, 2 lectures/week, 1st 6 weeks anon eval: "lectures covered material so well I didn't have to do reading" - sigh fic: 75% of participation grade is written questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> due 2 hrs before lecture one question/comment per reading Take 3 failure mode: incoherent/thoughtless questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> fic: graded by buckets: zero, poor, ok, good, great show them examples of each bucket on first day ideally: read (and grade) before lecture <ul style="list-style-type: none"> bring highlighted printout of Qs to raise interesting points during lecture 	<p>Project Structure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Take 1 failure mode: feedback from me about project problems too late <ul style="list-style-type: none"> fic: mandatory meeting(s) with me before written proposals due topic: I have page of project suggestions, but most projects self-initiated <ul style="list-style-type: none"> some dataset/task they care about I highly encourage tie-in to current/proposed thesis research presentation does not have to be on project topic, but can be groups of 2 allowed, a few each year I see no quality correlation with group vs. individual 	<p>Adding Structure for Grading</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> failure modes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> felt too subjective and hard to be consistent my expectations clearest in retrospect fic: add more detail in grading rubric in year i+1, add more detail to expectations for structure in year i+1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> project breakdown, proposal structure 25% Presentations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Content Summary 50%, Synthesis/Critique 20%, Presentation Style 15%, Materials Preparation 15% bucket grades again: zero, poor, ok, good, great

Outcomes

- doing well in course highly correlated with doing well with me in research
 - decide in advance how many slots I have each year
 - go through in order of class rank, offer slot, stop when full.
- later publication not a primary goal
 - a few projects become VisWeek posters
 - no project has become a paper
 - students who work with me typically move on to something more substantial
 - students who work with somebody else don't have time to polish enough for a paper