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## Visualization Analysis \& Design, Half-Day Tutorial
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- Arrange Tabular \& Spatial Data
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- Arrange Networks and Trees
- Map Color and Other Channels
- Manipulate \& Facet
-Reduce: Filter, Aggregate
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Visualization is suitable when there is a need to augment human capabilities rather than replace people with computational decision-making methods.

- don't need vis when fully automatic solution exists and is trusted
- many analysis problems ill-specified
- don't know exactly what questions to ask in advance
- possibilities
- long-term use for end users (ex: exploratory analysis of scientific data)
- presentation of known results (ex: New York Times Upshot)
- stepping stone to assess requirements before developing models
- help automatic solution developers refine \& determine parameters
- help end users of automatic solutions verify, build trust


## Why use an external representation?

Computer-based visualization systems provid visual representations f datasets designed to help people carry out tasks more efiectivery.

- external representation: replace cognition with perception

| Data Panel ¢ ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\# \square B$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ID | Function | LPSLL37_1 | LPSLL37_1_pvals | LPSLL37_2 | LPSLL37_24 | LPSLL3 |  |
| IRAK2 | Kinase | 2.367 | 0.251 | 1.337 | -1.553 |  |  |
| NFKB2 | Transcription factor | -1.14 | 0.972 | -1.03 | 1.303 | 0.807 |  |
| CXCL2 | Chemokine | 1.853 | 0.376 | 4.111 | -1.019 | 0.745 |  |
| CHUK | Kinase | -1.376 | 0.373 | 2.232 | 1.194 | 0.387 |  |
| IL13 | Cytokine | -5.961 |  | 2.139 | -1.236 | 0.601 |  |
| RELA | Transcription factor | -1.077 | 0.564 | -1.169 | 1.943 | 0.594 |  |
| IKBKB | Kinase | 1.167 | 0.29 | 1.421 | -1.907 | 0.286 |  |
| CCL4 | Chemokine | 1.254 | 0.878 | -1.052 | 1.499 | 0.761 |  |
| MAP3K7 |  | 1.01 | 0.956 | -1.096 | 1.222 | 0.8 |  |
| ICAM1 | Adhesion | 1.184 | 0.669 | 1.537 | 1.392 | 0.671 |  |
| IRF1 | Transcription factor | -1.013 | 0.519 | 1.416 | 1.081 | 0.995 |  |
| CXCL3 | Chemokine | 1.7 | 0.905 | 1.092 | -1.598 | 0.521 |  |
| IL12B | Cytokine | -2.448 | 0.042 | -1.473 | -2.109 | 0.08 |  |
| CCL11 | Chemokine | -1.338 | 0.349 | -1.995 | -1.785 | 0.129 |  |
| MAP3K7IP1 | Adaptor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IENS. | rutnkine | -115 | 0801 | ${ }^{075}$ | 1053 | 0521 |  |

## Why use an external representation?

Computer-based visualization systems provid visual representations f datasets designed to help people carry out tasks more efiectivery:

- external representation: replace cognition with perception



## Why represent all the data?

Computer-based visualization systems provide visua representations of datasets designed to help people carry out tasks more effectivery.

- summaries lose information, details matter
- confirm expected and find unexpected patterns
-assess validity of statistical model


## Anscombe's Quartet

| Identical statistics |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| x mean | 9 |
| x variance | 10 |
| y mean | 7.5 |
| y variance | 3.75 |
| x/y correlation | 0.816 |



## Datasaurus Dozen



[^0]Datasets with Varied Appearance and Identical
Statistics through Simulated Annealing. CHI 2017.

## Why analyze?

- imposes structure on huge design space
- scaffold to help you think systematically about choices
-analyzing existing as stepping stone to designing new
-most possibilities ineffective for particular task/data combination


## Analysis framework: Four levels, three questions

- domain situation
- who are the target users?
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- abstraction
- translate from specifics of domain to vocabulary of vis
- what is shown? data abstraction
- why is the user looking at it? task abstraction
[A Nested Model of Visualization Design and Validation. Munzner. IEEE TVCG I5(6):92I-928, 2009
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## Analysis framework: Four levels, three questions

- domain situation
- who are the target users?
- abstraction
- translate from specifics of domain to vocabulary of vis
- what is shown? data abstraction
- why is the user looking at it? task abstraction
- idiom
- how is it shown?
[A Nested Model of Visualization Design and Validation. Munzner. IEEETVCG I5(6):92I-928, 2009
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- visual encoding idiom: how to draw
- interaction idiom: how to manipulate
[A Multi-Level Typology of Abstract Visualization Tasks Brehmer and Munzner. IEEE TVCG 19(I2):2376-2385, 2013 (Proc. InfoVis 2013).]


## Analysis framework: Four levels, three questions

- domain situation
- who are the target users?
[A Nested Model of Visualization Design and Validation. Munzner. IEEETVCG I5(6):92I-928, 2009
(Proc. InfoVis 2009).]
- abstraction
- translate from specifics of domain to vocabulary of vis
- what is shown? data abstraction
- why is the user looking at it? task abstraction
- idiom

-how is it shown?
[A Multi-Level Typology of Abstract Visualization Tasks Brehmer and Munzner. IEEE TVCG 19(I2):2376-2385, 2013 (Proc. InfoVis 2013).]
- algorithm
- efficient computation


## Why is validation difficult?

- different ways to get it wrong at each level

1 Domain situation
You misunderstood their needs
$\theta$ Data/task abstraction
You're showing them the wrong thing

Visual encoding/interaction idiom
The way you show it doesn't work
( ${ }^{m}$ Algorithm
Your code is too slow

## Why is validation difficult?

- solution: use methods from different fields at each level
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- solution: use methods from different fields at each level



## Design Study Methodology: Reflections from the Trenches and the Stacks



Cerebral genomics


MostVis in-car networks


Constellation linguistics



MizBee genomics


Car-X-Ray in-car networks


Pathline genomics


ProgSpy20IO in-car networks


MulteeSum genomics


RelEx
in-car networks


Vismon fisheries management


AutobahnVis in-car networks


WiKeVis in-car networks


Cardiogram in-car networks



VisTra in-car networks
[Sedlmair, Meyer, Munzner. IEEE Trans.Visualization and Computer Graphics I8(I2): 243I-2440, 2012 (Proc. InfoVis 2012).]

## What?

## Why?

How?
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## Three major datatypes

$\Theta$ Dataset Types


- visualization vs computer graphics
-geometry is design decision


## Attribute types

$\Theta$ Attribute Types
$\rightarrow$ Categorical

$\rightarrow$ Ordered

$\Theta$ Ordering Direction
$\rightarrow$ Sequential
$\rightarrow$ Diverging

$\rightarrow$ Cyclic


## Why？


$\Theta$ Analyze
$\rightarrow$ Consume

$\rightarrow$ Produce

$\Theta$ Search

|  | Target known | Target unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location known | $\cdots$ Lookup | －$\odot$ Browse |
| Location unknown | ＜${ }^{\circ} \cdot{ }^{\text {P }}$＞Locate | ＜${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} \cdot$－＞Explore |

$\Theta$ Query
$\rightarrow$ Identify

$\rightarrow$ Compare

$\rightarrow$ Summarize明囲止䀳目
$\Theta$ All Data

$\leftrightarrow$

$\rightarrow$ Extremes illis．Network Data
$\rightarrow$ Topology

$\rightarrow$ Paths
$\Theta$ Spatial Data
$\rightarrow$ Shape

## Why？

$\Theta$ Analyze
$\rightarrow$ Consume

$\rightarrow$ Produce

$\Theta$
Search
－\｛action，target\} pairs
－discover distribution
－compare trends
－locate outliers
－browse topology

|  | Target known | Target unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location known | ．$\because$－Lookup | －© Browse |
| Location unknown | ＜．O．＞Locate | ＜－O．－＞Explore |Query

$\rightarrow$ Identify
$\rightarrow$ Compare
$\rightarrow$ Summarize
明里里埵且
$\Theta$

## All Data


$\Theta$

$\rightarrow$ Extremes illı．Network Data
$\rightarrow$ Topology

$\rightarrow$ Paths
$\Theta$ Spatial Data
$\rightarrow$ Shape

Actions:Analyze, Query

- analyze
- consume
- discover vs present
- aka explore vs explain
- enjoy
- aka casual, social
- produce
- annotate, record, derive
- query
-how much data matters?
- one, some, all
- independent choices
- analyze, query, (search)

Analyze
$\rightarrow$ Consume
$\rightarrow$ Discover

$\rightarrow$ Produce
$\rightarrow$ Annotate

$\leftrightarrow$ Query


Actions:Analyze, Query

- analyze
- consume
- discover vs present
-aka explore vs explain
- enjoy
- aka casual, social
- produce
- annotate, record, derive
- query
-how much data matters?
- one, some, all
- independent choices
- analyze, query, (search)

Analyze
$\rightarrow$ Consume
$\rightarrow$ Discover

$\rightarrow$ Present
$\rightarrow$ Enjoy

$\rightarrow$ Produce
$\rightarrow$ Annotate

$\Theta$ Query
$\rightarrow$ Identify $\rightarrow$ Compare $\rightarrow$ Summarize


##  $\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square$ $\square \square \square \square \square \square \square$

## Derive

- don't necessarily just draw what you're given!
-decide what the right thing to show is
-create it with a series of transformations from the original dataset
-draw that
- one of the four major strategies for handling complexity


Original Data

trade balance $=$ exports - imports

## Analysis example: Derive one attribute

## - Strahler number

- centrality metric for trees/networks
- derived quantitative attribute
- draw top 5 K of 500 K for good skeleton
[Using Strahler numbers for real time visual exploration of huge graphs. Auber. Proc. Intl. Conf. Computer Vision and Graphics, pp. 56-69, 2002.]



## Why:Targets

$\Theta$ All Data

$\rightarrow$ Attributes

$\Theta$ Network Data
$\rightarrow$ Topology

$\rightarrow$ Paths

$\Theta$ Spatial Data
$\rightarrow$ Shape


## How?

## Encode



## $\Theta$ Map

from categorical and ordered attributes
$\rightarrow$ Color
$\rightarrow$ Hue $\rightarrow$ Saturation $\rightarrow$ Luminance
$\rightarrow$ Size, Angle, Curvature, ...

- ■ I/ニ_ () ) )
$\rightarrow$ Shape

$\rightarrow$ Motion
Direction, Rate, Frequency, ...



## Further reading

- Visualization Analysis and Design. Munzner. AK Peters Visualization Series, CRC Press, Nov 2014.
- Chap I:What's Vis, and Why Do It?
- Chap 2:What:Data Abstraction
- Chap 3:Why:Task Abstraction
- A Multi-Level Typology of Abstract Visualization Tasks. Brehmer and Munzner. IEEE Trans.Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. InfoVis) 19:I2 (2013), 2376-2385.
- Low-Level Components of Analytic Activity in Information Visualization. Amar, Eagan, and Stasko. Proc. IEEE InfoVis 2005, p III-II7.
- A taxonomy of tools that support the fluent and flexible use of visualizations. Heer and Shneiderman. Communications of the ACM 55:4 (20I2), 45-54.
- Rethinking Visualization:A High-Level Taxonomy. Tory and Möller. Proc. IEEE InfoVis 2004, p I5I-I58.
- Visualization ofTime-Oriented Data. Aigner, Miksch, Schumann, and Tominski. Springer, 20II.


## Visualization Analysis \& Design, Half-Day Tutorial

- Session 1
- Analysis: What, Why, How
- Marks and Channels
- Arrange Tabular \& Spatial Data
- Session 2
- Arrange Networks and Trees
- Map Color and Other Channels
- Manipulate \& Facet
-Reduce: Filter, Aggregate


## How?

## Encode



What?
$\Theta$ Map
from categorical and ordered attributes
$\rightarrow$ Color
$\rightarrow$ Hue $\rightarrow$ Saturation $\rightarrow$ Luminance
$\rightarrow$ Size, Angle, Curvature, ..

- ■ I/ニ_ () ) )
$\rightarrow$ Shape
+     - ■
$\rightarrow$ Motion
Direction, Rate, Frequency, ...



## How?

$\Theta$ Arrange
$\rightarrow$ Express $\longrightarrow$ $\rightarrow$ Separate

$\rightarrow$ Order $\xrightarrow{-\square^{-\square}}$
$\rightarrow$ Use B. $x^{3}$

## $\Theta$ Map

from categorical and ordered attributes
$\rightarrow$ Color
$\rightarrow$ Hue $\rightarrow$ Saturation $\rightarrow$ Luminance
$\rightarrow$ Size, Angle, Curvature, ..
-■ ■ I/人 | ) ) )
$\rightarrow$ Shape

+     - ■
$\rightarrow$ Motion
Direction, Rate, Frequency, ...


Manipulate
$\Theta$ Change

$\Theta$ Select

$\oplus$
Navigate < $\because$ 〉
$\Theta$ Partition

$\Theta$ Superimpose


## Reduce

$\Theta$ Filter

$\Theta$ Aggregate

$\Theta$ Embed
=

## Visual encoding

- analyze idiom structure



## Definitions: Marks and channels
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## Definitions: Marks and channels

- marks
-geometric primitives
- channels
- control appearance of marks

$\Theta$ Position

$\Theta$ Color / / /


## Definitions: Marks and channels

- marks
-geometric primitives
- channels
- control appearance of marks
- channel properties differ
- type \& amount of information that can be conveyed to human perceptual system
$\rightarrow$

$\Theta$ Position

$\Theta$ Color


## 

$\Theta$ Shape

$\Theta$ Tilt

$\Theta$ Size


## Visual encoding

- analyze idiom structure as combination of marks and channels



1:
vertical position
mark: line
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2:
vertical position horizontal position
mark: point
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2:
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3:
vertical position
horizontal position color hue
mark: point

## Visual encoding

- analyze idiom structure as combination of marks and channels

l:
vertical position
mark: line


2:
vertical position horizontal position
mark: point


3:
vertical position
horizontal position color hue
mark: point


4:
vertical position
horizontal position color hue size (area)
mark: point

## Channels: Rankings

| Position on common scale | $\longmapsto \longrightarrow$ | Spatial region | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position on unaligned scale | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\longmapsto}$ | Color hue |  |
| Length (1D size) | - - | Motion | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}{ }^{\bullet}$ |
| Tilt/angle | $1 /$ | Shape | $\pm \bigcirc \square$ |
| Area (2D size) | - ■ |  |  |
| Depth (3D position) | $\longmapsto \bullet \longmapsto \bullet$ |  |  |
| Color luminance <br> Color saturation |  |  |  |
| Curvature <br> Volume (3D size) | $1 \quad) 7$ |  |  |

## Channels: Rankings

$\Theta$ Magnitude Channels: Ordered Attributes
Position on common scale


Position on unaligned scale


Length (1D size) $\qquad$

-■ $\square$

Depth (3D position)


Color luminance

Color saturation

Curvature

Volume (3D size)
$\Theta$ Identity Channels: Categorical Attributes
Spatial region


## - expressiveness

- match channel and data characteristics


## Channels: Rankings

$\Theta$ Magnitude Channels: Ordered Attributes
Position on common scale


Position on unaligned scale


Length (1D size)


Tilt/angle

Area (2D size)

Depth (3D position)

Color luminance

Color saturation

Curvature

Volume (3D size)
() Identity Channels: Categorical Attributes

Spatial region

Color hue

Motion

Shape

## - expressiveness

- match channel and data characteristics
- magnitude for ordered
- how much? which rank?
- identity for categorical
- what?
$\Theta$ Attribute Types
$\rightarrow$ Categorical

$\rightarrow$ Ordered
$\rightarrow$ Ordinal $\quad \rightarrow$ Quantitative
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Channels: Rankings

$\Theta$ Magnitude Channels: Ordered Attributes
Position on common scale

## Channels: Rankings



## - expressiveness

- match channel and data characteristics
- effectiveness
- channels differ in accuracy of perception
- spatial position ranks high for both


## Accuracy: Fundamental Theory

Steven's Psychophysical Power Law: $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{N}}$


Separability vs. Integrality


Fully separable
2 groups each


Some interference
2 groups each

Width

+ Height


Some/significant interference
3 groups total: integral area

Red

+ Green


Major interference
4 groups total: integral hue

## Grouping

- containment
- connection


## Marks as Links

$\Theta$ Containment

$\Theta$ Identity Channels: Categorical Attributes
$\Theta$ Connection

$\square \square \square$

Color hue

Motion

Shape


- same spatial region
- similarity
- same values as other categorical channels


## Further reading

- Visualization Analysis and Design. Munzner. AK Peters Visualization Series, CRC Press, Nov 2014.
- Chap 5: Marks and Channels
- On the Theory of Scales of Measurement. Stevens. Science I03:2684 (1946), 677-680.
- Psychophysics: Introduction to its Perceptual, Neural, and Social Prospects. Stevens.Wiley, I975.
- Graphical Perception:Theory, Experimentation, and Application to the Development of Graphical Methods. Cleveland and McGill. Journ. American Statistical Association 79:387 (1984), 53I-554.
- Perception in Vision. Healey. http://www.csc.ncsu.edu/faculty/healey/PP
- Visual Thinking for Design.Ware. Morgan Kaufmann, 2008.
- Information Visualization: Perception for Design, 3rd edition.Ware. Morgan Kaufmann /Academic Press, 2004.


## Visualization Analysis \& Design, Half-Day Tutorial

- Session 1
- Analysis: What, Why, How
- Marks and Channels
-Arrange Tabular \& Spatial Data
- Session 2
- Arrange Networks and Trees
- Map Color and Other Channels
- Manipulate \& Facet
-Reduce: Filter, Aggregate

How?


## Keys and values

- key
- independent attribute
- used as unique index to look up items

Attributes (columns)

Items
(rows)


Cell containing value
$\rightarrow$ Multidimensional Table


- classify arrangements by key count -0, I, 2, many...
$\Theta$ Express Values $\rightarrow 1$ Key List

$\rightarrow 2$ Keys
Matrix



## Idiom: scatterplot

- express values
- quantitative attributes
- no keys, only values
- data
- 2 quant attribs
-mark: points
- channels
- horiz + vert position
- tasks


- find trends, outliers, distribution, correlation, clusters
- scalability
- hundreds of items


## Some keys: Categorical regions

- regions: contiguous bounded areas distinct from each other
- using space to separate (proximity)
-following expressiveness principle for categorical attributes
- use ordered attribute to order and align regions

$\rightarrow$ Order

$\rightarrow$ Align



## Idiom: bar chart

- one key, one value
- data
- I categ attrib, I quant attrib -mark: lines
- channels


Animal Type


Animal Type

- length to express quant value
- spatial regions: one per mark
- separated horizontally, aligned vertically
- ordered by quant attrib » by label (alphabetical), by length attrib (data-driven)
- task
- compare, lookup values
- scalability
- dozens to hundreds of levels for key attrib


## Idiom: stacked bar chart

- one more key
- data
- 2 categ attrib, I quant attrib
- mark: vertical stack of line marks

- glyph: composite object, internal structure from multiple marks
- channels
- length and color hue
- spatial regions: one per glyph
- aligned: full glyph, lowest bar component
- unaligned: other bar components
- task
- part-to-whole relationship
- scalability
- several to one dozen levels for stacked attrib
[Using Visualization to Understand the Behavior of Computer Systems. Bosch. Ph.D. thesis, Stanford Computer Science, 200 I.]


## Idioms: normalized stacked bar chart

- task
- part-to-whole judgements
- normalized stacked bar chart
- stacked bar chart, normalized to full vert height
- single stacked bar equivalent to full pie
- high information density: requires narrow rectangle
- pie chart

-information density: requires large circle


## Idioms: pie chart, coxcomb chart

- pie chart
- area marks with angle channel
-accuracy: angle/area/arclength less accurate than line length

- data
- I categ key attrib, I quant value attrib
- task
- part-to-whole judgements

- coxcomb chart
- more direct analog to bar charts
- line marks, radial layout



## Coxcomb: perception

- encode: ID length
- decode/perceive: 2D area
- nonuniform line/sector width as length increases

- so area variation is nonlinear wrt line mark length!
- bar chart safer: uniform width, so area is linear with line mark length
radial \& rectilinear bars: uniform width as length increases
-both radial \& rectilinear cases


## Idiom: glyphmaps

- rectilinear good for linear vs nonlinear trends



$\Theta$ Axis Orientation

[Glyph-maps for Visually Exploring Temporal Patterns in Climate Data and Models.Wickham, Hofmann, Wickham, and Cook. Environmetrics 23:5 (2012), 382-393.]


## Idiom: heatmap

- two keys, one value
- data
- 2 categ attribs (gene, experimental condition)
- I quant attrib (expression levels)
-marks: area
- separate and align in 2D matrix
- indexed by 2 categorical attributes
- channels

-task

- color by quant attrib
- (ordered diverging colormap)
- find clusters, outliers
- scalability
- IK categorical levels, IM items; $\sim 10$ quantitative attribute levels


## Arrange tables

$\Theta$ Express Values

$\Theta$ Separate, Order, Align Regions
$\rightarrow$ Separate
$\rightarrow$ Order

$\rightarrow$ Align
!

$\Theta$ Axis Orientation
$\rightarrow$ Rectilinear $\rightarrow$ Radial


## Idiom: choropleth map

- use given spatial data
- when central task is understanding spatial relationships
- data
- geographic geometry
- table with I quant attribute per region
- encoding

http://bl.ocks.org/mbostock/4060606
- use given geometry for area mark boundaries
-sequential segmented colormap [more later]


## Beware: Population maps trickiness!

- spurious correlations: most attributes just show where people live
- consider when to normalize by population density
- encode raw data values
- tied to underlying population
- but should use normalized values
- eg unemployed people per 100 citizens


## - general issue

-absolute counts vs relative/normalized data

- failure to normalize is common error


PET PEEVE \#208:
GEOGRAPHIC PROFIE MAPS WHICH ARE BASICALLY JUST POPULATION MAPS
[ https://xkcd.com/ / / 38]

## Idiom: topographic map

- data
- geographic geometry
- scalar spatial field
- I quant attribute per grid cell
- derived data
- isoline geometry
- isocontours computed for specific levels of scalar values


Land Information New Zealand Data Service

## Idioms: isosurfaces, direct volume rendering

- data
- scalar spatial field
- I quant attribute per grid cell
- task
- shape understanding, spatial relationships
- isosurface
- derived data: isocontours computed for specific levels of scalar values
- direct volume rendering
-transfer function maps scalar values to color, opacity

- no derived geometry

[^1][Multidimensional Transfer Functions for Volume Rendering. Kniss, Kindlmann, and Hansen. In The Visualization Handbook,

## Vector and tensor fields

- data
- many attribs per cell
- idiom families
- flow glyphs
- purely local
- geometric flow
- derived data from tracing particle trajectories
- sparse set of seed points
-texture flow
- derived data, dense seeds
-feature flow
- global computation to detect features


LIC
OSTR
GSTR
[Comparing 2D vector field visualization methods:A user study. Laidlaw et al. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (TVCG) II:I (2005), 59-70.]

[Topology tracking for the visualization of time-dependent two-dimensional flows. Tricoche, Wischgoll, Scheuermann, and Hagen. Computers \& Graphics 26:2 (2002), 249-257.]

## Idiom: similarity-clustered streamlines

- data
- 3D vector field
- derived data (from field)
- streamlines: trajectory particle will follow
- derived data (per streamline)
- curvature, torsion, tortuosity
- signature: complex weighted combination
- compute cluster hierarchy across all signatures
- encode: color and opacity by cluster
- tasks
- find features, query shape
- scalability
- millions of samples, hundreds of streamlines



## [Similarity Measures for

 Enhancing Interactive Streamline Seeding. McLoughlin,.Jones, Laramee, Malki, Masters, and. Hansen. IEEE Trans.Visualization and Computer Graphics 19:8 (2013), I342-I353.]
## Arrange spatial data

## Use Given

$\rightarrow$ Geometry
$\rightarrow$ Geographic
$\rightarrow$ Other Derived

$\rightarrow$ Spatial Fields
$\rightarrow$ Scalar Fields (one value per cell)
$\rightarrow$ Isocontours
$\rightarrow$ Direct Volume Rendering

$\rightarrow$ Vector and Tensor Fields (many values per cell)
$\rightarrow$ Flow Glyphs (local)
$\rightarrow$ Geometric (sparse seeds)
$\rightarrow$ Textures (dense seeds)
$\rightarrow$ Features (globally derived)

## Further reading

- Visualization Analysis and Design. Munzner. AK Peters Visualization Series, CRC Press, Nov 2014.
- Chap 7:Arrange Tables, Chap 8:Arrange Spatial Data
- Visualizing Data. Cleveland. Hobart Press, I 993.
- A Brief History of Data Visualization. Friendly. 2008. http://www.datavis.ca/milestones
- How Maps Work: Representation,Visualization, and Design. MacEachren. Guilford Press, I 995.
- Overview of visualization. Schroeder and. Martin. In The Visualization Handbook, edited by Charles Hansen and Christopher Johnson, pp. 3-39. Elsevier, 2005.
- Real-Time Volume Graphics. Engel, Hadwiger, Kniss, Reza-Salama, and Weiskopf. AK Peters, 2006.
- Overview of flow visualization.Weiskopf and Erlebacher. In The Visualization Handbook, edited by Charles Hansen and Christopher Johnson, pp. 26I-278. Elsevier, 2005.


## Visualization Analysis \& Design, Half-Day Tutorial

- Session 1
- Analysis: What, Why, How
- Marks and Channels
- Arrange Tabular \& Spatial Data
- Session 2
- Arrange Networks and Trees
- Map Color and Other Channels
- Manipulate \& Facet
-Reduce: Filter, Aggregate

Break
@tamaramunzner @tamara@vis.social

## Arrange networks and trees

$\Theta$ Node-Link Diagrams
Connection Marks
$\checkmark$ NETWORKS $\downarrow$ TREES

$\Theta$ Adjacency Matrix
Derived Table
$\checkmark$ NETWORKS $\downarrow$ TREES

$\Theta$ Enclosure
Containment Marks
$\times$ NETWORKS $\quad>$ TREES
$\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square$

## Idiom: force-directed placement

- visual encoding: node-link diagram
- link connection marks, node point marks
- algorithm: energy minimization
- analogy: nodes repel, links draw together like springs
- optimization problem: minimize crossings
- spatial position: no meaning directly encoded
- sometimes proximity meaningful
- sometimes proximity arbitrary, artifact of layout algorithm
- tasks

- explore topology; locate paths, clusters
- scalability
-node/edge density E $<4 \mathrm{~N}$


## Idiom: adjacency matrix view

- data: network
-transform into same data/encoding as heatmap
- derived data: table from network

[NodeTrix: a Hybrid Visualization of Social Networks. Henry, Fekete, and McGuffin. IEEE TVCG (Proc. InfoVis) I3(6):I302-I 309, 2007.]
- I quant attrib
- weighted edge between nodes
-2 categ attribs: node list $\times 2$
- visual encoding
- cell shows presence/absence of edge
- scalability
- IK nodes, IM edges


[^2]
## Connection vs. adjacency comparison

- adjacency matrix strengths
- predictability, scalability, supports reordering
- some topology tasks trainable
- node-link diagram strengths
-topology understanding, path tracing
- intuitive, no training needed

http://www.michaelmcguffin.com/courses/vis/patternsInAdjacencyMatrix.png
- empirical study
- node-link best for small networks
- matrix best for large networks
- if tasks don't involve topological structure!
[On the readability of graphs using node-link and matrix-based representations: a controlled experiment and statistical analysis. Ghoniem, Fekete, and Castagliola. Information Visualization 4:2 (2005), I I4-I35.]


## Idiom: radial node-link tree

- data
- tree
- encoding
- link connection marks
- point node marks
-radial axis orientation
- angular proximity: siblings
- distance from center: depth in tree
- tasks
- understanding topology, following paths

- scalability
- IK - IOK nodes


## Idiom: treemap

- data
- tree
- I quant attrib at leaf nodes
- encoding
- area containment marks for hierarchical structure
-rectilinear orientation
- size encodes quant attrib
- tasks
- query attribute at leaf nodes
- scalability
- IM leaf nodes


## Link marks: Connection and containment

- marks as links (vs. nodes)
- common case in network drawing
$\Theta$ Connection

- ID case: connection
- ex: all node-link diagrams
- emphasizes topology, path tracing
- networks and trees
-2D case: containment
- ex: all treemap variants
- emphasizes attribute values at leaves (size coding)
- only trees


Node-Link Diagram
$\Theta$ Containment
-•••


Treemap

## Further reading

- Visualization Analysis and Design. Munzner. AK Peters Visualization Series, CRC Press, Nov 2014. - Chap 9:Arrange Networks and Trees
- Visual Analysis of Large Graphs: State-of-the-Art and Future Research Challenges. von Landesberger et al. Computer Graphics Forum 30:6 (201I), I7I9-I749.
- Simple Algorithms for Network Visualization:A Tutorial. McGuffin.Tsinghua Science and Technology (Special Issue on Visualization and Computer Graphics) I7:4 (2012), 383-398.
- Drawing on Physical Analogies. Brandes. In Drawing Graphs: Methods and Models, LNCS Tutorial, 2025, edited by M. Kaufmann and D.Wagner, LNCS Tutorial, 2025, pp. 7I-86. Springer-Verlag, 200 I.
- http://www.treevis.net Treevis.net:A Tree Visualization Reference. Schulz. IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications 3I:6 (201I), II-I5.
- Perceptual Guidelines for Creating Rectangular Treemaps. Kong, Heer, and Agrawala. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. InfoVis) 16:6 (2010), 990-998.


## Visualization Analysis \& Design, Half-Day Tutorial

- Session 1
- Analysis: What, Why, How
- Marks and Channels
- Arrange Tabular \& Spatial Data
- Session 2
- Arrange Networks and Trees
- Map Color and Other Channels
- Manipulate \& Facet
-Reduce: Filter, Aggregate


## Idiom design choices: First half

Encode
$\Theta$ Arrange
$\rightarrow$ Express

$\rightarrow$ Order

$\rightarrow$ Use

$\rightarrow$ Separate

$\rightarrow$ Align

$\Theta$ Map
from categorical and ordered attributes


## Decomposing color

- first rule of color: do not talk about color!
-color is confusing if treated as monolithic
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## Decomposing color

- first rule of color: do not talk about color!
-color is confusing if treated as monolithic
- decompose into three channels
- ordered can show magnitude
- luminance
- saturation
- categorical can show identity - hue
- perceptual colorspace, in contrast to three channels of RGB


## Luminance

- need luminance for edge detection
-fine-grained detail only visible through luminance contrast

[Seriously Colorful: Advanced Color Principles \& Practices. Stone.Tableau Customer Conference 2014.]


## Luminance

- need luminance for edge detection
- fine-grained detail only visible through luminance contrast
- legible text requires luminance contrast!



## Categorical color: Discriminability constraints

- noncontiguous small regions of color: only 6-12 bins

[Cinteny: flexible analysis and visualization of synteny and genome rearrangements in multiple organisms. Sinha and Meller. BMC Bioinformatics, 8:82, 2007.]


## Ordered color: Rainbow is poor default

- problems
- perceptually unordered
- perceptually nonlinear
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## Ordered color: Rainbow is poor default

- problems
- perceptually unordered
- perceptually nonlinear
- benefits
- fine-grained structure visible and nameable

[A Rule-based Tool for Assisting Colormap Selection. Bergman,. Rogowitz, and. Treinish. Proc. IEEE Visualization (Vis), pp. I I 8-I 25, I995.]

[Why Should Engineers Be Worried About Color? Treinish and Rogowitz 1998. http://www.research.ibm.com/peoplel/I/loydt/color/color.HTM]


## Ordered color: Rainbow is poor default

- problems
- perceptually unordered
- perceptually nonlinear
- benefits
- fine-grained structure visible and nameable
- alternatives
- large-scale structure: fewer hues

[A Rule-based Tool for Assisting Colormap Selection. Bergman,. Rogowitz, and. Treinish. Proc. IEEE Visualization (Vis), pp. I I 8-I 25, I995.]

[Why Should Engineers Be Worried About Color? Treinish and Rogowitz 1998. http://www.research.ibm.com/people/I/Iloydt/color/color.HTM]


## Ordered color: Rainbow is poor default

- problems
- perceptually unordered
- perceptually nonlinear
- benefits
- fine-grained structure visible and nameable
- alternatives
- large-scale structure: fewer hues
-fine structure: multiple hues with monotonically increasing luminance [eg viridis]

[A Rule-based Tool for Assisting Colormap Selection. Bergman,. Rogowitz, and. Treinish. Proc. IEEE Visualization (Vis), pp. I I 8-I 25, I995.]

[Why Should Engineers Be Worried About Color? Treinish and Rogowitz 1998. http://www.research.ibm.com/people//IIloydt/color/color.HTM]


## Viridis / Magma

- monotonically increasing luminance, perceptually uniform
- colorful, colourblind-safe -R, python, D3

https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ viridis/vignettes/intro-to-viridis.html


## Ordered color: Rainbow is poor default

- problems
- perceptually unordered
- perceptually nonlinear
- benefits
- fine-grained structure visible and nameable
- alternatives
- large-scale structure: fewer hues
- fine structure: multiple hues with monotonically increasing luminance [eg viridis]
- categorical: segmented saturated rainbow is good!

[A Rule-based Tool for Assisting Colormap Selection. Bergman,. Rogowitz, and. Treinish. Proc. IEEE Visualization (Vis), pp. I I 8-I 25, I995.]

[Why Should Engineers Be Worried About Color? Treinish and Rogowitz 1998. http://www.research.ibm.com/people/I/Iloydt/color/color.HTM]


## Colormaps

$\rightarrow$ Categorical
$\square \square$
$\rightarrow$ Ordered
$\rightarrow$ Sequential

$\rightarrow$ Diverging



Sequential
after [Color Use Guidelines for Mapping and Visualization. Brewer, 1994. http:// www.personal.psu.edu/faculty/c/a/cab38/ ColorSch/Schemes.html]

## Colormaps

$\rightarrow$ Categorical
$\square \square \square$
$\rightarrow$ Ordered

$\rightarrow$ Bivariate

use with care if more than two levels (binary)!

Binary


Categorical


Diverging


## Colormaps

$\rightarrow$ Categorical

$\rightarrow$ Ordered

$\rightarrow$ Bivariate


## - color channel interactions

- size heavily affects salience
- small regions need high saturation
- large need low saturation
- saturation \& luminance: 3-4 bins max
- also not separable from transparency

after [Color Use Guidelines for Mapping and Visualization. Brewer, I994. http:// www.personal.psu.edu/faculty/c/a/cab38/ ColorSch/Schemes.html]


## Further reading

- Visualization Analysis and Design. Munzner. AK Peters Visualization Series, CRC Press, Nov 2014.
- Chap 10: Map Color and Other Channels
- ColorBrewer, Brewer.
- http://www.colorbrewer2.org
- Color In Information Display. Stone. IEEEVis Course Notes, 2006.
- http://www.stonesc.com/Vis06
- A Field Guide to Digital Color. Stone.AK Peters, 2003.
- Rainbow Color Map (Still) Considered Harmful. Borland and Taylor. IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications 27:2 (2007), 14-I7.
- Visual Thinking for Design.Ware. Morgan Kaufmann, 2008.
- Information Visualization: Perception for Design, 3rd edition. Ware. Morgan Kaufmann /Academic Press, 2004.
- http://www.r-bloggers.com/using-the-new-viridis-colormap-in-r-thanks-to-simon-garnier/


## Visualization Analysis \& Design, Half-Day Tutorial

- Session 1
- Analysis: What, Why, How
- Marks and Channels
- Arrange Tabular \& Spatial Data
- Session 2
- Arrange Networks and Trees
- Map Color and Other Channels
- Manipulate \& Facet
-Reduce: Filter, Aggregate


## How?

## Encode



## $\Theta$ Map

from categorical and ordered attributes
$\rightarrow$ Color
$\rightarrow$ Hue $\rightarrow$ Saturation $\rightarrow$ Luminance
$\rightarrow$ Size, Angle, Curvature, ...
-■ (1/ニ | ) )
$\rightarrow$ Shape
$+0 \square \Delta$
$\rightarrow$ Motion
Direction, Rate, Frequency, ...



How to handle complexity: I previous strategy +3 more
$\rightarrow$ Derive


- derive new data to show within view
- change view over time
- facet across multiple views
- reduce items/attributes within single view

Manipulate
$\Theta$ Change

$\Theta$ Select

$\Theta$ Navigate〈 $\because$ •
$\Theta$ Juxtapose $\quad \Theta$ Filter

$\Theta$ Aggregate

$\Theta$ Superimpose

$\Theta$ Partition

$\rightarrow$ Embed


Reduce

## Idiom: Change order/arrangement

- what: simple table
- how: data-driven reordering
- why: find extreme values, trends



## Idiom: Change order

## System: DataStripes

- what: table with many attributes
- how: data-driven reordering by selecting column
- why: find correlations between attributes


Navigate: Changing item visibility

- change viewpoint
-changes which items are visible within view
- camera metaphor
- zoom
- geometric zoom: familiar semantics
- semantic zoom: adapt object representation based on available pixels » dramatic change, or more subtle one
- pan/translate
- rotate
- especially in 3D
- constrained navigation
- often with animated transitions
- often based on selection set


## Navigate

$\rightarrow$ Item Reduction
$\rightarrow$ Zoom
Geometric or Semantic

$\rightarrow$ Pan/Translate

$\rightarrow$ Constrained


## Navigate: Reducing attributes

- continuation of camera metaphor - slice
- show only items matching specific value for given attribute: slicing plane
- axis aligned, or arbitrary alignment - Cut
- show only items on far slide of plane from camera
- project
- change mathematics of image creation
- orthographic
- perspective
- many others: Mercator, cabinet, ...


## Manipulate

$\Theta$ Change over Time

$\Theta$ Select

$\Theta$ Navigate
$\rightarrow$ Item Reduction $\quad \rightarrow$ Attribute Reduction

$\rightarrow$ Slice

$\rightarrow$ Cut

$\rightarrow$ Project

Facet
$\Theta$ Juxtapose


Partition

$\Theta$ Superimpose


## Juxtapose and coordinate views

$\rightarrow$ Share Encoding: Same/Different
$\rightarrow$ Linked Highlighting

$\rightarrow$ Share Data: All/Subset/None

$\rightarrow$ Share Navigation


## Idiom: Linked highlighting

- see how regions contiguous in one view are distributed within another
- powerful and pervasive interaction idiom
- encoding: different
- multiform
- data: all shared
- all items shared
-different attributes across the views

- aka: brushing and linking


## Idiom: Overview-detail views

## System: Google Maps

- encoding: same or different
-ex: same (birds-eye map)
- data: subset shared
-viewpoint differences: subset of data items
- navigation: shared
-bidirectional linking
- other differences
-(window size)

[A Review of Overview+Detail, Zooming, and Focus+Context Interfaces. Cockburn, Karlson, and Bederson. ACM Computing Surveys 4I:I (2008), I-3I.]


## Idiom: Small multiples

- encoding: same
-ex: line charts
- data: none shared
- different slices of dataset
- items or attributes



## Juxtapose views: tradeoffs

- juxtapose costs
- display area
- 2 views side by side: each has only half the area of one view
- juxtapose benefits
- cognitive load: eyes vs memory
- lower cognitive load: move eyes between 2 views
- higher cognitive load: compare single changing view to memory of previous state


## Juxtapose vs animate

- animate: hard to follow if many scattered changes or many frames
- vs easy special case: animated transitions

LPSLL37_1


## Juxtapose vs animate

- animate: hard to follow if many scattered changes or many frames
- vs easy special case: animated transitions
- juxtapose: easier to compare across small multiples
- different conditions (color), same gene (layout)



## Coordinate views: Design choice interaction

|  |  | Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | All | Subset | None |
|  | Same | Redundant |  |  |
|  | Different | $\\|\\| \ldots \cdot$ <br> Multiform | $\begin{gathered} \text { Multiform, } \\ \text { Overview/ } \\ \text {. . Detail } \end{gathered}$ | No Linkage |

## Partition into views

- how to divide data between views
- split into regions by attributes
- encodes association between items using spatial proximity
- order of splits has major implications for what patterns are visible


## $\Theta$ Partition into Side-by-Side Views



## Partitioning: List alignment

- single bar chart with grouped bars
- split by state into regions
- complex glyph within each region showing all ages
- compare: easy within state, hard across ages

- small-multiple bar charts
- split by age into regions
- one chart per region
- compare: easy within age, harder across states



## Superimpose layers

- layer: set of objects spread out
$\Theta$ Superimpose Layers over region
- each set is visually distinguishable group
-extent: whole view
- design choices
-how many layers, how to distinguish?
- encode with different, nonoverlapping channels
- two layers achieveable, three with careful design
- small static set, or dynamic from many possible?


## Static visual layering

- foreground layer: roads
- hue, size distinguishing main from minor
-high luminance contrast from background
- background layer: regions

- desaturated colors for water, parks, land areas
- user can selectively focus attention
[Get it right in black and white. Stone. 2010.
http://www.stonesc.com/wordpress/2010/03/get-it-right-in-black-and-white]


## Static visual layering

- foreground layer: roads
-hue, size distinguishing main from minor
-high luminance contrast from background
- background layer: regions
- desaturated colors for water, parks, land areas
- user can selectively focus attention
- "get it right in black and white"
-check luminance contrast with greyscale view

[Get it right in black and white. Stone. 2010.
http://www.stonesc.com/wordpress/2010/03/get-it-right-in-black-and-white]


## Idiom: Trellis plots

- superimpose within same frame
- color code by year
- partitioning
- split by site, rows are wheat varieties
- main-effects ordering
- derive value of median for group, use to order
- order rows within view by variety median
- order views themselves by site median



## Dynamic visual layering

- interactive based on selection
- one-hop neighbour highlighting demos: click vs hover (lightweight)



## Further reading

- Visualization Analysis and Design. Munzner. AK Peters Visualization Series, CRC Press, 2014.
-Chap II: Manipulate View \& Chap I2: Facet Into Multiple Views
- Animated Transitions in Statistical Data Graphics. Heer and Robertson. IEEE Trans. on Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. InfoVis 07) 13:6 (2007), I240- I247.
- Smooth and efficient zooming and panning. van Wijk and Nuij. Proc. IEEE Symp. Information Visualization (InfoVis), pp. I5-22, 2003.
- Starting Simple - adding value to static visualisation through simple interaction. Dix and Ellis. Proc.Advanced Visual Interfaces (AVI), pp. I24134, I998.
- A Review of Overview+Detail, Zooming, and Focus+Context Interfaces. Cockburn, Karlson, and Bederson. ACM Computing Surveys 4I:I (2008), I-3I.
- Zooming versus multiple window interfaces: Cognitive costs of visual comparisons. Plumlee and Ware. ACM Trans. on Computer-Human Interaction (ToCHI) I3:2 (2006), I79-209.
- Exploring the Design Space of Composite Visualization. Javed and Elmqvist. Proc. Pacific Visualization Symp. (PacificVis), pp. I-9, 20 I 2.
- Visual Comparison for Information Visualization. Gleicher, Albers, Walker, Jusufi, Hansen, and Roberts. Information Visualization 10:4 (201I), 289-309.
- Cross-Filtered Views for Multidimensional Visual Analysis. Weaver. IEEE Trans.Visualization and Computer Graphics I6:2 (Proc. InfoVis 20IO), I92-204, 20 IO.
- Linked Data Views. Wills. In Handbook of Data Visualization, Computational Statistics, edited by Unwin, Chen, and Härdle, pp. 21624I. Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- Glyph-based Visualization: Foundations, Design Guidelines, Techniques and Applications. Borgo, Kehrer, Chung, Maguire, Laramee, Hauser, Ward, and Chen. In Eurographics State of the Art Reports, pp. 39-63, 2013.


## Visualization Analysis \& Design, Half-Day Tutorial

- Session 1
- Analysis: What, Why, How
- Marks and Channels
- Arrange Tabular \& Spatial Data
- Session 2
- Arrange Networks and Trees
- Map Color and Other Channels
- Manipulate \& Facet
-Reduce: Filter, Aggregate


## Reduce items and attributes

- reduce/increase: inverses
- filter
- pro: straightforward and intuitive
- to understand and compute
- con: out of sight, out of mind
- aggregation
- pro: inform about whole set - con: difficult to avoid losing signal
- not mutually exclusive
- combine filter, aggregate
- combine reduce, change, facet

Reducing Items and Attributes

## Reduce

$\rightarrow$ Items

$\rightarrow$ Attributes

$\Theta$ Aggregate
$\rightarrow$ Items

$\rightarrow$ Attributes

$\Theta$ Filter

$\oplus$ Aggregate

$\oplus$ Embed


## Idiom: cross filtering

## System: Crossfilter

- item filtering
- coordinated views/controls combined
- all scented histogram bisliders update when any ranges change

[http://square.github.io/crossfilter/]


## Idiom: histogram

- static item aggregation
- task: find distribution
- data: table
- derived data
-new table: keys are bins, values are counts
- bin size crucial

-pattern can change dramatically depending on discretization
-opportunity for interaction: control bin size on the fly


## Idiom: scented widgets

- augmented widgets show information scent
- better cues for information foraging: show whether value in drilling down further vs looking elsewhere
- concise use of space: histogram on slider

|l|l|- tor visis ||III reency
[Scented Widgets: Improving Navigation Cues with Embedded Visualizations. Willett, Heer, and Agrawala. IEEE TVCG (Proc. InfoVis 2007) I3:6 (2007), I I 29-I I 36.]



## Idiom: Continuous scatterplot

- static item aggregation
- data: table
- derived data: table
- key attribs $x, y$ for pixels
- quant attrib: overplot density
- dense space-filling 2D matrix
- color: sequential categorical hue + ordered luminance colormap
- scalability
- no limits on overplotting: millions of items


## Spatial aggregation

- MAUP: Modifiable Areal Unit Problem
-changing boundaries of cartographic regions can yield dramatically different results -zone effects

[http://www.e-education.psu/edu/geog486/14 p7.html, Fig 4.cg.6]


## -scale effects



## Idiom: Hierarchical parallel coordinates

- dynamic item aggregation
- derived data: hierarchical clustering
- encoding:
-cluster band with variable transparency, line at mean, width by min/max values
- color by proximity in hierarchy

[Hierarchical Parallel Coordinates for Exploration of Large Datasets. Fua, Ward, and Rundensteiner. Proc. IEEE Visualization Conference (Vis '99), pp. 43- 50, I999.]


## Dimensionality reduction

- attribute aggregation
- derive low-dimensional target space from high-dimensional measured space - use when you can't directly measure what you care about
- true dimensionality of dataset conjectured to be smaller than dimensionality of measurements
- latent factors, hidden variables


## Tumor <br> Measurement Data



## Idiom: Dimensionality reduction for documents



## Further reading

- Visualization Analysis and Design. Munzner. AK Peters Visualization Series, CRC Press, 2014.
-Chap I3: Reduce Items and Attributes
- Hierarchical Aggregation for Information Visualization: Overview, Techniques and Design Guidelines. Elmqvist and Fekete. IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics 16:3 (2010), 439-454.
- A Review of Overview+Detail, Zooming, and Focus+Context Interfaces. Cockburn, Karlson, and Bederson. ACM Computing Surveys 4 I:I (2008), I-3I.
- A Guide to Visual Multi-Level Interface Design From Synthesis of Empirical Study Evidence. Lam and Munzner. Synthesis Lectures on Visualization Series, Morgan Claypool, 2010.

What?

Why?

$\Theta$ Map
from categorical and ordered attributes
$\rightarrow$ Color
$\rightarrow$ Hue $\rightarrow$ Saturation $\rightarrow$ Luminance
 ■-
$\rightarrow$ Size, Angle, Curvature, .
-■ I
$\rightarrow$ Shape


What?

Why?

How?

$\Theta$ Partition $\quad \Theta$ Aggregate

$\Theta$ Embed = Whand

## More information

- this tutorial
http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/talks.htm|\#halfdaycourse23
- book
http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/vadbook
- http://www.crcpress.com/product/isbn/978|466508910
- illustration acknowledgement: Eamonn Maguire


Visualization Analysis and Design. Munzner. CRC Press, AK Peters Visualization Series, 2014.

M@tamara@vis.social
@ @tamaramunzner


[^0]:    Same Stats, Different Graphs: Generating

[^1]:    [Interactive Volume Rendering Techniques. Kniss. Master's thesis, University of Utah Computer Science, 2002.]

[^2]:    [Points of view: Networks.
    Gehlenborg and Wong.
    Nature Methods 9:I |5.]

