Week 6: Rules of Thumb, Networks Discussion: Bringing It All Together

Tamara Munzner

Department of Computer Science
University of British Columbia

JRNL 520M, Special Topics in Contemporary Journalism: Visualization for Journalists
Week 6: 20 October 2015

http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/journ15

Now

- Rules of Thumb, Networks
- Discussion: Vis in the News
  - recent articles

- Break

- Evaluations
  - I'll be outside room
- Lab
  - Start on final assignment
  - I'll circulate to answer questions about any/all past stuff
    - consolidation, not new material

Structure: Revised plan

- 85% Assignments (6 of them)
  - Lab 1: 15%
  - Lab 2: 15%
  - Lab 3: 10%
  - Lab 4: 10%
  - Lab 5: 10%
  - Lab 6: 25% (two weeks to complete)
- 15% Participation

- The lowest of the first five lab marks will be dropped.

Rules of Thumb

- No unjustified 3D
  - Power of the plane
  - Disparity of depth
  - Occlusion hides information
  - Perspective distortion dangers
  - Tilted text isn't legible
- No unjustified 2D
- Eyes beat memory
- Resolution over immersion
- Overview first, zoom and filter, details on demand
- Responsiveness is required
- Function first, form next

No unjustified 3D: Power of the plane

- high-ranked spatial position channels: **planar** spatial position
  - not depth!

→ **Magnitude** Channels: **Ordered** Attributes

Position on common scale

Position on unaligned scale

Length (1D size)

Tilt/angle

Area (2D size)

Depth (3D position)

Steven's Psychophysical Power Law: $S = I^N$

Electric Shock (3.5)

Saturation (1.7)

Length (1)

Area (0.7)

Depth (0.67)

Brightness (0.5)

Perceived Sensation

Physical Intensity

No unjustified 3D: Danger of depth

- we don't really live in 3D: we ***see*** in 2.05D
  - acquire more info on image plane quickly from eye movements
 - acquire more info for depth slower, from head/body motion

Up

Right

Away ← → Towards

Left

Down

Thousands of points up/down and left/right

One point along each ray

We can only see the outside shell of the world

Occlusion hides information

- occlusion
- interaction complexity

[Distortion Viewing Techniques for 3D Data. Carpendale et al. InfoVis 1996.]

Perspective distortion loses information

- perspective distortion
  - interferes with all size channel encodings
  - power of the plane is lost!

[Visualizing the Results of Multimedia Web Search Engines.
*Mukherjea, Hirata, and Hara. InfoVis 96]*

3D vs 2D bar charts

- 3D bars never a good idea!

Graph Design I.Q. Test

Question 7: Which graph makes it easier to determine R&D's travel expense?

2006 Expenses by Department

*[http://perceptualedge.com/files/GraphDesignIQ.html]*

Tilted text isn't legible

- text legibility
  - far worse when tilted from image plane

- further reading

[Exploring and Reducing the Effects of Orientation on Text Readability in Volumetric Displays. Grossman et al. CHI 2007]

[Visualizing the World-Wide Web with the Navigational View Builder. Mukherjea and Foley. Computer Networks and ISDN Systems, 1995.]

No unjustified 3D example: Time-series data

- extruded curves: detailed comparisons impossible

[Cluster and Calendar based Visualization of Time Series Data. van Wijk and van Selow, Proc. InfoVis 99.]

No unjustified 3D example: Transform for new data abstraction

- derived data: cluster hierarchy
- juxtapose multiple views: calendar, superimposed 2D curves

[Cluster and Calendar based Visualization of Time Series Data. van Wijk and van Selow, Proc. InfoVis 99.]

Justified 3D: shape perception

- benefits outweigh costs when task is shape perception for 3D spatial data

  – interactive navigation supports synthesis across many viewpoints

🗄 Targets

⊕ Spatial Data

➔ Shape

[Image-Based Streamline Generation and Rendering. Li and Shen. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (TVCG) 13:3 (2007), 630–640.]

No unjustified 3D

- 3D legitimate for true 3D spatial data
- 3D needs very careful justification for abstract data
  - enthusiasm in 1990s, but now skepticism
  - be especially careful with 3D for point clouds or networks

[WEBPATH-a three dimensional Web history. Frecon and Smith. Proc. InfoVis 1999]

No unjustified 2D

- consider whether network data requires 2D spatial layout
  - especially if reading text is central to task!
  - arranging as network means lower information density and harder label lookup compared to text lists

- benefits outweigh costs when topological structure/context important for task
  - be especially careful for search results, document collections, ontologies

Targets

→ **Network Data**

→ Topology

→ *Paths*

Eyes beat memory

- principle: external cognition vs. internal memory
  - easy to compare by moving eyes between side-by-side views
  - harder to compare visible item to memory of what you saw
- implications for animation
  - great for choreographed storytelling
  - great for transitions between two states
  - poor for many states with changes everywhere
    - consider small multiples instead

literal   abstract

animation   small multiples

←——→

show time with time   show time with space

Eyes beat memory example: Cerebral

- small multiples: one graph instance per experimental condition
  - same spatial layout
  - color differently, by condition

[Cerebral: Visualizing Multiple Experimental Conditions on a Graph with Biological Context. Barsky, Munzner, Gardy, and Kincaid. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. InfoVis 2008) 14:6 (2008), 1253–1260.]

Why not animation?

- disparate frames and regions: comparison difficult
  - vs contiguous frames
  - vs small region
  - vs coherent motion of group

- safe special case
 - animated transitions

Change blindness

- if attention is directed elsewhere, even drastic changes not noticeable
  - door experiment
- change blindness demos
  - mask in between images

Resolution beats immersion

- immersion typically not helpful for abstract data
  - do not need sense of presence or stereoscopic 3D
- resolution much more important
  - pixels are the scarcest resource
  - desktop also better for workflow integration
- virtual reality for abstract data very difficult to justify

[Development of an information visualization tool using virtual reality. Kirner and Martins. Proc. Symp. Applied Computing 2000]

# Overview first, zoom and filter, details on demand

- influential mantra from Shneiderman

  [The Eyes Have It: A Task by Data Type Taxonomy for Information Visualizations. Shneiderman. Proc. IEEE Visual Languages, pp. 336–343, 1996.]

- overview = summary

  – microcosm of full vis design problem

➔ **Query**

➔ Identify

➔ Compare

➔ Summarise

Responsiveness is required

- three major categories
  - 0.1 seconds: perceptual processing
  - 1 second: immediate response
  - 10 seconds: brief tasks
- importance of visual feedback

Function first, form next

- start with focus on functionality
  - straightforward to improve aesthetics later on, as refinement
  - if no expertise in-house, find good graphic designer to work with

- dangerous to start with aesthetics
 - usually impossible to add function retroactively

Further reading

- Visualization Analysis and Design. Tamara Munzner. CRC Press, 2014.
  - *Chap 6: Rules of Thumb*

- Designing with the Mind in Mind: Simple Guide to Understanding User Interface Design Rules. Jeff Johnson. Morgan Kaufmann, 2010.
  - *Chap 12: We Have Time Requirements*

Arrange networks and trees

Arrange Networks and Trees

➡ **Node–Link Diagrams**
Connection Marks

✔ NETWORKS   ✔ TREES

➡ **Adjacency Matrix**
Derived Table

✔ NETWORKS   ✔ TREES

➡ **Enclosure**
Containment Marks

✖ NETWORKS   ✔ TREES

Idiom: **force-directed placement**

- visual encoding
  - link connection marks, node point marks
- considerations
  - spatial position: no meaning directly encoded
    - left free to minimize crossings
  - proximity semantics?
    - sometimes meaningful
    - sometimes arbitrary, artifact of layout algorithm
    - tension with length
      - long edges more visually salient than short
- tasks
  - explore topology; locate paths, clusters
- scalability
  - node/edge density E < 4N


```
var width = 960,
    height = 500;

var color = d3.scale.category20();
```
http://mbostock.github.com/d3/ex/force.html
```
var force = d3.layout.force()
```

Idiom: **sfdp** (multi-level force-directed placement)

- data
  - original: network
  - derived: cluster hierarchy atop it

- considerations
  - better algorithm for same encoding technique
    - same: fundamental use of space
    - hierarchy used for algorithm speed/quality but not shown explicitly
    - (more on algorithm vs encoding in afternoon)

- scalability
 - nodes, edges: 1K-10K
  - hairball problem eventually hits

[Efficient and high quality force-directed graph drawing. Hu. The Mathematica Journal 10:37–71, 2005.]

Idiom: **adjacency matrix view**

- data: network
  - transform into same data/encoding as heatmap

- derived data: table from network
  - 1 quant attrib
    - weighted edge between nodes
  - 2 categ attribs: node list x 2

- visual encoding
  - cell shows presence/absence of edge

- scalability
  - 1K nodes, 1M edges

[NodeTrix: a Hybrid Visualization of Social Networks. Henry, Fekete, and McGuffin. IEEE TVCG (Proc. InfoVis) 13(6):1302-1309, 2007.]


[Points of view: Networks. Gehlenborg and Wong. Nature Methods 9:115.]

Connection vs. adjacency comparison

- **adjacency matrix strengths**
  - predictability, scalability, supports reordering
  - some topology tasks trainable

- **node-link diagram strengths**
  - topology understanding, path tracing
  - intuitive, no training needed

- **empirical study**
  - node-link best for small networks
  - matrix best for large networks
   - if tasks don't involve topological structure!

[On the readability of graphs using node-link and matrix-based representations: a controlled experiment and statistical analysis. Ghoniem, Fekete, and Castagliola. Information Visualization 4:2 (2005), 114–135.]


http://www.michaelmcguffin.com/courses/vis/patternsInAdjacencyMatrix.png

Idiom: **radial node-link tree**

- data
  - tree
- encoding
  - link connection marks
  - point node marks
  - radial axis orientation
    - angular proximity: siblings
    - distance from center: depth in tree
- tasks
  - understanding topology, following paths
- scalability
  - 1K - 10K nodes

Idiom: **treemap**

- data
  - tree
  - 1 quant attrib at leaf nodes
- encoding
  - area containment marks for hierarchical structure
  - rectilinear orientation
  - size encodes quant attrib
- tasks
 - query attribute at leaf nodes
- scalability
  - 1M leaf nodes

*http://tulip.labri.fr/Documentation/3_7/userHandbook/html/ch06.html*

Link marks: Connection and Containment

- **marks as links (vs. nodes)**
  - common case in network drawing
  - 1D case: connection
    - ex: all node-link diagrams
    - emphasizes topology, path tracing
    - networks and trees
  - 2D case: containment
    - ex: all treemap variants
    - emphasizes attribute values at leaves (size coding)
   - only trees

**Containment**   **Connection**

Node–Link Diagram   **Treemap**

[Elastic Hierarchies: Combining Treemaps and Node-Link Diagrams. Dong, McGuffin, and Chignell. Proc. InfoVis 2005, p. 57-64.]

Tree drawing idioms comparison

- data shown
  - link relationships
  - tree depth
  - sibling order

- design choices
  - connection vs containment link marks
  - rectilinear vs radial layout
  - spatial position channels

- considerations
  - redundant? arbitrary?
  - information density?
    - avoid wasting space

[Quantifying the Space-Efficiency of 2D Graphical Representations of Trees. McGuffin and Robert. Information Visualization 9:2 (2010), 115–140.]

Further reading

- Visualization Analysis and Design. Munzner. AK Peters / CRC Press, Oct 2014.
  - *Chap 9: Arrange Networks and Trees*

- Treevis.net: A Tree Visualization Reference. Schulz. IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications 31:6 (2011), 11–15. http://www.treevis.net

Further reading

- The Functional Art. Alberto Cairo. Peachpit Press, 2012
  - *http://www.thefunctionalart.com/*
    - great blog
  - coming soon: The Truthful Art
  - great data journalism visualization resources

- Communicating Data with Tableau. Ben Jones. O'Reilly 2014
  - for more on Tableau

  - (also, LAVA Hackathon Oct 24-25

Discussion

- 156 families
  - analysis vs presentation
- chicken/coffee maps
- Canadian elections
- what else?

- Break

- Evals

Lab/Assignment 6

- putting it all together
  - find, or create, a newsworthy dataset
    - don't reuse one you used in a past lab
  - create Tableau visualization(s) visualizing it
    - at least one static
    - at least one linked/interactive
  - write up story suitable for public consumption, featuring your vis at its heart
  - upload your viz to Tableau public so that you can embed the interactive material in your story
  - in separate document, write up design rationale and reflections

  - note that you have two weeks
    - due Tue Nov 3 9am