Visualization Literacy in the Age of Big Data: Vital Skills for Modern Media Consumption



Fig. 1: A frequency word cloud made from various definitions of the term "visualization literacy".

Abstract—Access to information is increasing rapidly, and data visualizations are becoming increasingly common as a way to communicate summaries of large datasets, especially in media designed for the general public. Accompanying this rise in visualization is a need for visualization literacy. In this work we survey the current body of research on visualization literacy to find current research themes and guide future work. We found three themes of visualization literacy: fundamental, believability, and engagement. Believability and engagement are not traditionally discussed in visualization literacy research. We analyzed participant pools for visualization literacy user studies and found them to be lacking in diversity in gender and sex, age, education level, and recruitment country.

Index Terms—Information visualization, literacy, education, survey.

1 INTRODUCTION

Written language has been in use for thousands of years. For the majority of its existence, only a small set of people were able to understand and construct text. These people were likely wealthy and had access to resources and education that allowed them to learn the skills of reading and writing, otherwise known as literacy. Literacy among the general population has been increasing significantly over the past century due to the increasing accessibility of education. However, this change has been less noticeable in families with lower income [25].

Information, including but not limited to written language, has seen an increase in collection and accessibility in recent history. In order to allow people to understand information, particularly that which is domain-specific, not human-readable, or of large scale, it is increasingly common to visualize it. Additionally, information visualizations are

Manuscript received xx xxx. 201x; accepted xx xxx. 201x. Date of Publication xx xxx. 201x; date of current version xx xxx. 201x. For information on obtaining reprints of this article, please send e-mail to: reprints@ieee.org. Digital Object Identifier: xx.xxx/TVCG.201x.xxxxxxx

becoming more commonly used by organizations with large audiences like news outlets and social media pages which is making them more accessible to the general public.

With this method of communicating information comes a new form of literacy: visualization literacy. Analogous to written literacy, early on, visualizations were only comprehensible to highly educated individuals, and now with their rising popularity the general population is gaining more exposure. However, visualization literacy in the general public is low [5] despite its prevalence.

Visualization education is primarily accessible to privileged individuals. While some researchers have worked to address this gap in visualization literacy, they often create online courses taught in English [23] that are inaccessible to those without a strong, consistent internet connection and fluency in English. Further, three quarters of the work into visualization education for specific groups focuses on teaching young children despite adults likely struggling as well. For example older adults who have difficulty comprehending novel chart types and prefer simple ones, even if considered less effective by the visualization community [14].

The contributions of this paper are as follows: (1) a survey of existing visualization literacy literature, (2) a set of themes found in the surveyed literature, (3) a new definition for visualization literacy that encompasses all the themes that were found, and (4) gaps in participants of visualization literacy user studies.

2 RELATED WORK

Despite my best efforts, we were unable to find any existing survey papers on visualization literacy. However, we will discuss some papers that are not primarily survey papers but that included significant background sections.

Boy et al. [4] constructed a definition for visualization literacy by starting with the definition of the general term literacy, defined in the Oxford dictionary as "the ability to read and write", and modifying the definition to be specific to the topic of visualization. They discuss earlier work in the field, such as that in the cognitive processes involved in reading graphs and how it is an iterative process rather than a straightforward serial process. A finding to come out of this past work is that a person's understanding of a visualization depends on their expertise in the topic being visualized. However, this finding is less prevalent in more visually literate people. While this work is well cited, it focuses on a narrow, low-level view of visualization literacy and does not discuss higher level but related skills such as critically evaluating visualizations.

Börner et al. [3] completed a literature review of visualization literacy as part of their work to develop a framework to guide further research. They described much of the previous work and noted that none of it explicitly discussed the construction of visualizations. Similar to Boy et al. [4], the focus of this work is on low-level tasks and categorizations.

Peck et al. [29] took a different approach to visualization literacy. They discuss what makes people living in rural Pennsylvania interested in certain charts and not others and how attention can be improved without employing biased or ineffective visual encodings. These biases may be different or more pronounced in populations that are less literate in visualization than in environments such as universities or large urban centers where design studies are commonly done, even for tools meant for novice users like LineUp [13].

3 PROCESS

There were three stages in our process. The first stage was to collect literature based on seed papers and inclusion criteria. The second stage was to analyze the collected literature for themes using thematic analysis. The third stage was to conduct an analysis of the participant pools used in user studies in the collected literature.

3.1 Paper Collection

For this work, we found relevant literature from different research venues using keyword search and backward and forward chaining from seed papers. We then surveyed and analyzed the papers. The seed papers were *Data is Personal: Attitudes and Perceptions of Data Visualization in Rural Pennsylvania* [29] and *A principled way of assessing visualization literacy* [4]. A total of 34 papers that discussed visualization literacy were included. Inclusion criteria was that the paper had some mention of visualization literacy, including using different terms such as "understanding" [34] and "perceptions of" [29] visualization.

After reading the papers selected by the first phase of paper collection, we sought out additional papers on topics that are not typically considered visualization literacy but that have interesting overlap: believability of visualizations and engagement in visualizations. The seed papers for these are Viral Visualizations: How Coronavirus Skeptics Use Orthodox Data Practices to Promote Unorthodox Science Online [22], Data is Personal: Attitudes and Perceptions of Data Visualization in Rural Pennsylvania [29].

Data is Personal: Attitudes and Perceptions of Data Visualization in Rural Pennsylvania [29] was a seed paper in the first stage for finding papers that explicitly mention visualization literacy. In the second stage, it was a seed paper for literature that did not explicitly mention visualization literacy but did discuss the believability of visualizations or engagement in visualizations. Papers that did not discuss visualization literacy, believability of visualizations, or engagement in visualizations were excluded. A Comparative Evaluation on Online Learning Approaches using Parallel Coordinate Visualization [20] discusses visualization literacy but only in the introduction as a segue to visualization education and it did not discuss the believability of visualizations or engagement in visualizations. Effect of Adaptive Guidance and Visualization Literacy on Gaze Attentive Behaviors and Sequential Patterns on Magazine-Style Narrative Visualizations [2] used visualization literacy as an independent variable to find ways to support those with low visualization literacy itself. The Correlation between Users' Cognitive Characteristics and Visualization Literacy [24] discussed visualization literacy at an individual level using cognitive characteristics which was not the focus of this study.

3.2 Thematic Analysis

We used thematic analysis on the collected papers to find themes. We first used thematic analysis on the definitions of visualization literacy that were used in the surveyed papers, the results of which are in Table 2. We then used thematic analysis on the main body of each paper, the results of which are shown in Table 4 in the appendix.

Our process for thematic analysis started with reading the collected papers and using informal open coding to develop an initial set of themes. We then iterated over the set of themes and grouped them into major and minor themes. The codes used and the final major themes are presented in Figure 2.

3.3 Audience Analysis

Additionally, we analyzed the papers for diversity of participant pools. In the initial reading of the surveyed papers, we noticed potential gaps in the participant pools of user studies. For each of the papers with user studies we collected the provided demographics of the participant pools. We enumerated the groups that were studied and then reported on informal observations made about their gender and sex, age, education level, and recruitment country, providing context for future work.

4 THEMES

We established three themes from all papers surveyed: fundamentals, believability, and engagement. Fundamentals describes how a person interprets and constructs the basics of visualizations. For example, fundamentals includes extracting a piece of data from a visualization. Fundamentals was the most commonly mentioned theme.

Believability describes how a person can be critical or trusting of visualizations. Huynh et al.'s [17] definition of visualization literacy used the word "critical", and then discussed the ability of their participants to critically think about visualizations. Peck et al. [29] looked at this topic as part of a larger investigation on the relatability of visualizations. Lee et al. [22] also discussed the topic of trust in visualizations.

Engagement describes whether a person is engaged and what makes a person more or less engaged in a visualization. Peck et al. [29] examined engagement in visualization and found results suggesting that personal interest in a chart makes a person more engaged and more likely to rate the usefulness of the chart highly.

4.1 Fundamentals

Fundamentals are likely common due to the connection to the traditional meaning of literacy: the ability to interpret and construct written language. As it has been the most common theme in the existing literature, it has been the most heavily researched and developed.

The term *graph literacy* was used instead of visualization literacy during some early work in the field, such as in "Graph Literacy: A Cross-Cultural Comparison" [12]. Galesic et al. developed a graph literacy scale and used it to compare "the ability to understand graphically presented information" [12] between German and American participants. They found that both countries performed similarly and that, in each country, one third of the population had low graph literacy ability.

In 2014, Boy et al. [4] noted that it was challenging to quantify people's visualization-reading abilities. They also noted that the idea

Table 1: CD = Completion Date. HR = Hours Required. The milestones of the project, shown as the expected and actual numbers.

r	1				-
Milestone	Expected CD	Expected HR	Actual CD	Actual HR	Notes
Select around 25 papers	Oct. 30th	15 hours	Oct. 30th	10 hours	
Read papers	Nov. 10th	30 hours	Nov. 8th	20 hours	Reading was made more efficient by scanning
					the papers for relevant sections
Generate paper framework	Nov. 16th	10 hours	Nov. 16th	20 hours	Generating the framework, findings, and con-
					tributions of the paper took more time than ex-
					pected
Complete draft	Dec. 5th	15 hours	Dec. 12th	40 hours	
Create presentation	Dec. 12th	5 hours	Dec. 13th	5 hours	
Finish the paper	Dec. 17th	5 hours	Dec. 17th	10 hours	

Table 2: The definitions used for visualization literacy in the surveyed papers. 11 papers did not include definitions for visualization literacy at all, 12 papers had one definition, and 4 papers had multiple definitions. All unique definitions were extracted and analysed to determine whether or not they mentioned each of the themes. The definitions are first sorted by the number of papers that use them and then sorted alphabetically.

Definition: the ability	Papers	Fundamentals	Believability	Engagement
and skill to read and interpret visually represented data in	[3,7,23,24]	read, interpret, extract		
and to extract information from data visualizations				
to make meaning from and interpret patterns, trends, and	[3,5,10,31]	make meaning, interpret		
correlations in visual representations of data				
to read, comprehend, and interpret graphs	[29,31]	read, comprehend, inter-		
		pret		
to use well-established data visualizations (e. g., line	[4,11]	handle		
graphs) to handle information in an effective, efficient, and				
confident manner				
to confidently create and interpret visual representations of	[1]	interpret, create		
data				
to confidently use a given data visualization to translate	[3,4]	translate, interpreting		
questions specified in the data domain into visual queries in				
the visual domain, as well as interpreting visual patterns in				
the visual domain as properties in the data domain				
to critically read and construct data visualizations	[17]	read, construct	critically	
to read and construct visual representations to make mean-	[30]	read, construct		
ing of data and to support the understanding of datasets				
through data visualization types (e.g. scatter graph, geo				
map), data variables (i.e. qualitative, quantitative) and				
graphic variable types (e.g. shape, size, color)				
[read] charts and graphs	[27]	read		
to understand and appropriately handle data visualizations	[17]	understand, handle		
to use common data visualizations in an efficient and confi-	[2]	use		
dent manner				



Fig. 2: An overview of the coding process, showing the initial codes (second and third rows) and the themes that eventually came from them (first row).

of interpreting visualizations can be broken into different levels as. For example, having the ability to extract basic information from a chart does not guarantee that someone can identify trends and relationships. In response, they developed a useful method for assessing visualization literacy that visualization designers can use to support the creation of future visualization literacy assessment tools.

After seeing that visualization literacy was being treated as two rigid categories: novice and expert, Maltese et al. [26] investigated how much visualization literacy differs among their population which consisted mostly of university students and faculty. Across their participants, there was not a large difference in visualization literacy. They found that even those with a background in science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) struggled with basic visualization interpretation.

Lee et al. [23] took the visualization literacy assessment method guidelines proposed by Boy et al. [4] and implemented them into a concrete assessment consisting of a set of visualizations and multiplechoice questions called the Visualization Literacy Assessment Test (VLAT). The test, which follows the assessment method in focusing on interpreting visualizations, was validated by five visualization experts and then tested on just under two hundred participants. The authors found that the tool was reliable and propose that it can be used in future work for quantifying visualization literacy ability and for improving visualization education. Similar assessments have been developed as well, such as the treemap literacy test created by Firat et al. [10]. Interestingly, Firat includes construction in their definition of treemap literacy while Lee does not include it in their definition of visualization literacy.

Children have been a focus of many visualization literacy papers due to the desire to teach visualization skills while people are young. Alper et al. [1] collected visualization methods used in elementary school textbooks to see how children are currently learning about visualization. They found that textbooks commonly used types of visualization that were understudied in the visualization community. They developed a tool to help with the teaching and learning of visualization interpretation with emphasis on teaching the children to understand different levels of abstraction by gradually moving from concrete ideas to abstract ones. The tool was successful, leaving teachers surprised by its effectiveness and contemplating what may have made it as effective as it was. Chevalier et al. [7] also examined visualization literacy in children and make many statements about what is expected, believed to be, or present versus what is lacking in elementary school education. For example, they found that visualizations are used extensively in elementary school classrooms to communicate information or teach other subjects but that there is not an equivalent focus on how to understand them. They also propose that the standard definition of visualization literacy be expanded to include the construction of visualizations, as they report that up until their paper most work in visualization literacy was on the interpretation of visualizations.

Gäbler et al. [11] continued with the work to support children in learning to interpret visualizations by creating an educational game. The game was found to interest the participants, but the authors found that success in the game did not necessarily transfer to real-life settings. Huynh et al. [17] also made an educational game to teach visualization literacy in the classroom. They found similar results to Gäbler et al. [11]



Fig. 3: A grouped bar chart showing the number of papers included in this survey that discussed each of the themes for each year since 2010. Fundamentals papers were found from every except 2010, 2012, and 2013 with an increase beginning in 2017. Believability papers were first found from 2017 with an increase in 2021. Engagement papers started in 2010 and were found in just under half of the years with a significant increase in 2021.



Fig. 4: The charts used in VLAT to assess visualization literacy [23].

in that the results about the children learning visualization skills were inconclusive.

Patients with prostate cancer, typically older men, are another group that has been studied for their understanding of visualizations. Hakone et al. [14] developed a tool, called PROACT, for communicating health risks to these patients. They found that using visualization to convey information was effective in general, especially for those with low numeracy and visual literacy, and additionally that it was more effective to use simple, static visualizations rather than complex, interactive ones.

Sultana et al. [31] focused their efforts on a different group of people: those living in rural Bangladesh. These researchers were particularly interested in how traditional methods for communicating data visually differ from prominent Western methods. They found that the participants commonly used concrete units in their visualizations and that it was common to combine art and data. They suggest that, rather than always focusing on standardizing visualization methods, instead it is more effective to situate them: to develop visualizations with the target audience's background in mind.

Börner et al. [5] evaluated the visualization literacy of the general public. They conducted an investigation on museum visitors who were shown various visualizations and then asked a set of questions. One of those questions was about how to read the visualization, relating to interpretation, and another was about what kind of dataset would warrant that type of visualization, relating to construction. They found that much of the population is unable to interpret the visualizations they showed and that most people could not think of datasets for which the

Exploring your information further

These interactive graphs give you a different way of seeing and thinking about the benefits of different treatments. Feel free to explore these graphs using your mouse.



Fig. 5: Part of an early version of PROACT, when the authors were using more complex chart types [14].

What do I do next?



Fig. 6: Part of the final version of PROACT, when the authors were using multiple simpler chart types [14].

less common visualization types could be used.

Börner et al. [3] wrote a visualization literacy framework paper that included a set of definitions, conceptual frameworks, exercises, and assessments to describe visualization literacy. They note that none of the visualization literacy assessments that existed at the time discussed the construction of visualizations, so they thought it important to include discussion of visualization construction in their work. They propose the data visualization literacy framework (DVL-FW) to support further research and assessment design.

This survey of research into the fundamentals of visualization literacy shows that the interpretation of visualization is at the forefront of researcher's minds. Current literature covers the teaching and assessment of visualization interpretation skills and understanding where the differences in these skills come from, however the teaching and assessment of visualization construction is much less common. The majority of the surveyed papers do not consider this aspect or discuss why they did not consider it. Both Börner et al.'s framework paper [3] and Chevalier et al.'s observations and reflections [7] have noted the lack of research on constructing visualizations. Börner et al.'s framework paper addressed this by including it in their framework.

Chevalier et al. [7] state that visualization literacy needs to focus

more on the construction of visualizations. They make an analogy to reading literacy which is taught via both reading *and* writing, and propose that future educational efforts in visualization should include construction. Finally, they suggest that the definition of visualization literacy should be expanded to include this aspect.

4.2 Believability

With increased access to information, it is important to find accurate information and to know what information to trust. Chen et al. have found those with low health literacy are less likely to trust health information from specialists and that the general public may be receiving health information from unqualified sources such as friends or blogs [6]. As a form of information communication, data visualizations are contributing to the trust and distrust of the general public. Visualizations have been found to decrease misconceptions gained from reading texts [28], but can they also cause their own misconceptions? Visualizations can be misleading both intentionally and unintentionally [8].

There has been significant work in believability in the visualization community in recent years. Peck et al. [29] looked into how rural Pennsylvanians, a group who often struggle with economic and infrastructure challenges, perceive visualizations. Participants were shown ten charts and asked to rank them by how personally useful they found them. After the first ranking, the sources of the articles were shown to the participants who were then asked to rank them again. Interestingly, this experiment found that less educated participants were less likely to change their rankings after seeing the sources.

Heyer et al. [16] investigated how eliciting prior knowledge, particularly knowledge around provocative topics, could influence misconceptions in visualization. They conducted an experiment that found that visualization was more persuasive than just text, but that eliciting prior knowledge did not affect persuasiveness. Participants also partook in reflections on the activities in the experiment. They consistently noted that they had a different mental model after the experiment. However, most participants did not doubt the data or the visualizations and those who did doubt the data or the visualizations seemed to have had firsthand experience or prejudice that caused the doubt. This shows that visualizations that are effective at informing opinions ineffective at changing opinions. The authors suggest that the tasks of informing and opinion changing should be considered separately from each other.

To study the difference between how articles with visualizations and articles without visualizations clarify misperceptions, Mena [28] conducted an online experiment. They looked into both the effect on misconceptions of including visualizations and of prior knowledge on the topic. Mena found that including visualizations reduced misperceptions for those with below-average prior knowledge. However, the visualizations in the articles were not made to be misleading and studies on showing manipulated visualizations to those with below-average prior knowledge may be useful future research.



Fig. 7: A screenshot from the narrative educational game designed by Huynh et al. showing dialog and charts [17].

Huynh et al. [17] developed an educational visualization-based game

for children, similar to Gäbler et al. [11], however they also discussed the ability to critically examine and design visualizations. They discuss thinking critically, that is, considering why each visual encoding choice was made, as an important part of both construction and interpretation of visualizations.

One of the ways Jena et al. [18] claims that emergent, or inexperienced, users can be supported in their learning of visualization is by expanding visualization education outside of niche academic communities. Doing this would make knowledge on visualization more accessible, however making visualization courses must be done carefully. Jena et al. mention that being able to understand visualizations is not enough, but that people also need to be able to critically evaluate them.

Mansoor et al. [27] argue that the studies of visualization literacy and bias in visualization are threads of research that should be merged. They show how these threads could be merged in two ways. First, visualization literacy research could be integrated into the study of various types of bias in visualization. Second, including questions about bias in visualization literacy assessments could help determine whether participants are novices or experts. Chevalier et al. [7] make a similar claim. They propose that the definition of visualization literacy should include the ability to critique the representation of the data in a given visualization and understand if it is misleading.

Lee et al. [22] discuss the critical evaluation of visualizations in their analysis of twitter data on anti-mask discourse. They enumerate many ways in which the twitter users are critical of visualizations, from the method of data collection to the visual encodings used. The authors describe how the users have a strong ability to critique visualizations and have high visualization and data literacy. They discuss how being critical of information is a key part of being literate in visualization, but that researchers must be careful who they consider to be illiterate in this aspect as users may be skilled in critical thinking and have different reasons to interpret visualization differently from others. For example, the twitter users in their studies had very different ideas of what made sources reliable, which is outside the scope of visualization literacy.

Considering the critical evaluation of visualizations as part of visualization literacy is supported by the literature. The increase in information available to the public and concern in organizations and individuals manipulating this information has made people from a variety of backgrounds interested in knowing how to detect biases in what they read. This skill of critical evaluation of visualizations has become an important aspect to visualization literacy, and should be considered part of the standard definition in future research.

Further, being able to reproduce visualizations is one way that a person can show a visualization is misleading and it is one of the ways anti-mask twitter users are able to inform others. The addition of critical evaluation to the definition of visualization literacy further supports the addition of construction.

4.3 Engagement

Why do people choose to engage with visualizations? Some people engage because they are asked to and they may be compensated for complying. However, people also engage with visualizations due to a variety of types of personal interest. Some visualizations are nice to look or cover fun topics which draw people in, while others contain crucial data and trends that people feel they need to know. People may also feel pressured to engage in visualizations and information in general, such as a person who is uninterested in watching sports shows but engages in visualizations of post-game summaries to avoid becoming an outcast of their social group. Does engaging with visualization for these different reasons change how a person interprets them?

First, what could cause people to not engage with visualization? One reason someone might not engage is because they are informationally poor. Yu [35] analysed the information practices of this population. They found that the participants failed to engage with information for many reasons. The participants were sometimes uninterested, but they were also blocked from information due to poor financial status, general information literacy, and access to points where the information could be retrieved. Expanding on the lack of skills, participants had

limited knowledge of and experience in how to work with data which led to them being unable to use data to support their decisions. We suspect that the participants would have similar issues engaging with visualizations, as data access is fundamental to visualization.

A significant part of Peck et al.'s [29] work in rural Pennsylvania was investigating which visualizations the participants found most useful to them. The main outcome of the work was referenced in the title: people find visualizations that relate to them personally to be more engaging. This result supports that of Sultanaet al. [31] in that visualization research should focus on designing situated visualizations rather than standardized ones in order for users to find the visualizations engaging. Lee et al. [22] saw that anti-mask twitter users were very engaged with data and visualization around mask-related and other COVID mandates. Strong opinions on political topics, particularly those that directly effect the user, seem to cause very strong engagement.



Fig. 8: The station used for constructing and interacting with visualizations based on previously entered data, designed by Peppler et al. [30].

Peppler et al. [30] implemented a data visualization literacy station within a museum and studied how they could get visitors to engage with it. They created an interactive station that allowed users to enter their own personal data and then choose how to visualize it. Users could also see the data and visualizations of other recent users and could compare themselves to others. They also attempted to make the data entry process inclusive of those from different countries and support both individual and group data entry so that people would not go unrepresented and therefor be stopped from engaging. They confirmed the results from Peck et al. that people were more engaged with personalized data and further suggest that viewing visualizations in social settings can increase engagement.

Emotion is another factor in engagement. Harrison et al. [15] conducted an experiment to understand how emotional priming would affect performance with visualization. They ran a study with 963 participants from Amazon's Mechanical Turk (MTurk) where each participant was emotionally primed and then shown a set of simple charts and asked to quickly make judgements. The results showed that emotional priming did have an effect on a participant's judgement and that positive priming increased performance, suggesting that having different reasons for engaging in visualizations may cause different outcomes.

Lan et al. [21] looked at the inverse of Harrison et al. : can visualizations (infographics in particular) be designed to cause specific emotions in readers? The authors first studied the types of emotions that could be produced with infographics and which aspects of infographics caused these emotions. They then created a set of design guidelines specifically for drawing out different emotions from users. We suspect that these guidelines help both infographic designers and infographic readers. They help infographic designers to convey the right feelings and they may allow informed readers to see how the designer may be attempting to influence them.

Pre-existing emotions and intentionally-emotional visualizations can influence how people interpret charts, but what emotions arise when viewing a typical chart? Van Koningsbruggen et al. [33] found that participants would describe a traditional visualization as "just a graph", indicating that they had not felt emotions while analyzing it. The authors found that participants actively tried to separate their emotions from the visualization, due to it seeming improper and not permitted to involve emotions in data analysis tasks. These participants were asked to view the charts in study conditions and we suspect that there may have been more emotion involved had the participants come across the visualizations naturally and were not being observed.

Some visualizations naturally cause strong emotions, such as those used for communicating health information. Hakone et al. [14] observed that these visualizations, such as PROACT, need to have a carefully designed narrative flow to allow users to make objective and informed health decisions. They found that ensuring a patient is calm before showing information is important.

Huynh et al.'s [17] educational game for learning visualization investigated how to make children more engaged in visualization. While they did not improve the real-world visualization skills of the children, they were able to find that gamification increased engagement. Further, they found that the version of the game with a narrative was more engaging than the one without. We wonder if other age groups would engage more with visualization systems if they had narratives built in.

Engaging with visualization may not be considered a skill in the surveyed literature, but a person's engagement can have an effect on the ways they perceive a visualization. Future research, particularly that which uses visualization literacy assessments, should take into account how engaged in the visualizations the participants are either by developing personalized visualizations or by measuring engagement. Additionally, visualization design studies for novice or general users should put renewed effort into designing situated and engaging visualizations to ensure that visualization literacy research is done independently of engagement.

4.4 A New Definition

The ability to interpret was included in every definition of visualization literacy and makes up the majority of the literature in the field. However, researchers have shown that there are more aspects to visualization literacy than interpretation. Supported by the body of research described above, we propose a new definition of visualization literacy that includes interpretation, construction, believability, and engagement with visualization: the ability to critically interpret and construct engaging visualizations.

It is unlikely that, going forward, a single definition will be unanimously agreed upon and used in research. However, we hope that these themes are at the very least discussed in future work, as they are all vital to understanding how people interact with visualization.

5 AUDIENCE ANALYSIS

16 of the surveyed papers had user studies. The demographics of the participant pools of each individual user study is available in Table 3. The papers that directly contribute to visualization literacy (direct contributors) are analysed first and the others (indirect contributors) second. Findings from the two groups are compared.

5.1 Direct Contributors

Two of the studies that makes direct contributions to visualization literacy recruited participants from Amazon's Mechanical Turk (MTurk). The assessment by Boy et al. [4] does not provide demographics of the participants. A survey of participants in MTurk found that the majority were younger and more well educated than participants from other services [19], implying that MTurk may not recruit a diverse set of participants.

The remaining seven user studies in this category provide more demographics on their participants and will be the focus of this analysis. Five of these seven studies included the gender or sex of the participants

Table 3: Descriptions of participant pools using provided demographics. Papers that explicitly contribute to visualization literacy research are above the double line.

A Principled Way of Assessing Vi- Bala Visualization Literacy Boy, 2014, [4] 40 participants recuriled from MTurk for each of multiple experi- neats. Data Visualization Literacy Malese, 2015, [26] 202 participants, all science museum visitors visitions in the Visualization and T37 science Investigant gasepects of data visuali- ration Literacy using 20 information visualizations and 273 science mu- seum visitors Börner, 2016, [5] 213 participants, all science museum visitors visitors wisitors visitors VLAT: Development of a Visualiza- tion Literacy vance (Eds) Aper, 2017, [23] 211 participants, 6 in kindergurten and 15 in grade 2 at a French immersion school in an upper-task district in baselite. Diagram Starti-X Visualization Lit- eracy Gause for Visualization Lit- gas were feanale, 44% were maine, 3% were canolis, 3% were feanale, 44% were maine, 3% were canolistrumatupante duettist, 10% choces not to provide gause informat	Title	First Author, Year, Citation	Description of Participant Pool
Statization Litericy Inters. Data Visualization Litericy Mattese, 2015, [26] 202 participants (54% female, mean age = 25), predominantly college and university undergraduates (68%) and graduate students (9%). Novice-Faper Continuum Borner, 2016, [5] 273 participants, all science museums in the United States. Visualization and 273 science mu- term visitors Alper, 2017, [1] 21 participants, all science museum visitors visiting museums in the United States. VIAD Development of a Visualiza- tion Literacy Assessment Test Lee, 2017, [23] 101 participants, all more control from NTMerk, 105 females and 86 males, ages ranging from 19 to 72 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 17 male. Diagram Sufari: A Visualization Lite- eracy for Young Children Gabler, 2019, [11] 23 participants, all a gral 1 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 17 male. Diagram Sufari: A Visualization Lite- eracy in Young Children Pepler, 2021, [30] 195 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 17 male. Cultivating data visualization liter- acy in nuscums Pepler, 2021, [30] 195 participants, 174 groups with an average of 3 people per group. How poor informationally are the in- formation poor? Yu, 2010, [35] 73 participants, form a viriety of locations on the mural-urban and 1% chosen on to provide grade information. 25% were famale, 44% were male. 3% mere famale, 4% mere male, 3% mere famale, 4% mere male, 3% mere famale, 4% mere ma	A Principled Way of Assessing Vi-	Boy, 2014, [4]	40 participants recruited from MTurk for each of multiple experi-
Date Visualization Literacy: Investi Novice-Expert Continuum Mallese, 2015, [26] 202 participants (54% female, mean age = 25), predominantly college and university undergraduates (65%) and graduate studens (9%). Investigating aspects of data visual- ization literacy using 20 information visualizations and 273 science mu- seum visitors Börner, 2016, [5] 273 participants, all science museum visitors visiting museums in the Visualization and 273 science mu- seum visitors VLAT: Development of a Visualiza- tion Literacy at Elementary School Alper, 2017, [11] 21 participants, 6 in kindergarten and 15 in grade 2 at a French immersion school in an upper class district in Seattle. Diagram Sufari: A Visualization Lite- creacy Came for Young Children Paying Games for Visualization liter- eracy Came for Visualization liter- eracy Came for Visualization liter- eracy Came for Visualization liter- eracy Came for Visualization liter- eracy in museums Pepter 2021, [30] 39 participants in 74 groups with an average of 3 people per group. 8% were claimes, 7/4% were white, 11% epoted multiple racial identities, 10% were back, 3% were now alon. 7% epoted multiple racial identities, 10% were back, 3% were now alon. 7% epoted multiple racial identities, 10% were back, 3% were now alon. 7% were age 1-11.3, and 1% did not provide age information. 3% were formale. 44% were white, 11% or ealewage 7.6% were age 1-11.3, and 1% did not provide age information. 3% were formale. 44% were white, 11% or ealewage 7.6% were age 1-11.3, and 1% did not provide age information. 3% were formale. 44% were alon. 7% were now and 7% were now and 7% were age 1-11.3, and 1% did not provide age information. 3% were age 1-11.3, and 1% did not provide age information. 3% were age 1-11.3, and 1% did	sualization Literacy	• • • • • • •	ments.
gating Data Interpretation Along the Novice-Expert Continuum Börner, 2016, [5] 273 participants; all science muscum visitors visiting muscums in the United States. Investigating appects of data visual- ization literacy at Elementary School Alper, 2017, [1] 211 participants; all science muscum visitors visiting muscums in the United States. Visual/zation Literacy at Elementary School Alper, 2017, [23] 191 participants recruited from MTurk, 105 females and 86 mules, ages ranging from 19 to 72 with a mean of 37, all participants had completed a high school education, 42% had a bachelor's degree, 15% had a master or odcorat degree. Diagram Stari: A Visualization Literacy of Gabler, 2019, [11] 23 participants recruited from MTurk, 105 females and 86 mules, ages ranging from 19 to 72 with a mean of 12,09, 16 female and Hyging Games Visualization liter arey Game for Visualization liter arey Game For Visualization liter arey in muscums Peppler, 2021, [30] 19 participants in 74 groups with an averange of 3 people per group, 8% were female, 44% were nule, 11% exported multiple racia mean backelor race information, 5% were female, 44% were nule, 3% were formale, 6% were a get 1-16, 10% were below age 7, 6% were age 1-11.1% were age 1-16, 10% were below age 7, 6% were age 1-13, and 1% di duo provide age information, 5% were female, 44% were mule, 3% were formale, 60% on to provide age information. How poor informationally are the in- formation poor? Yu, 2010, [35] 73 participants, form a warey of locations on the unal-urban scile in more fifth, 20% of low per below age 7, 6% were age 1-11.1% were age 1-46, (1)% were below age 7, 6% were age 1-13, and 1% di duo provide age inf	Data Visualization Literacy: Investi-	Maltese, 2015, [26]	202 participants (54% female, mean age = 25), predominantly college
Novice-Expert Continuum 273 participants, all science museum visitors visiting museums in the visualizations and 273 science museum visitors visiting museums in the Visualization Literacy at Elementary Novice-Visualization Literacy at Elementary Alper, 2017, [21] 21 participants, all science museum visitors visiting museums in the Visualization Literacy at Elementary VLAT: Development of a Visualization Literacy Assessment Test Image: Comparison of the Visualization Literacy at Elementary Alper, 2017, [23] Diagram Safari: A Visualization Literacy Come for Young Children Gäbler, 2019, [11] 21 participants, all in grade 4, 13 female and 10 male. Designing Marritive-Focused Role Huynh, 2021, [17] 33 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 10 male. Calivitating data visualization Literacy in museums Perpler, 2021, [30] 195 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 10 male. Calivitating data visualization literacy in museums Yu, 2010, [35] 195 participants, and ages 7-40, 11% were white, 11% reported multiple racia literacy and visualization literacy in museums More poor informationally are the information poor? Yu, 2010, [35] 72 participants, from a variety of locations on the rural-urban scale in formation, 20% were age 17-6, 11% were age 14-16, 11% were found age information, 52% were age 17-6, 20% were age 17-6, 11% were found to row of ages information. Row poor informationally are the information for </td <td>gating Data Interpretation Along the</td> <td></td> <td>and university undergraduates (68%) and graduate students (9%).</td>	gating Data Interpretation Along the		and university undergraduates (68%) and graduate students (9%).
Investigating aspects of data visual- traiton literacy will 20 information visualizations and 273 science mu- scenn visitors 273 participants, all science muscum visitors visiting muscums in the United States. Visualization Literacy at Elementary School Alper, 2017, [1] 21 participants, all science muscum visitors visiting muscums in the United States. VLAT: Development of a Visualiza- tion Literacy at Elementary acy Came for Young Children Lee, 2017, [23] 191 participants for Mirol Mirol States. Diagram Stari: A Visualization Lite racy Game for Young Children Gäbler, 2019, [11] 23 participants, all ages ranging from 19 to 72 with a mean of 12, 69, 16 female and 199 mg Games of Visualization Lite racy Game for Visualization Lite racy Game for Visualization Lite Peppler, 2021, [30] 33 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12, 69, 16 female and 19 mascums Diagram Static All visualization liter acy in moseums Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12, 69, 16 female and 19 mascums, 746 were white, 115 mean end for Marco 11 male. How poor informationally are the in- formation poor? Yu, 2010, [35] 195 participants, and a groups with an average of 3 people per group. 8% were famile, 44% were male, 3% were formation. 5% were age 1-10, 10% were age 1-16, (10% were below age 7, 6% were age 1-13, and 1% dia do no provide age information. 576 were participants had a college collarion. Participants had completions on papiticipants had completions on papiticipants had completions on papiticipants had cocopations such a famer (4), were nout-abon secon aparticipants had	Novice-Expert Continuum		
ization literacy using 20 information seum visitoms United States. Visualization Literacy at Elementary School Alper, 2017, [1] 21 participants. 6 in kindergarten and 15 in grade 2 at a French immersion school in an upper-class district in Scattle. VLAT: Development of a Visualiza- tion Literacy Atsessment Test Lee, 2017, [23] 21 participants recruited from MTurk, 105 females and 86 males, ages ranging from 19 to 72 with a mean of 37, all participants had completed a lingb school education, 42% had a bachedro's degree, 15% had a master's or doctoral degree. Diagram Safari: A Visualization Lit- eracy Game for Visualization liter- acy in Young Children Gäbler, 2019, [11] 23 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 17 male. Cultivating data visualization liter- acy in museums Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a nean of 12.09, 16 female and 17 male. How poor informationally are the in- formation poor? Yu, 2010, [35] 73 participants, from a variety of locations on the runal-urban scale in north China, 20 were hale, 30 were female, 44 were nuel, 30 were familes, 10 were below age, 7, 6% were age 1-14, 11% were age 14-16, 10% were below age information. To participants, form a variety of locations on the runal-urban scale in north China, 20 were family more to complete and septing school education. Participants had occupations such a starmer (4), kaxi driver (1), interpreted (5), and through Affective Priming FROACT: Iterative Design of a Pattert-Centret Visualization in Ru- PROACT: Iterative Design of a Pattert-Centret Visualization in Ru	Investigating aspects of data visual-	Börner, 2016, [5]	273 participants, all science museum visitors visiting museums in the
visualizations and 273 science mu- seum visitors Alper, 2017, [1] 21 participants, 6 in kindergarten and 15 in grade 2 at a Prench immersion school in an upper-class district in Scattle. VLAF: Development of a Visualiza- tion Literacy Assessment Test Lee, 2017, [23] 191 participants recruited from MTurk. 105 females and 86 males, ages ranging from 19 to 22 with a mean of 37, all participants had completed a high school education. 42% had a bachelor's degree, 15% had a master's or doctroid degree. Diagram Safari: A Visualization Lit- eracy Game for Visualization Lit- eracy in Mong Children Gibler, 2019, [11] 23 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 17 male. Outivating dual visualization lite- acy in museums Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants, 107 were which, 37% were real- sci in Mackground, and 1% chose not to provide race information. 73% were female, 44% were mone-hinary in gender, and 1% chose not to provide gae information. 748 participants, man average of 3 people per group. 85% were age 7-10, 11% were back, 37% were age 7-10, 11% eracial background, and 1% chose not to provide race information. 749 participants from a variety of locations on the must-urban scale in formation poor? Puencing Yu, 2010, [35] 73 participants from a variety of locations. Participants had couppants school and one participants had no completed a senior secondary program and one participants had acollege education. Participants had couppants form avariety of locations. Participants had couppant character (1), turker taker (1), turker taker (1), turker taker (1), university technician (1), or were liad of (2). Influencing Visual <	ization literacy using 20 information		United States.
seum visitors YuailZaiton Literacy at Elementary Alper, 2017, [1] 21 participants, 6 in kindergarten and 15 in grade 2 at a French immersion school in an upper-class district in Scattle. VLATE. Development of a Visualization Literacy Assessment Test 191 participants recruited from MTurk, 105 females and 86 males, ages ranging from 19 to 72 with a mean of 37, all participants had a completed a high school education, 42% had bachelor's degree, 15% had a master's or doctoral degree. Disgram Safari: A Visualization Literacy Chamber of Voug Children Gabler, 2019, [11] 23 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 10 male. Cultivating data visualization Literacy in muscums Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants in 74 groups with an verage of 3 people per group, and yn muscums Key muscums Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants, from a variety or locations on the runal-urban scale in formation. 25% were age 174, 21% were age 174, 10, 11% were age 174, 10, 11% were age 174, 21% were age 174, 10, 11% were age 174, 21% were age 174, 10, 11% were age 174, 21% were age 174, 10, 11% were age 174, 21% were age 174, 10, 11% were age 174, 21% were age 174, 10, 11% were age 174, 21% were age 174, 21% were age 174, 10, 11% were age 174, 21% were age 174, 10, 11% were age 174, 10, 11% were age 174, 10, 11% were age 174, 21% were age 174, 21% were age 174, 10, 11% were age 174, 21% were age 174, 21	visualizations and 273 science mu-		
Visualization Literacy at Elementary School Alper. 2017, [1] 21 participants, 6 in kindergarten and 15 in grade 2 at a French immersion school in an upper-class district in Scatule. VLAT: Development of a Visualiza- tion Literacy Assessment Test Lee, 2017, [23] 19 participants necruited from MTurk. 105 females and 80 males, ages ranging from 19 to 22 with a mean of 32, all participants had completed a high school education. 42% had a bachelor's degree, 15% had a master's or doctroit degree. Diagram Stari: A Visualization Lit- eracy Game for Visualization Lit- eracy in museums Edibler, 2019, [11] 23 participants and ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 17 male. Outivating data visualization liter- acy in museums Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants in 74 groups with an average of 3 people per group. 8% were Latines, 74% were white, 1% reported multiple racial identities, 10% were black, 3% were Asian, 1% selected "other" for nacial background, and 1% chose not to provide race information. 73 participants from a variety of locations on the mural-urban scale in formation poor? How poor informationally are the in- formation poor? Yu, 2010, [35] 73 participants from a variety of locations. 74 participants had compet female 4.48 Influencing Visual Judgment through Affective Prining Hakone, 2017, [14] 8 participants and 2 doctors. All patients were male and egg 65+. PROACT: Therative Design of a Paticipants and and patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and egg 65+. 100 patientisma d2 doctors. All patients were male and egg 65+. Preck 2019, [seum visitors		
School immersion school in an upper-class district in Scattle. VLATE: Development of a Visualization Literacy Assessment Test 191 participants recruited from MTurk, 105 females and 86 males, ages ranging from 19 to 72 with a mean of 37, all participants had completed a high school ocluation, 42% had bachelor's degree, 15% had a master's or doctoral degree. Diagram Sufari: A Visualization Literacy Children Came for Young Children 23 participants, all ingrade 4, 13 females and 80 males, ages range from MTurk, 105 females and 10 male. Designing Marative-Focused Role Huynh, 2021, [17] 33 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 17 male. Cultivating data visualization literacy in Nong Children Peppler, 2021, [30] 15 participants in 74 groups with an average of 3 people per group, and 1% chosen not provide age information. 52% were age 174, 21% were age 1-13, and 1% chosen not to provide age information. 52% were age 174, 21% were age 1-14, 10 % chosen not provide age information. 52% were age 1-14, and 1% di not provide age information. 52% were age 1-74, 21% were age 7-10, 11% were age 1-16, 10% were heade. Ages maged from 24 to 74 with a mean of 42. Most participants from a variety of locations on the rural-urban scale in north China, 20 of which have specific demographics included in the paper. 1-13, and 1% di do to provide age information. Participants had occupations such as farmer (4), taxi diver (1), timber trader (5), mail marker (1), were male 1 and a one participants and one participants and one participants had occupations such as farmer (4), taxi diver (1), tuniversity technician (1), owere headen at (1), bust trader (5), mail marker (1), tuniversity technician (1), owere haden at (2). Master (1),	Visualization Literacy at Elementary	Alper, 2017, [1]	21 participants, 6 in kindergarten and 15 in grade 2 at a French
VLAF: Development of a Visualiza- tion Literacy Assessment Test 191 participants recruited from Murk, 105 females and 86 males, ages ranging from 19 to 72 with a near of 37, all participants had completed a high school education, 42% had a bachelor's degree, 15% had a master's or doctoral degree. Diagram Safari: A Visualization Lit- eracy Gane for Visualization Lit- eracy in Young Children Gäbler, 2019, [11] 23 participants, all ing rade 4, 13 female and 10 male. Designing Narrative-Focused Role- Playing Games for Visualization Lit- eracy in Muscums Huynh, 2021, [17] 33 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 17 male. Cultivating duta visualization Lit- eracy in muscums Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants in 74 groups with an average of 3 people per group. 8% were female, 14% were withe, 11% epotent multiple racial background, and 1% chose not to provide gender information. 53% were female, 44% were male, 3% were asian, 1% selected" other/ for racial background, and 1% chose not to provide gender information. 53% were asian 15% did not provide age information. 53% were asian, 14% did not provide age information. 53% were asian, 14% did not provide age information. 53% were female, 44% were male, 3% were asian, 16% did not provide gender information. 53% were asian. 52% were age 1-13, and 15% did not provide age information. 53% were asian. 52% were age 1-13, and 15% did not provide age information. 53% were asian. 52% were age 1-13, and 15% did not provide age information. 53% were asian. 52% were age 1-14, not 15% did not provide age information. 53% were age 1-14, not 15% did not provide age information. 53% were asian. 54% underwere final, all callege education. Participants ages 1-10, university technicha (1), enver eng information 10% universit	School		immersion school in an upper-class district in Seattle.
Hon Literacy Assessment Test ages ranging from 19 to 72 with a mean of 37, all participants had completed a high school education, 42% had a bachelor's degree. Diagram Safari: A Visualization Literacy Game Gr Young Children Cabler, 2019, [11] 23 participants. all in grade 4, 13 female and 10 male. Designing Narative-Focused Role- Playing Games for Visualization Literacy in Young Children Huynh, 2021, [17] 33 participants. all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 17 male. Cultivating data visualization literacy in museums Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants in 74 groups with an average of 3 people per group. Were were were Latines, 74% were white, 11% reported multiple racial identities, 10% were black, 3% were Asian, 1% selected 'tother' for racial background, and 1% chose not to provide race information. 53% were eage 7.10, 11% were age 7.11%, 11% reported multions, 25% were age 7.10, 11% were a	VLAI: Development of a Visualiza-	Lee, 2017, [23]	191 participants recruited from MTurk, 105 females and 86 males,
Completed a flight school education / 200 million in a series of doctorial degree. Diagram Safari: A Visualization Literacy Game for Visualization Literacy Game for Visualization Literacy in Young Children 23 participants, all ingrade 4, 13 female and 10 male. Designing Narrative-Focused Role. Huynh, 2021, [17] 33 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 10 male. Cultivating data visualization Literacy in Young Children Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 17 male. Cultivating data visualization Literacy in Museums Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants in 74 groups with an average of 3 people per group. 8% were Latines, 74% were while, 11% reported multiple racial identities, 10% were back, 3% were Asian. 15% select d'other' for racial background, and 1% chose not to provide race information. 53% were age 7.10, 11% were age 14-16, 10% were age 7.66, Were age 7.10, 11% were age 14-16, 10% were age 7.66, Were age 7.10, 11% were age 14-16, 10% were age 7.61, 11% were age 14-16, 10% were age 7.61, 11% were age 14-16, 10% were age 7.61, 10% we	tion Literacy Assessment Test		ages ranging from 19 to 72 with a mean of 37, all participants had
Diagram Safari: A Visualization Literacy Game for Young Children Gäbler, 2019, [11] 23 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 10 male. Designing Narrative-Focused Role-Playing Games for Visualization Literacy in Young Children Hynh, 2021, [17] 33 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 17 male. Cultivating data visualization Literacy in Young Children Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants in 74 groups with an average of 3 people per group. Rever Latines, 74% were visualization Literacy in Young Children Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants in 74 groups with an average of 3 people per group. Rever Latines, 74% were visualization Literacy in Museums Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants in 74 groups with an average of 3 people per group. Rever Latines, 74% were write, 11% ever age 14-16, 10% were block 396, 76% were age 11-13, and 1% did not provide age information. 52% were age 17+, 21% were age 14-16, 10% were block 396, 76% were female, 44% were male, 3% were non-binary in gender, and 1% chose not to provide age seringer difform. Romation poor? Yu, 2010, [35] 73 participants, 10m avariety of locations on the rural-wan scale in north China, 20 of which have specific demographics included in the paper. 17 were male, 3 were female. 44% were emale. 36 were male 30 and comparison that a colege decation. Participants and 160, village leader (1), uniber trader (3), nard family in nowner (6), village leader (1), rural Pennsylvania mean of 42. Most participants had no completed a senior secondary program and one participant had a			completed a high school education, 42% had a bachelor's degree, 15%
Dragman Satar: A Visualization Life Gabler, 2019, [11] 25 participants, all in grade 4, 15 female and 10 male. Designing Narative-Focused Role- Palying Games for Visualization Life- eracy in Young Children Huynh, 2021, [17] 33 participants, all ages 11 to 13 with a mean of 12.09, 16 female and 17 male. Cultivating data visualization life- acy in museums Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants in 74 groups with an average of 3 people per group. 8% were Latines, 74% were white, 11% reported multiple racial identifies, 10% were gal +1-16, 10% were baled, 3% were Asian, 15% selected "other" for racial background, and 1% chose not to provide race information. 53% were [emale, 44% were male, 3% were mon-binary in gender, and 1% chose not to provide gender information. 53% were gal 1-11, and 14% dth ont provide age information. 53% were gal 1-10, 11% were age 14-16, 10% were below age 7, 6% were age 11-13, and 14% dth ont provide age information. 53% were female, 42% were male, 3% were formation. 53% were female, 42% were male, 3% were formation. 53% were female, 42% were male, 3% were formation. 54% over age 11-12, and 14% dth ont provide age information. 54% were age 1-14, and 1% dth ont provide age information. 54% were age 1-14, infw were age 14-16, 10% were below age 7, 6% were age 11-12, and 14% dth ont provide age information. 54% were age 11-12, and 14% dth ont provide age information. 54% were female, 42% were route for 30% were female. 54% were 10, intersective provide age information. 54% were age 1-14, and 14% dth ont provide age information. 54% were age 1-14, and 14% dth ont provide age information. 54% were age 1-14, and 14% dth ont provide age information. 54% were age 1-16, 10% were heale. 54% were age 1-10, infibure trader (5), inraf 54% and 14% dth onthas and 12 doctors. All patients were male and 36 e5+. </td <td>Discusse Coferia A Viscolization Lit</td> <td>C"hlar 2010 [11]</td> <td>nad a master's or doctoral degree.</td>	Discusse Coferia A Viscolization Lit	C"hlar 2010 [11]	nad a master's or doctoral degree.
 Beisging Narrative-Focused Role- Playing Games for Visualization Literacy in Young Children Cultivating data visualization literacy in Young Children Cultivating data visualization literacy in Young Children Peppler, 2021, [30] Peppler, 2021, [31] Peppler, 2021, [31] Peppler, 2021, [35] Peppler, 2021, [36] Peppler, 2021, [36] Pepp	Diagram Salari: A visualization Lit-	Gabler, 2019, [11]	25 participants, all in grade 4, 15 female and 10 male.
Designing Narrative-Pocused Rote- Playing Games for Visualization Lit- cracy in Young Children Sparticipants, all ages 11 to 13 will a mean of 12.09, 16 termate and 17 male. Cultivating data visualization Lit- cracy in museums Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants in 74 groups with an average of 3 people per group. 8% were Latines, 74% were white, 11% reported multiple racial background, and 1% chose not to provide ace information. 53% were female. 44% were male, 3% were non-binary in gender, and 1% chose not to provide age information. 53% were female. 44% were male, 3% were non-binary in gender, and 1% chose not to provide age information. How poor informationally are the in- formation poor? Yu. 2010, [35] 73 participants, from a variety of locations on the rural-whan scale in mean of 42. Nost participant had a college education. Participants had occupations such as farmer (4), taxi driver (1), timber trader (5), trait farming in owner (6), village leader (1), terming PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centered Visualization in Ru- ral Pennsylvania Hakone, 2017, [14] 8 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+. Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based Investigation Firat, 2020, [10] 25 participants, 2 female and high school education and some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants had some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants had some persona	Pracy Game for Young Children	Harmh 2021 [17]	
Finding Galaces for Visualization Literacy in Young Children Peppler, 2021, [30] 17 Indee Cultivating data visualization literacy in Young Children Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants in 74 groups with an average of 3 people per group. Sweere Latines, 74% were white, 11% reported multiple racial identities, 10% were black, 3% were Asian, 1% selected "other" for racial background, and 1% chose not to provide race information. 53% were female, 44% were male, 3% were non-binary in gender, and 1% chose not to provide gender information. How poor informationally are the information poor? Yu, 2010, [35] 79 participants, from a variety of locations on the rural-urban scale in north China, 20 of which have specific demographics included in the paper. 17 were male, 3% were (4), taxi diver (1), timiter trader (5), rural family in owner (6), village leader (1), retired headmaster (1), bus driver (1), university technician (1), or were laid off (2). Influencing Visual Judgment Harrison, 2013, [15] 963 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+. Effective Priming Peck, 2019, [29] 42 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+. Treemap Literacy: A Classroom-Based Investigation Firat, 2020, [10] 25 participants, 2 fromale and 13 male. All were university students. Participants see ranged from 18-64 with some participants the solo education and some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some college credit and varied consistently in age form 18-64 with some college credit and varied constenety scale. Most participants, 103 male, 56 f	Designing Narrauve-Focused Role-	Huyini, 2021, [17]	17 male
Cultivating data visualization liter- acy in museums Peppler, 2021, [30] 195 participants in 74 groups with an average of 3 people per group. 8% were Latines, 74% were white, 11% reported multiple racial background, and 1% chose not to provide acc information. 53% were feanel, 44% were male, 3% were non-binary in gender, and 1% chose not to provide age information. 53% were gen1-1, 11% were age 14-16, 10% were below age 7, 6% were age 11-13, and 1% did not provide age information. How poor informationally are the in- formation poor? Yu, 2010, [35] 73 participants, from a variety of locations on the rural-whan scale in north China, 20 of which have specific demographics included in the paper. 17 were male, 3 were female. Ages ranged from 24 to 74 with a mean of 42. Most participants had not completed a senior secondary program and one participant had not college education. Participants had occupations such as farmer (4), taxi driver (1), timber trader (5), rural family in owner (6), village leader (1), etrice the damaster (1), but driver (1), university technician (1), or were laid off (2). Influencing Visual Hakone, 2017, [14] 8 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+. Preck, 2019, [29] 42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants tain dip school education and some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants bains on average had a ligh school education sparticipants being age 65+. Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based Investigation Firat, 2020, [10] 25 participants, 16 male and 12 male with an average age of	aracy in Young Children		17 maie.
Current and year of second participants and year of year of the provide acce informationTepplet, 2021, [20]Tepplet, 2021, [20]acy in museumsParticipants, and year of year of the provide acce information.Si were tailines, 74% were white, 11% reported multiple racial identities, 10% were black, 3% were non-binary in gender, racial background, and 1% chose not to provide acce information.Mew poor informationally are the information poor?Yu, 2010, [35]73 participants, from a variety of locations on the rural-urban scale in north China, 20 of which have specific demographics included in the paper. 17 were male, 3 were female. Ages ranged from 24 to 74 with a mean of 42. Most participants had not completed a senior secondary program and one participants had not completed as enior secondary program and one participants had not completed as enior secondary program and one participants had not completed as enior secondary program and one participants had not completed as enior secondary program and one participants had not completed as enior secondary program and one participants had not completed as enior secondary program and one participants and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+.InfluencingVisualHakone, 2017, [14]8 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom-Based Investigation in Rural PennsylvaniaFirst, 2020, [10]22 participants, 10 and 23 male. All were university students, and some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants to average had a high school education and some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants and 23 male. All were university students, Participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom-Based InvestigationFirst, 2020, [Cultivating data visualization liter	Peppler 2021 [30]	105 participants in 74 groups with an average of 3 people per group
b) in indectains b) it water bandles, 3% were Asian, 1% selected "other" for racial background, and 1% chose not to provide race information. 53% were female, 34% were non-binary in gender, and 1% chose not to provide gender information. 52% were gender information. 2013, [15] Influencing Visual Judgment Harrison. 2013, [15] 963 participants form a variet of information. 10, or were laid off (2). Influencing Visual Judgment Harrison. 2017, [14] 8 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 654. Risk Communication participants information and some crosonal symmetricipants bad some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants is densified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants alo	acy in museums	reppier, 2021, [50]	8% were Latines 74% were white 11% reported multiple racial
mail bis, for the other of the other	acy in museums		identities 10% were black 3% were Asian 1% selected "other" for
53% were female, 44% were male, 3% were non-binary in gender, and 1% chose not to provide gender information. 52% were age 174, 21% were age 17-10, 11% were mage 14-16, 10% were below age 7, 6% were age 11-13, and 1% did not provide age information.How poor information poor?Yu, 2010, [35]73 participants, form a variety of locations on the rural-urban scale in north China, 20 of which have specific demographics included in the paper. 17 were male, 3 were female, Ages ranged from 24 to 74 with a mean of 42. Most participants had not completed a senior secondary program and one participant had a college education. Participants had not comparison such as farmer (4), taxi driver (1), timber trader (5), rural family in owner (6), village leader (1), retired headmaster (1), bus driver (1), university technician (1), or were laid off (2).Influencing PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centered Visualization for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk CommunicationHaknoe, 2017, [14] Peck, 2019, [29]8 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+.Data is Personal: Attitudes and Per- ceptions of Data Visualization in Ru- ral PennsylvaniaPeck, 2019, [29]42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants had sone personal experience with drug abuse. The participants had as from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effective Prink (10) Effective Prokage Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 10 male, 30 effective, and 7 PhD students. Participants, 10 male, 36 fermale, and 1 other recruited from MTurk.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effect of Prior Knowledge Elicita- t			racial background, and 1% chose not to provide race information.
and 1% chose not to provide gender information. 52% were age 17+, 21% were age 14-16, 10% were age 14-16, 10% were age 14-16, 10% were below age 7, 6% were age 11-13, and 1% dito provide age information.How poor informationally are the in- formation poor?Yu, 2010, [35]73 participants, from a variety of locations on the rural-urban scale in north China, 20 of which have specific demographics included in the paper. 17 were male, 3 were female. Ages ranged from 24 to 74 with a mean of 42. Most participants had not completed a senior secondary program and one participant had a college education. Participants had not completed a senior secondary program and one participant had a college education. Participants had not completed a senior secondary formation 10, university technician (1), on were laid off (2).Influencing PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centered Visualization for Effective PrimingHarrison, 2013, [15]963 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+.PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centered Visualization in Ru- ral PennsylvaniaPeck, 2019, [29]42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants had some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants had some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 103 male, 30 encenticipants and participants had some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants being age 65+.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsFirat, 2020, [16]160 participants, 105			53% were female, 44% were male, 3% were non-binary in gender.
21% were age 7-10, 11% were age 14-16, 10% were below age 7, 6% were age 11-13, and 1% did not provide age information. How poor informationally are the information poor? Yu, 2010, [35] Tormation poor? Yu, 2010, [35] Influencing Yu, 2010, [35] Influencing Viual Judgment Harrison, 2013, [15] PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centered Visualization in Ruralization in Ruralication PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centered Visualization in Rural Personal: Attitudes and Perceptions of Data Visualization in Rural Pennsylvania Patient-Centered Visualization in Rural Pennsylvania Peck, 2019, [29] Preck, 2019, [29] Peck, 2019, [29] Patient-Centered Visualization in Rural Pennsylvania Firat, 2020, [10] Patient-Centered Visualization in Rural Pennsylvania Peck, 2019, [29] Preck, 2019, [29] 42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants had some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants on average had a high school education and some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some college credit and varied consistently in age form 18-64 with some college credits and rate students, and 7 PhD students. Participants, 10 female and 14			and 1% chose not to provide gender information. 52% were age 17+,
were age 11-13, and 1% did not provide age information.How poor informationally are the information poor?Yu, 2010, [35]73 participants, from a variety of locations on the rural-urban scale in north China, 20 of which have specific demographics included in the paper. 17 were male, 3 were female. Ages ranged from 24 to 74 with a mean of 42. Most participants had not completed a senior secondary program and one participants had not completed a senior secondary program and one participants had not completed a senior secondary program and one participants had not completed a senior secondary program and one participants had not completed a senior secondary program and one participants had not completed a senior secondary program and one participants had not completed a senior secondary program and one participants in the did off (2).Influencing Visual Judgment through Affective PrimingHarrison, 2013, [15]PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centered Visualization for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk CommunicationHakone, 2017, [14]Data is Personal: Attitudes and Perceptions of Data Visualization in Rural PennsylvaniaPeck, 2019, [29]42 iparticipants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants had some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants had some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants had aligh school education and some college credit and varied consistently in age form 18-64 with some participants angle form 18 to 38.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom-Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effect of Prior Knowledg			21% were age 7-10, 11% were age 14-16, 10% were below age 7, 6%
How poor informationally are the information poor?Yu, 2010, [35]73 participants, from a variety of locations on the rural-urban scale in north China, 20 of which have specific demographics included in the paper. 17 were male, Ages ranged from 24 to 74 with a mean of 42. Most participants had not completed a senior secondary program and none participants had not completed a senior secondary program and none participants had not completed a senior secondary program and none participants had not completed a senior secondary program and none participants had not completed a senior secondary program and none participants had not completed a senior secondary program and none participants had not completed a senior secondary program and none participants had not completed a senior secondary program and none participants had not completed a senior secondary program and none participants had not completed a senior secondary driver (1), university technician (1), or were laid off (2).InfluencingVisualJudgmentHarrison, 2013, [15]963 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+.EffectiveProstaulization for8 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients escale. Most participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale.Most participantsPeck, 2019, [29]42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale.Most participantsFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, all avried consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants, and a varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants, and 7 PhD students. Participants, and 7 PhD students. <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>were age 11-13, and 1% did not provide age information.</td></tr<>			were age 11-13, and 1% did not provide age information.
formation poor?Interverse (1)formation poor?Interverse (2)formation poor?Interverse (2)formation poor?Interverse (2)formation poor?Interverse (2)formation poor?Interverse (2)formation poorInterverse (2)formation poor<	How poor informationally are the in-	Yu. 2010. [35]	73 participants, from a variety of locations on the rural-urban scale in
Paper. 17 were male, 3 were female. Ages ranged from 24 to 74 with a mean of 42. Most participants had not completed a senior secondary program and one participant had a college education. Participants had occupations such as farmer (4), taxi driver (1), timber trader (5), rural family inn owner (6), village leader (1), retired headmaster (1), bus driver (1), university technician (1), or were laid off (2).Influencing Visual Judgment through Affective PrimingHarrison, 2013, [15]963 participants recruited from MTurk.PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centered Visualization for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk CommunicationHakone, 2017, [14]8 participants recruited from MTurk.Data is Personal: Attitudes and Per- ceptions of Data Visualization in Ru- ral PennsylvaniaPeck, 2019, [29]42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants had some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants and some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, an age from 18-64 with some participants age from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsFirat, 2020, [16]160 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch."It's Just a Graph" - The Effect of porspinc Design Through the Affec- tive Lensvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, 24 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age	formation poor?	10, 2010, [00]	north China, 20 of which have specific demographics included in the
a mean of 42. Most participants had not completed a senior secondary program and one participant had a college education. Participants had occupations such as farmer (4), taxi driver (1), timber trader (5), rural family inn owner (6), village leader (1), retired headmaster (1), bus driver (1), university technician (1), or were laid off (2).Influencing Visual Judgment through Affective PrimingHarrison, 2013, [15]963 participants recruited from MTurk.PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centered Visualization for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk CommunicationHakone, 2017, [14]8 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+.Data is Fersonal: Attitudes and Per- ceptions of Data Visualization in Ru- ral PennsylvaniaPeck, 2019, [29]42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants had some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants had some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy: Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students. Participant ages ranged from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]160 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch."It's Just a Graph" - The Effect of graphic Design Through the Affec- tive Lonsvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, 245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.	1		paper. 17 were male, 3 were female. Ages ranged from 24 to 74 with
program and one participant had a college education. Participants had occupations such as farmer (4), taxi driver (1), timber trader (5), tural family inn owner (6), village leader (1), retired headmaster (1), us driver (1), university technician (1), or were laid off (2).Influencing Visual Judgment through Affective PrimingHarrison, 2013, [15]963 participants recruited from MTurk.PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centered Visualization for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk CommunicationHakone, 2017, [14]8 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+.Data is Personal: Attitudes and Per- ceptions of Data Visualization in Ru- ral PennsylvaniaPeck, 2019, [29]42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participant ges ranged from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]160 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch."It's Just a Graph" – The Effect of evalutionvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, (23]24 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.			a mean of 42. Most participants had not completed a senior secondary
occupations such as farmer (4), taxi driver (1), timber trader (5), rural family inn owner (6), village leader (1), retired headmaster (1), bus driver (1), university technician (1), or were laid off (2).Influencing Urough Affective PrimingHarrison, 2013, [15]963 participants recruited from MTurk.PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centered Visualization for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk CommunicationHakone, 2017, [14]8 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+.Data is Personal: Attitudes and Per- ceptions of Data Visualization in Ru- ral PennsylvaniaPeck, 2019, [29]42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participants ser range from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]160 participants, 101 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch."Ti's Just a Graph" - The Effect of graphic Design Through the Affec- tive LeageVan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [24]24 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.			program and one participant had a college education. Participants had
family inn owner (6), village leader (1), retired headmaster (1), bus driver (1), university technician (1), or were laid off (2).Influencing through Affective PrimingHarrison, 2013, [15]963 participants recruited from MTurk.PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centered Visualization for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk CommunicationHakone, 2017, [14]8 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+.Data is Personal: Attitudes and Per- reptions of Data Visualization in Ru- ral PennsylvaniaPeck, 2019, [29]42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants on average had a high school education and some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy: Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsVan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch.Smile or Scowl? Looking at Info- graphic Design Through the Affec- tive LowLan, 2021, [21]245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.			occupations such as farmer (4), taxi driver (1), timber trader (5), rural
driver (1), university technician (1), or were laid off (2).Influencing Visual Judgment through Affective PrimingHarrison, 2013, [15]963 participants recruited from MTurk.PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centered Visualization for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk CommunicationHakone, 2017, [14]8 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+.Data is Personal: Attitudes and Per- ceptions of Data Visualization in Ru- ral PennsylvaniaPeck, 2019, [29]42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants had some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants on average had a high school education and some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative Topicstens, 2020, [16]160 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk.Smile or Scowl? Looking at Info- graphic Design Through the Affec- tive LengLan, 2021, [21]245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.			family inn owner (6), village leader (1), retired headmaster (1), bus
InfluencingVisualJudgment Harrison, 2013, [15]963 participants recruited from M1urk.9R0ACT:Iterative Design of a PROMACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centered Visualization for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk CommunicationHakone, 2017, [14]8 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+.Data is Personal:Attitudes and Per- ceptions of Data Visualization in Ru- ral PennsylvaniaPeck, 2019, [29]42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants na some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants na average had a high school education and some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy:A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 10 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participants age ranged from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Liffects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsVan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch.Smile or Scowl?Looking at Info- graphic Design Through the Affec- tive LengLan, 2021, [21]245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.			driver (1), university technician (1), or were laid off (2).
IntegrationHakone, 2017, [14]8 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+.PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centered Visualization for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk CommunicationHakone, 2017, [14]8 participants, 6 patients and 2 doctors. All patients were male and age 65+.Data is Personal: Attitudes and Per- ceptions of Data Visualization in Ru- ral PennsylvaniaPeck, 2019, [29]42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants and some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants on average had a high school education and some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participant ages ranged from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]160 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch."Tiv's Just a Graph" – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on Info'Vis Evaluationvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.	Influencing Visual Judgment	Harrison, 2013, [15]	963 participants recruited from MTurk.
Patient-Centered Visualization for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk CommunicationPeck, 2019, [29]defendence of participants, of parteripants, and parteripants, of parteripants, and parteripants, and parteripants, and parteripants, and parteripants, parteripants, of parteripants, parteripants, and parteripants, parte	PROACT: Iterative Design of a	Hakone 2017 [14]	8 participants 6 patients and 2 doctors All patients were male and
Interfection for HammanianImplicit ControlImplicit ControlEffective Prostate Cancer Health Risk CommunicationPeck, 2019, [29]42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants on average had a high school education and some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participants are raged from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]160 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk."It's Just a Graph" – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis Evaluationvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.	Patient-Centered Visualization for	Tiakone, 2017, [14]	age 65+
Risk CommunicationPeck, 2019, [29]42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants had some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants on average had a high school education and some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participant ages ranged from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]160 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk."It's Just a Graph" - The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis Evaluationvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.	Effective Prostate Cancer Health		
Data is Personal: Attitudes and Perceptions of Data Visualization in Rural PennsylvaniaPeck, 2019, [29]42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants and some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants on average had a high school education and some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom-Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participant ages ranged from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicitation in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]160 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk."It's Just a Graph" – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis Evaluationvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [3]24 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.	Risk Communication		
Ceptions of Data Visualization in Ru- ral PennsylvaniaComposition of Data Visualization in Ru- ral PennsylvaniaComposition of Data Visualization in Ru- university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants on average had a high school education and some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participant ages ranged from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]160 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk."It's Just a Graph" – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis Evaluationvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch.Smile or Scowl? Looking at Info- graphic Design Through the Affec- tive LensLan, 2021, [21]245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.	Data is Personal: Attitudes and Per-	Peck, 2019, [29]	42 participants, all rural Pennsylvanians recruited from either a local
ral Pennsylvaniaparticipants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale. Most participants had some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants on average had a high school education and some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participant ages ranged from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]160 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk."It's Just a Graph" – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis Evaluationvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.	ceptions of Data Visualization in Ru-	,, [->]	university, a local construction site, or a local farmers market. Most
Most participants had some personal experience with drug abuse. The participants on average had a high school education and some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participant ages ranged from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]160 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk."It's Just a Graph" – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis Evaluationvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.	ral Pennsylvania		participants identified as liberal on the liberal-conservative scale.
Treemap Literacy:A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]The participants on average had a high school education and some college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants being age 65+.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsFirat, 2020, [16]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participant ages ranged from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]160 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk."It's Just a Graph" – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis Evaluationvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.			Most participants had some personal experience with drug abuse.
College credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some participants being age 65+.Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participant ages ranged from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]160 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk."It's Just a Graph" – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis Evaluationvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch.Smile or Scowl? Looking at Info- graphic Design Through the Affec- tive LensLan, 2021, [21]245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.			The participants on average had a high school education and some
Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participant ages ranged from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]160 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk."It's Just a Graph" – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis Evaluationvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch.Smile or Scowl? Looking at Infographic Design Through the Affective LensLan, 2021, [21]245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.			college credit and varied consistently in age from 18-64 with some
Treemap Literacy: A Classroom- Based InvestigationFirat, 2020, [10]25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students, 14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participant ages ranged from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]160 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk."It's Just a Graph" – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis Evaluationvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch.Smile or Scowl? Looking at Info- graphic Design Through the Affec- tive LensLan, 2021, [21]245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.			participants being age 65+.
Based Investigation14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students. Participant ages ranged from 18 to 38.Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]160 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk."It's Just a Graph" – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis Evaluationvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch.Smile or Scowl? Looking at Infographic Design Through the Affective LensLan, 2021, [21]245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.	Treemap Literacy: A Classroom-	Firat, 2020, [10]	25 participants, 2 female and 23 male. All were university students,
Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita- tion in Provocative TopicsHeyer, 2020, [16]Participant ages ranged from 18 to 38."It's Just a Graph" – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis EvaluationWan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk.Smile or Scowl? Looking at Info- graphic Design Through the Affec- tive LensLan, 2021, [21]245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.	Based Investigation		14 undergraduate students, 4 master's students, and 7 PhD students.
Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicitation in Provocative Topics Heyer, 2020, [16] 160 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from MTurk. "It's Just a Graph" – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis Evaluation van Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33] 24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch. Smile or Scowl? Looking at Infographic Design Through the Affective Lens Lan, 2021, [21] 245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.			Participant ages ranged from 18 to 38.
Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicitation in Provocative Topics M1urk. "It's Just a Graph" – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis Evaluation van Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33] 24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch. Smile or Scowl? Looking at Infographic Design Through the Affective Lens Lan, 2021, [21] 245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.	Pushing the (Visual) Narrative: the	Heyer, 2020, [16]	160 participants, 103 male, 56 female, and 1 other recruited from
tion in Provocative Topicsvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch."Tr's Just a Graph" – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis Evaluationvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch.Smile or Scowl? Looking at Info- graphic Design Through the Affec- tive LensLan, 2021, [21]245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.	Effects of Prior Knowledge Elicita-		M lurk.
It is just a Graph – The Effect of Post-Hoc Rationalisation on InfoVis Evaluationvan Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21. All participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch.Smile or Scowl? Looking at Infographic Design Through the Affective LensLan, 2021, [21]245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.	tion in Provocative Topics		
Post-rioc Rationalisation on Info vis [55] Evaluation [55] Smile or Scowl? Looking at Infographic Design Through the Affective Lens Lan, 2021, [21] 245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.	It s Just a Graph" – The Effect of	van Koningsbruggen, 2021,	24 participants, 10 female and 14 male with an average age of 35.21.
Smile or Scowl? Looking at Infographic Design Through the Affective Lens Lan, 2021, [21] 245 participants, recruited from MTurk. Ages range from 18 to 79 with an average age of 37.39.	Fusi-rioc Kationalisation on InfoVis	[33]	An participants were European with 21 of them being Dutch.
graphic Design Through the Affec- tive Lens	Smile or Scowl? Looking at Info	Lan 2021 [21]	245 participants recruited from MTurk Ages range from 18 to 70
tive Lens with all average age of 57.57.	graphic Design Through the Affec-	Laii, 2021, [21]	with an average age of 37 39
	tive Lens		

and they were consistently able to find participant pools with equal numbers of females and males. Six of these seven studies included the age of the participants, but they were heavily skewed towards the younger side. This is partially because three of the six studies were specifically done on children. However, even the studies that were not focused on children had low average ages.

Out of the four of these seven studies that were not focused on children, two included the education details of the participants. All participants had completed a high school education and the majority had some level of university education. One of these studies included race and the majority of participants were white.

All of these studies were conducted in the United States. Most of these studies show indicators of wealth among the participants: the elementary school study by Alper et al. [1] states that it was conducted in a school located in an upper-class district, those with university degrees are typically wealthier than those without [32], and those with the time and money to visit museums may be wealthier than average as well.

This informal analysis shows that visualization literacy user studies have been limited to those who are WEIRD (Western, educated, industrialized, rich, and democratic), which is not representative of the world population. Because of this, results found from the studies should not be considered conclusive of the global population.

5.2 Indirect Contributors

There are eight papers in the indirect contributors category. Three of the studies in these papers recruited participants from MTurk. The assessment by Harrison et al. [15] does not provide demographics of the participants, while the other two MTurk studies provide demographics.

The seven user studies with demographics will be the focus of this analysis. Four of these seven studies included the gender or sex of the participants and were not limited based on gender or sex. These four studies were strongly skewed towards male participants. Six of these seven studies included the age of the participants and were not limited based on age. These six studies were typically evenly distributed with limited numbers of participants above age 65.

Three of these seven studies included the education details of the participants. The participants from Firat et al.'s [10] study all had some university education. Many but not all of the participants from Peck et al.'s [29] study had completed high school and at least some college credit. The majority of participants in Yu's [35] study did not complete a high school education. None of these studies included race information.

Six out of eight of these studies were conducted in the United States with the remaining two being in China and Europe. This informal analysis shows that user studies that indirectly contribute to visualization literacy research tend to be limited to those who are WEIRD, but are not always. Again, results found from the studies should not be considered conclusive of the global population.

5.3 Comparison

Direct contributors had more diverse participants in terms of gender and sex. Indirect contributors to visualization literacy were skewed towards male participants, likely because most of the groups the researchers recruited from were male-dominated. Due to this imbalance, results found in these studies may not be hold true for women and gender minorities.

Indirect contributors had wider distributions of age and were less skewed towards younger participants than the direct contributors. Indirect contributors also had more diverse participants in terms of education level and countries recruited from, although only one of the studies recruited participants from a non-Western country.

Future visualization literacy research should focus on recruiting more diverse participants. They should focus on recruiting older, less educated, and non-Western participants in order to make more global claims about visualization literacy which is important to ensure all people can benefit from new research.

6 DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK

Visualization literacy is a challenging topic to scope, and it would be easy to let it encompass all of visualization. In this paper, we focused on work in understanding the state of, measuring, and teaching visualization literacy in the global population.

There has been significant work in related fields, such as individual cognitive differences in visualization. The goal of this paper was to investigate our understanding of visualization literacy, and not the question of why certain individuals or groups may lack visualization literacy. Early work in visualization literacy was done using different terms, such as "visualization comprehension". In 2005, Velez et al. [34] investigated how cognitive characteristics, in particular spatial ability, affects understanding of visualizations as well as the differences in understanding they found between men and women. Similarly, Lee et al. [24] examined the correlations of visualization literacy with the cognitive ability of numeracy, the cognitive motivation of need for cognition, and two cognitive styles which are described as "a person's characteristic mode of perceiving, thinking, remembering, and problem solving". These papers focused on finding reasons why people may have low visualization literacy which is important work for visualization in general but was not the focus of this survey.

Given how young visualization literacy research is, all of the themes we found could be investigated much more. Fundamentals research should be expanded to include the construction of visualizations and how that skill interacts with the ability to interpret visualizations. How strong is the general public's ability to construct visualization? Does learning to interpret visualizations include skills in constructing visualizations?

Believability research could investigate how to change opinions on believability, create trust with users, and which groups are considered to be illiterate in visualization versus literate but with different views. Which visualizations are effective at changing people's opinions? How can we determine whether people are unable to see that certain sources are unreliable or if they have different standards of reliability?

Engagement research should be done to investigate how to build narratives into visualization systems, how to situate visualizations even when they cover a global topic, and the differences in engagement between viewing visualizations in social settings or alone. How can narratives be built into visualizations that are not naturally narrative? What visualization techniques show users both a global picture and a local version that emphasizes personal impact? Even if researchers do not consider believability and engagement to be vital parts of visualization literacy, they should at least discuss believability and engagement and why they are not relevant to their research.

Future work could also focus on the interplay between the themes identified in this paper: fundamentals, believability, and engagement. For example, how strongly does being skilled in each of these themes correlate to being skilled in the others? Is believability taught separately from the fundamentals or is it an inherent aspect of fundamentals?

Future user studies need to do a better at studying true novices and underrepresented groups, particularly in gender and sex, age, education level, and recruitment country. Further, future studies should be more consistent with reporting demographics of participants. Researcher's must consider and discuss whether their visualization research is really helpful for everyone, whether they are really designing for novice users, and when should they be calling for better visualization literacy.

We were unable to complete all of the readings that may have been relevant to this paper due to time constraints. In the future, I'd like to read and include information from books such as Data Feminism [9].

7 CONCLUSION

We surveyed twenty-four papers and then identified and discussed three themes: fundamentals, believability, and engagement. We found that two themes, believability and engagement, were not typically examined in work on visualization literacy. We propose that they be considered in standard visualization literacy research and provide a new definition for visualization literacy that encompasses all of these themes. Participant pools in visualization literacy user studies were analysed to find gaps in the literature. Gaps were identified in gender, age, education level, and recruitment country diversity. We suggest that future visualization literacy user studies include more demographics about their participants so gaps can be more easily identified and remedied.

REFERENCES

- B. Alper, N. H. Riche, F. Chevalier, J. Boy, and M. Sezgin. Visualization literacy at elementary school. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference* on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '17, p. 5485–5497. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2017. doi: 10. 1145/3025453.3025877
- [2] O. Barral, S. LallÉ, A. Iranpour, and C. Conati. Effect of adaptive guidance and visualization literacy on gaze attentive behaviors and sequential patterns on magazine-style narrative visualizations. ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems (TiiS), 11(3-4):1–46, 2021.
- [3] K. Börner, A. Bueckle, and M. Ginda. Data visualization literacy: Definitions, conceptual frameworks, exercises, and assessments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(6):1857–1864, 2019.
- [4] J. Boy, R. A. Rensink, E. Bertini, and J.-D. Fekete. A principled way of assessing visualization literacy. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 20(12):1963–1972, 2014. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2014. 2346984
- [5] K. Börner, A. Maltese, R. N. Balliet, and J. Heimlich. Investigating aspects of data visualization literacy using 20 information visualizations and 273 science museum visitors. *Information Visualization*, 15(3):198–213, 2016. doi: 10.1177/1473871615594652
- [6] X. Chen, J. L. Hay, E. A. Waters, M. T. Kiviniemi, C. Biddle, E. Schofield, Y. Li, K. Kaphingst, and H. Orom. Health literacy and use and trust in health information. *Journal of Health Communication*, 23(8):724–734, 2018. PMID: 30160641. doi: 10.1080/10810730.2018.1511658
- [7] F. Chevalier, N. H. Riche, B. Alper, C. Plaisant, J. Boy, and N. Elmqvist. Observations and reflections on visualization literacy in elementary school. *IEEE computer graphics and applications*, 38(3):21–29, 2018.
- [8] M. Correll and J. Heer. Black hat visualization. In Workshop on Dealing with Cognitive Biases in Visualisations (DECISIVe), IEEE VIS, 2017.
- [9] C. D'ignazio and L. F. Klein. Data feminism. MIT press, 2020.
- [10] E. E. Firat, A. Denisova, and R. S. Laramee. Treemap Literacy: A Classroom-Based Investigation. In M. Romero and B. Sousa Santos, eds., *Eurographics 2020 - Education Papers*. The Eurographics Association, 2020. doi: 10.2312/eged.20201032
- [11] J. Gäbler, C. Winkler, N. Lengyel, W. Aigner, C. Stoiber, G. Wallner, and S. Kriglstein. Diagram safari: A visualization literacy game for young children. In *Extended Abstracts of the Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play Companion Extended Abstracts*, CHI PLAY '19 Extended Abstracts, p. 389–396. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2019. doi: 10.1145/3341215.3356283
- [12] M. Galesic and R. Garcia-Retamero. Graph literacy: a cross-cultural comparison. *Medical Decision Making*, 31(3):444–457, 2011.
- [13] S. Gratzl, A. Lex, N. Gehlenborg, H. Pfister, and M. Streit. Lineup: Visual analysis of multi-attribute rankings. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization* and Computer Graphics, 19(12):2277–2286, 2013. doi: 10.1109/TVCG. 2013.173
- [14] A. Hakone, L. Harrison, A. Ottley, N. Winters, C. Gutheil, P. K. J. Han, and R. Chang. Proact: Iterative design of a patient-centered visualization for effective prostate cancer health risk communication. *IEEE Transactions* on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 23(1):601–610, 2017. doi: 10. 1109/TVCG.2016.2598588
- [15] L. Harrison, D. Skau, S. Franconeri, A. Lu, and R. Chang. Influencing visual judgment through affective priming. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '13, p. 2949–2958. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2013. doi: 10.1145/2470654.2481410
- [16] J. Heyer, N. K. Raveendranath, and K. Reda. Pushing the (visual) narrative: the effects of prior knowledge elicitation in provocative topics. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 1–14, 2020.
- [17] E. Huynh, A. Nyhout, P. Ganea, and F. Chevalier. Designing narrativefocused role-playing games for visualization literacy in young children. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 27(2):924– 934, 2021. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2020.3030464
- [18] A. Jena, M. Butler, T. Dwyer, K. Ellis, U. Engelke, R. Kirkham, K. Marriott, C. Paris, and V. Rajamanickam. The next billion users of visualization. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 41(2):8–16, 2021.

- [19] S. H. Kimball. Survey data collection; online panel efficacy. a comparative study of amazon mturk and research now ssi/survey monkey/opinion access. *Journal of Business Diversity*, 19(2), 2019.
- [20] B. C. Kwon and B. Lee. A comparative evaluation on online learning approaches using parallel coordinate visualization. In *Proceedings of the* 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '16, p. 993–997. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2016. doi: 10.1145/2858036.2858101
- [21] X. Lan, Y. Shi, Y. Zhang, and N. Cao. Smile or scowl? looking at infographic design through the affective lens. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 27(6):2796–2807, 2021.
- [22] C. Lee, T. Yang, G. D. Inchoco, G. M. Jones, and A. Satyanarayan. Viral visualizations: How coronavirus skeptics use orthodox data practices to promote unorthodox science online. In *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 1–18, 2021.
- [23] S. Lee, S.-H. Kim, and B. C. Kwon. Vlat: Development of a visualization literacy assessment test. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 23(1):551–560, 2017. doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2016.2598920
- [24] S. Lee, B. C. Kwon, J. Yang, B. C. Lee, and S.-H. Kim. The correlation between users' cognitive characteristics and visualization literacy. *Applied Sciences*, 9(3):488, 2019.
- [25] L. Li, X. Chen, D. Wu, and H. Li. Effects of attending preschool on adolescents' reading literacy: Evidence from the ethnic minority children in china. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 116:105211, 2020. doi: 10. 1016/j.childyouth.2020.105211
- [26] A. V. Maltese, J. A. Harsh, and D. Svetina. Data visualization literacy: Investigating data interpretation along the novice—expert continuum. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 45(1):84–90, 2015.
- [27] H. Mansoor and L. Harrison. Data visualization literacy and visualization biases: Cases for merging parallel threads. In *Cognitive Biases in Visualizations*, pp. 87–96. Springer, 2018.
- [28] P. Mena. Reducing misperceptions through news stories with data visualization: The role of readers' prior knowledge and prior beliefs. *Journalism*, 0(0):14648849211028762, 2021. doi: 10.1177/14648849211028762
- [29] E. M. Peck, S. E. Ayuso, and O. El-Etr. Data is Personal: Attitudes and Perceptions of Data Visualization in Rural Pennsylvania, p. 1–12. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2019.
- [30] K. Peppler, A. Keune, and A. Han. Cultivating data visualization literacy in museums. *Information and Learning Sciences*, 2021.
- [31] S. Sultana, S. I. Ahmed, and J. M. Rzeszotarski. Seeing in context: Traditional visual communication practices in rural bangladesh. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 4(CSCW3):1–31, 2021.
- [32] S. Tavernise. Education gap grows between rich and poor, studies say. *The New York Times*, 9, 2012.
- [33] R. van Koningsbruggen and E. Hornecker. "it's just a graph"–the effect of post-hoc rationalisation on infovis evaluation. In *Creativity and Cognition*, pp. 1–10, 2021.
- [34] M. Velez, D. Silver, and M. Tremaine. Understanding visualization through spatial ability differences. In VIS 05. IEEE Visualization, 2005., pp. 511– 518, 2005. doi: 10.1109/VISUAL.2005.1532836
- [35] L. Yu. How poor informationally are the information poor? evidence from an empirical study of daily and regular information practices of individuals. *Journal of Documentation*, 2010.

Appendix

Table 4: The 27 papers considered for this survey with whether they were included ("Used?"), contained a definition for visualization literacy ("Definition?", if yes and the cell is red then the definition of visualization literacy does not match what is discussed in the paper) discussed fundamentals, discussed believability, and discussed engagement. For the theme columns, a white cell means the theme was not discussed in the associated paper, a green cell means the theme was only discussed in the content of the associated paper and was not included in the provided definition of visualization literacy, a blue cell means the theme was discussed in both the content of the associated paper and the provided definition of visualization literacy, and a purple cell means the theme was discussed in the provided definition of visualization literacy, and a purple cell means the theme was discussed in the provided definition of visualization literacy but not in the content of the associated paper. 14 papers discuss fundamentals, 7 papers discuss believability, and 8 papers discuss engagement. The papers are first sorted numerically by year then sorted alphabetically by author. It is noteworthy that papers on fundamentals were more common during earlier years while papers discussing believability or engagement were more common during later years, suggesting a shift in interests.

How poor informationally are the information poor? Evi- dence from an empirical study of faily and regular informa- tion practices of individuals Yu, 2010, [35] yes no Graph Literacy: A Cross- Cultural Comparison. Galesic, 2011, [12] yes no Influencing: visual judgment Harrison, 2013, [15] yes no A Principle Way of Assess- ing Visualization Literacy: Investigating Data Interpreta- tion Along the Novice-Expert Continuum Matese, 2015, [26] yes no Data Yusualization Literacy: Investigating Appends to Data Visualization Literacy Using 20 Information Visualizations and 273 Science Miseum Vis- itors Börner, 2016, [5] yes yes A Comparative Evaluation On Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vis- sualization Kwon, 2016, [20] no Visualization Literacy Using Pravet Visualization Kwon, 2016, [20] no PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centred Visualization Correll, 2017, [8] yes no PROACT: Iterative Design of a Nation Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk Commu- nication Chevalier, 2018, [7] yes yes Development of a visualization Chevalier, 2018, [7] yes yes Data Visualization Literacy scalacion Mausoor, 2018, [27] yes yes	Title	First Author, Year, Citation	Used?	Definition?	Fundamentals	Believability	Engagement
the information poor? Evi- dence from an empirical study of daily and regular informa- tion practices of individuals Graph Literacy: A Cross- Cultural Comparison. Influencing visual judgment Hurison, 2013. [15] yes no Influencing visual judgment Hurison, 2013. [15] yes no Data Visualization Literacy: Investigating Appeets of Data Visualization Literacy: Investigating Appeets of Data Visualization Literacy: Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualization Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualization Cortent Visualization Iteracy at Ele- nentary School PROACT: Terative Design of Prostation and Reflections Or Effective Prostate Cameer Health Risk Commu- nication Development of a visualization Elementary School Data Visualization Iteracy at Ele- non Visualization Iteracy at Ele- non Constraint elementary School Makes, 2017. [19] yes yes No Prostation Prostation No Prostati	How poor informationally are	Yu, 2010, [35]	yes	no			
dence from an empirical study of faily and regular informa- tion practices of individuals Galesic, 2011, [12] yes no Cultural Comparison. Galesic, 2011, [13] yes no Image: Comparison of the comparison o	the information poor? Evi-						
of daily and regular informa- tion practices of individuals Graph Literacy: A Cross- Cultural Comparison. Influencing visual judgment Hroogh affective priming A Principled Way of Assess- Boy. 2014. [12] yes no A Principled Way of Assess- Boy. 2014. [14] yes yes no investigating Appets of Data Visualization Literacy: Investigating Appets of Data Visualization Visualization Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualization Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualization Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualization Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualization Difference Visualization Correctl. 2017. [11] yes yes New Source State Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualization Difference Visualization Correctl. 2017. [18] yes no PROACT: Tetrative Design of PROACT: Tetrative Design of Priore Visualization Correctl. 2017. [14] yes yes New Source Visualization Difference Visualization Difference Visualization Difference Visualization Correctl. 2017. [14] yes yes New Source Visualization Difference Visualization Correctl. 2017. [14] yes yes New Source Visualization Difference Visualization Difference Visualization Correctl. 2017. [14] yes yes No Source Visualization Difference Visualization Correctl. 2017. [14] yes yes No Source Visualization Difference Visualization Correctl. 2017. [15] yes yes No Source Visualization Difference Visualization Correctl. 2017. [16] yes yes No Source Visualization Difference Visualization Correctl. 2017. [21] yes yes No Source Visualization Difference Visualization Difference Visualization Correctl. 2017. [21] yes yes No Source Visualization Difference Visualizati	dence from an empirical study						
Inon practices of individuals Galesic, 2011, [12] yes no Cultural Comparison. Influencing, Visual judgments Harrison, 2013, [15] yes no Influencing, Visual judgments Harrison, 2013, [15] yes no Image: Comparison of the comparison of t	of daily and regular informa-						
Graph Theracy: A Cross Galeste, 2017, [12] yes no Influencing visual judgment through affective priming Harrison, 2013, [15] yes no A Principled Way of Assess: Boy, 2014, [4] yes yes no Investigating Data Interpretation Alteracy: Maltese, 2015, [26] yes no no Investigating Aspects of Data Interpretation Alteracy Using 20 Information Visualizations and 273 Science Museum Visions Börner, 2016, [5] yes yes yes Oline Learning Approaches Kwon, 2016, [20] no no Investigation Literacy Using 20 Information Visualization Online Learning Approaches Kwon, 2016, [20] no Incomparative Evaluation On Online Learning Approaches Kwon, 2017, [11] yes yes no PROACT: Iterative Design of a visualization Correll, 2017, [13] yes no Indone Indone Indone Development of a visualization Literacy and Parallel Coordinate Visualization Correll, 2017, [14] yes no Indone Indon	tion practices of individuals						
Cultural Comparison Influencing visual judgment Harrison, 2013, [15] yes no Influencing visual judgment Harrison, 2013, [15] yes no Image: Comparison of	Graph Literacy: A Cross-	Galesic, 2011, [12]	yes	no			
Initiation (a) (vsual judgitent Initiation (2013, [13]) yes ito A Principled Way of Assess- ing Vsualization Literacy: Investigating Data Interprets Boy, 2014, [4] yes yes Data Vsualization Literacy: Investigating Aspects of Data Visualization Vsualization Maltese, 2015, [26] yes no Investigating Aspects of Data Visualization Utieracy Using 20 Information Vsualization and 273 Science Museum Vis- itors Börner, 2016, [5] yes yes A Comparative Evaluation On Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualization Kwon, 2016, [20] no no Make Kat Visualization Correll, 2017, [11] yes yes no PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Cented Visualization Alper, 2017, [14] yes no Development of a visualization too for Effective Prostate Cancer Healt Risk Commu- ication Lee, 2017, [23] yes no Data Visualization Literacy and Visualization Litera	Luftuanaina visual indoment	Hamison 2012 [15]					
Introgen and Cetter approaches ing Visualization Literacy: Investigating Data Interpreta- tion Along the Novice-Expert Continuum Maltese, 2015, [26] yes no Investigating Data Interpreta- tion Along the Novice-Expert Continuum Maltese, 2015, [26] yes no Investigating Aspects of Data Visualization Literacy Using 20 Information Visualizations and 273 Science Museum Vis- vitors Börner, 2016, [5] yes yes A Comparative Evaluation On Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualization Rown, 2016, [20] no no PROACT: Interative Design of a Patient-Centred Visualiza- tion for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk Commu- Alper, 2017, [1] yes yes Development of a visualiza- tion for Effective Prostate Cases for Merging Parallel Observations and Reflections on Visualization Literacy in Elementary School Chevalier, 2018, [27] yes yes Data Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy on Visualization Literacy on Visualization Literacy on Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy on Visualization Literacy and Visualization Li	through affective priming	Hallison, 2013, [13]	yes	110			
Interpret ind to Tables (b), 2014, [r] (p) ing Visualization Literacy: Investigating Aspects of Data Visualization Visualizations Börner, 2016, [5] yes no Investigating Aspects of Data Visualization Visualizations Börner, 2016, [5] yes yes yes Investigating Aspects of Data Visualization Visualizations Börner, 2016, [5] yes yes yes A Comparative Evaluation On Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualization Kwon, 2016, [20] no no Black hat Visualization Correll, 2017, [1] yes yes no Black hat Visualization Correll, 2017, [1] yes no no Development of a visualization Correll, 2017, [14] yes no no Development of a visualization Literacy at lefter, 2018, [7] yes yes yes yes Development of a visualization Literacy at lefter, 2018, [7] yes yes yes yes Data Visualization Literacy at lefter, 2018, [7] yes yes yes yes yes Data Visualization Literacy at lefter, 2018, [7] yes yes yes yes yes Data	A Principled Way of Assess-	Boy 2014 [4]	Ves	Ves			
India Visualization Literacy: Investigating Data Interpreta- tion Along the Novice-ExpertMaltese, 2015, [26]yesnoInvestigating Data Interpreta- tion Along the Novice-ExpertBörner, 2016, [5]yesyesInvestigating Aspects of Data Visualization Literacy Using 20 Information VisualizationsBörner, 2016, [5]yesyesA Comparative Evaluation On Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualizationKwon, 2016, [20]noVisualization Literacy at Ele- nentary SchoolAlper, 2017, [1]yesyesPROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centred Visualiza- tion for Effective Prostate Development of a visualiza- tion for Effective ProstateLee, 2017, [23]yesDevelopment of a visualiza- tion No Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesDevelopment of a visualiza- tion for Effective Prostate and Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesData Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesData Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Biases: Cases for Merging Parallel ThreadsBörner, 2019, [3]yesyesData Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy befinitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- mentsgöbler, 2019, [11]yesyes	ing Visualization Literacy	boy, 2014, [4]	yes	yes			
Investigating Data Interpretation Along the Novice-Expert ContinuumInnext 2017, [25]yesyesInvestigating Aspects of Data Visualization Literacy Using Dolline Learning ApproachesBörner, 2016, [5]yesyesX Comparative Evaluation On Online Learning ApproachesKwon, 2016, [20]nonoA Comparative Evaluation On Online Learning ApproachesKwon, 2016, [20]noA Comparative Evaluation On Online Learning ApproachesAlper, 2017, [1]yesyesVisualizationCorrell, 2017, [1]yesnoPROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centred VisualizationCorrell, 2017, [14]yesnoPROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centred VisualizationLee, 2017, [23]yesyesDevelopment of a visualization Learnet SchoolChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesDevelopment of a visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesData Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy<	Data Visualization Literacy	Maltese 2015 [26]	ves	no			
tion Along the Novice-Expert ContinuumBörner, 2016, [5]yesyesInvestigating Aspects of Data Visualization Literacy Using 20 Information VisualizationsBörner, 2016, [5]yesyesA Comparative Evaluation On Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualizationKwon, 2016, [20]noMisualization Literacy at Ele- mentary SchoolAlper, 2017, [1]yesyesBlack hat visualizationCorrell, 2017, [8]yesnoPROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centred Visualiza- tion literacy assessment testLee, 2017, [23]yesnoDevelopment of a visualiza- tion literacy assessment testLee, 2017, [23]yesyesObservations and Reflections read VisualizationChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesData Visualization Literacy tand Visualization Literacy tand Visualization Literacy tand Visualization Literacy tand Visualization Literacy tandses:Börner, 2019, [3]yesyesData visualization literacy: Data visualization literacy: Data visualization literacy: Diagram safari: A visualization to niteracy game for young childrenBörner, 2019, [11]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualization childrenGäbler, 2019, [11]yesyesyesDiagram safari: A visualization childrenLee, 2019, [24]noImageDiagram safari: A visualization childrenLee, 2019, [24]no	Investigating Data Interpreta-	[101000, 2010, [20]	900				
ContinuumImage: ContinuumImage: ContinuumImage: ContinuumImage: ContinuumInvestigating Aspects of Data Visualization Literacy Using 20 Information Visualizations and 273 Science Museum Vis- itorsBörner, 2016, [5]yesyesyesA Comparative Evaluation On Inline Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualizationKwon, 2016, [20]noA Comparative Evaluation On Inline Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualizationAlper, 2017, [1]yesyesWisualizationCorrell, 2017, [8]yesnoImage: Correll, 2017, [14]yesMake At visualizationCorrell, 2017, [14]yesnoImage: Correll, 2017, [14]PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Cortred Visualiza- tion for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk Commun- incitationLee, 2017, [23]yesyesObservations and Reflections on Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesData Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy to literacy year for young childrenBörner, 2019, [3]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- to in literacy game for young childrenBörner, 2019, [21]yesyesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- to in literacy game for young childrenGäbler, 2019, [21]noyesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- to in literacy: cognitive Charac- coexistion Adv WisualizationGäbler, 2019, [24]noDiagram safari: A visualization childrenLee, 2019, [24]noImage: Co	tion Along the Novice-Expert						
Investigating Aspects of Data Visualization Uteracy Using 20 Information Visualizations and 273 Science Museum Vis- itorsBörner, 2016, [5]yesyesyesA Comparative Evaluation On Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualization Literacy at Ele- mentary SchoolKwon, 2016, [20]noVisualization Literacy at Ele- mentary SchoolKwon, 2017, [11]yesyesBlack hat visualization of a Patient-Centred Visualiza- tion literacy assessment testCorrell, 2017, [8]yesnoDevelopment of a visualiza- tion literacy assessment testChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesObservations and Reflections on Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolMansoor, 2018, [27]yesData visualization literacy Data visualization literacy Data visualization literacy: Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- mentsBörner, 2019, [3]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young childrenGäbler, 2019, [11]yesyesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- toon literacy and At visualization Literacy and Attribution Literacy and Attribution Literacy and Visualization literacy and Attribution and Attribution childrenBörner, 2019, [21]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza-	Continuum						
Visualization Literacy Using 20 Information Visualizations and 273 Science Museum Vis- itorsKwon, 2016, [20]noA Comparative Evaluation On Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Cordinate Vi- sualizationKwon, 2016, [20]noVisualizationKwon, 2017, [1]yesyesVisualizationCorrell, 2017, [8]yesnoPROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centred Visualiza- tion for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk Commu- nicationCorrell, 2017, [14]yesnoDevelopment of a visualiza- tion for Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolLee, 2017, [23]yesyesDevelopment of a visualiza- tion for Kieltons On Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesData Visualization Biases: Cases for Merging Parallel ThreadsMansoor, 2018, [27]yesyesData Visualization Biases: Cases for Merging Parallel ThreadsBörner, 2019, [3]yesyesData visualization Literacy: nettsBörner, 2019, [3]yesyesData visualization Biases: Cases for Merging Parallel ThreadsGäbler, 2019, [11]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young childrenGäbler, 2019, [24]noImage: NoThe Correlation between Users' Cognitive Charac- version: Add ManufaritorLee, 2019, [24]no	Investigating Aspects of Data	Börner, 2016, [5]	yes	yes			
20 Information Visualizations and 273 Science Museum Vis- itors Kwon, 2016, [20] no A Comparative Evaluation On Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualization Kwon, 2016, [20] no Visualization Literacy at Ele- mentary School Alper, 2017, [1] yes yes Black hat visualization Correll, 2017, [8] yes no PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centred Visualiza- tion for Effective Prostate Hake, 2017, [14] yes no Development of a visualiza- tion literacy assessment test Lee, 2017, [23] yes yes no Observations and Reflections Chevalier, 2018, [7] yes yes es	Visualization Literacy Using		-				
and 273 Science Museum Vis- itors kwon, 2016, [20] no A Comparative Evaluation On Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualization kwon, 2016, [20] no Visualization Literacy at Ele- mentary School Alper, 2017, [1] yes yes Black hat visualization Correll, 2017, [8] yes no PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centred Visualiza- tion for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk Commu- rication Correll, 2017, [14] yes no Development of a visualiza- tion literacy assessment test Lee, 2017, [23] yes yes Development of a visualiza- tion literacy assessment test Chevalier, 2018, [7] yes yes Development of a visualization Development of a visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Biases: Cases for Merging Parallel Threads Mansoor, 2018, [27] yes yes Data visualization literacy and visualization literacy: Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- ments Börner, 2019, [3] yes yes Diagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young children Gäbler, 2019, [11] yes yes The Correlation between Users' Cognitive Charac- verking Acid, Visualization Lee, 2019, [24] no Image: State sta	20 Information Visualizations						
itors	and 273 Science Museum Vis-						
A Comparative Evaluation On Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualization Kwon, 2016, [20] no Visualization Literacy at Ele- mentary School Alper, 2017, [1] yes yes Black hat visualization Correll, 2017, [8] yes no PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centred Visualiza- tion for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk Commu- nication Hakone, 2017, [14] yes no Development of a visualiza- tion literacy assessment test Chevalier, 2018, [7] yes yes Observations and Reflections on Visualization Literacy in Elementary School Chevalier, 2018, [7] yes yes Data Visualization Literacy and Visualization Biases: Cases for Merging Parallel Threads Börner, 2019, [3] yes yes Diagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young children Gäbler, 2019, [11] yes yes Diagram safari: A visualization Lee, 2019, [24] no Image: Image:	itors						
Online Learning Approaches Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualizationAlper, 2017, [1]yesyesWisualizationCorrell, 2017, [8]yesnoPROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centred Visualiza- tion for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk Commu- nicationHakone, 2017, [14]yesnoDevelopment of a visualiza- tion literacy assessment testLee, 2017, [23]yesyesyesObservations and Reflections on Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesData Visualization Literacy treadsMansoor, 2018, [27]yesyesyesData Visualization literacy: Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- mentsBörner, 2019, [3]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- toin literacy game for young childrenGäbler, 2019, [24]noyesLee, 2019, [24]noLee, 2019, [24]no	A Comparative Evaluation On	Kwon, 2016, [20]	no				
Using Parallel Coordinate Vi- sualizationAlper, 2017, [1]yesyesyesVisualizationCorrell, 2017, [8]yesnoBlack hat visualizationCorrell, 2017, [8]yesnoPROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centred Visualiza- tion for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk CommunicationHakone, 2017, [14]yesnoDevelopment of a visualiza- tion literacy assessment testLee, 2017, [23]yesyesObservations and Reflections on Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesData Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- mentsBörner, 2019, [3]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- toin literacy Biagram safari: A visualization bildrenGäbler, 2019, [11]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualization bilterac childrenLee, 2019, [24]noDiagram safari: A visualization between childrenLee, 2019, [24]no	Online Learning Approaches						
Sualization Alper, 2017, [1] yes yes incomposition Black hat visualization Correll, 2017, [8] yes no incomposition PROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centred Visualiza- tion for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk Commu- lication Hakone, 2017, [14] yes no incomposition Development of a visualiza- tion literacy assessment test Lee, 2017, [23] yes yes yes Observations and Reflections on Visualization Literacy in Elementary School Chevalier, 2018, [7] yes yes yes Data Visualization Literacy: Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- ments Börner, 2019, [3] yes yes yes Diagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young children Gäbler, 2019, [24] no incomposition Diagram safari: A visualization children Lee, 2019, [24] no incomposition incomposition Diagram safari: A visualization Elee, 2019, [24] no incomposition incomposition	Using Parallel Coordinate Vi-						
VisualizationLiteracy at Ele- mentary SchoolAlper, 2017, [1]yesyesnoBlack hat visualizationCorrell, 2017, [8]yesnoImage: constraint of the second sec	sualization	A1 2017 [1]					
Interlary SchoolCorrell, 2017, [8]yesnoPROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centred Visualiza- tion for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk Commu- nicationHakone, 2017, [14]yesnoDevelopment of a visualiza- tion literacy assessment testLee, 2017, [23]yesyesyesObservations and Reflections on Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesData Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy: and Visualization Literacy: Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- mentsMansoor, 2019, [3]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- ton literacy game for young childrenGäbler, 2019, [11]yesyesyesThe Correlation between Users' Cognitive Charac- trevieting Arad MinuschingtionLee, 2019, [24]noImage: no	Visualization Literacy at Ele-	Alper, 2017, [1]	yes	yes			
Diak har visualizationCorrent, 2017, [14]yesnoPROACT: Iterative Design of a Patient-Centred Visualiza- tion for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk Commu- nicationHakone, 2017, [14]yesnoDevelopment of a visualiza- tion literacy assessment testLee, 2017, [23]yesyesyesObservations and Reflections on Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy and Visualization Literacy: Data visualization literacy: Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- mentsMansoor, 2018, [27]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- ton literacy game for young childrenGäbler, 2019, [11]yesyesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- ton literacy game for young childrenLee, 2019, [24]noThe Correlation between Users' Cognitive Charac- treivition Act Marketing and the second	Black bat visualization	Correll 2017 [8]	VAC				
A Patient-Canted Usualiza- tion for Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk Commu- nicationInkolic, 2017, [23]yesyesDevelopment of a visualiza- tion literacy assessment testLee, 2017, [23]yesyesyesObservations and Reflections on Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesData Visualization Literacy and Visualization literacy and Visualization literacy and Visualization literacy Data visualization literacy: Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- mentsMansoor, 2018, [27]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young childrenGäbler, 2019, [11]yesyesyesThe Correlation between Users' Cognitive Charac- treixtice Ard VisueliarationLee, 2019, [24]noInteraction	PROACT: Iterative Design of	Hakone 2017 [14]	Ves	no			
a Tatichi Combo Visualization of Effective Prostate Cancer Health Risk Commu- nicationLee, 2017, [23]yesyesDevelopment of a visualiza- tion literacy assessment testLee, 2017, [23]yesyesObservations and Reflections on Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesData Visualization Literacy and Visualization Biases: Cases for Merging Parallel ThreadsMansoor, 2018, [27]yesyesData visualization literacy: Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- mentsBörner, 2019, [3]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young childrenGäbler, 2019, [11]yesyesThe Correlation between Users' Cognitive Charac- tereition Ard M WeilignitionLee, 2019, [24]no	a Patient-Centred Visualiza-	11akone, 2017, [14]	yes	110			
Cancer Health Risk CommunicationLee, 2017, [23]yesyesDevelopment of a visualization literacy assessment testLee, 2017, [23]yesyesObservations and Reflections on Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesData Visualization Literacy and Visualization Biases: Cases for Merging Parallel ThreadsMansoor, 2018, [27]yesyesData visualization literacy: Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- mentsBörner, 2019, [3]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualization bilteracy mentsGäbler, 2019, [11]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualization between Lee, 2019, [24]noLee, 2019, [24]no	tion for Effective Prostate						
nicationImage: constraint of the constrai	Cancer Health Risk Commu-						
Development of a visualiza- tion literacy assessment testLee, 2017, [23]yesyesObservations and Reflections on Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesData Visualization Literacy and Visualization Biases: Cases for Merging Parallel ThreadsMansoor, 2018, [27]yesyesData visualization literacy: Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- mentsBörner, 2019, [3]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young childrenGäbler, 2019, [11]yesyesThe Correlation between Users' Cognitive Charac- teriotionLee, 2019, [24]no	nication						
tion literacy assessment testImage: Characterization of the characterization	Development of a visualiza-	Lee, 2017, [23]	ves	ves			
Observations and Reflections on Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolChevalier, 2018, [7]yesyesData Visualization Literacy and Visualization Biases: Cases for Merging Parallel ThreadsMansoor, 2018, [27]yesyesBörner, 2019, [3]yesyesyesData visualization literacy: Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- mentsBörner, 2019, [3]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young childrenGäbler, 2019, [11]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young childrenLee, 2019, [24]no	tion literacy assessment test	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5				
on Visualization Literacy in Elementary SchoolMansoor, 2018, [27]yesyesData Visualization Literacy and Visualization Biases: Cases for Merging Parallel ThreadsMansoor, 2018, [27]yesyesData visualization literacy: Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- mentsBörner, 2019, [3]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young childrenGäbler, 2019, [11]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young childrenLee, 2019, [24]no	Observations and Reflections	Chevalier, 2018, [7]	yes	yes			
Elementary SchoolMansoor, 2018, [27]yesyesData Visualization Biases: Cases for Merging Parallel ThreadsMansoor, 2018, [27]yesyesData visualization literacy: Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- mentsBörner, 2019, [3]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young childrenGäbler, 2019, [11]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young childrenLee, 2019, [24]noImage: Section of the section of	on Visualization Literacy in						
Data Visualization Literacy and Visualization Biases: Cases for Merging Parallel ThreadsMansoor, 2018, [27]yesyesData visualization literacy: Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- mentsBörner, 2019, [3]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young childrenGäbler, 2019, [11]yesyesDiagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young childrenLee, 2019, [24]no	Elementary School						
and Visualization Biases: Cases for Merging Parallel Threads Data visualization literacy: Börner, 2019, [3] yes yes Definitions, conceptual frameworks, exercises, and assessments Börner, 2019, [1] yes yes Diagram safari: A visualization literacy game for young children Gäbler, 2019, [11] yes yes The Correlation between Users' Cognitive Character Lee, 2019, [24] no Image: Constraint of the parallel of t	Data Visualization Literacy	Mansoor, 2018, [27]	yes	yes			
Cases for Merging Parallel Threads Börner, 2019, [3] yes yes Data visualization literacy: Definitions, conceptual frame- works, exercises, and assess- ments Börner, 2019, [3] yes yes Diagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young children Gäbler, 2019, [11] yes yes The Correlation between Users' Cognitive Charac- tariztios, And Visualization Lee, 2019, [24] no Image: Construction of the parallel of the para	and Visualization Biases:						
Threads Image: Constraint of the second	Cases for Merging Parallel						
Data visualization literacy: Borner, 2019, [3] yes yes Definitions, conceptual frame-works, exercises, and assessments Borner, 2019, [3] yes yes Diagram safari: A visualization literacy game for young children Gäbler, 2019, [11] yes yes The Correlation between Users' Cognitive Charactory Lee, 2019, [24] no Image: Comparison of the provided in the provided	Threads	D# 2010 [2]				1	
Definitions, conceptual frame-works, exercises, and assessments Gäbler, 2019, [11] yes yes Diagram safari: A visualization literacy game for young children Gäbler, 2019, [11] yes yes The Correlation between Users' Cognitive Charactory Lee, 2019, [24] no Image: Comparison of the com	Data visualization literacy:	Börner, 2019, [3]	yes	yes			
works, exercises, and assessments Gäbler, 2019, [11] yes yes Diagram safari: A visualization literacy game for young children Gäbler, 2019, [11] yes yes The Correlation between Users' Cognitive Charactory And Visualization Lee, 2019, [24] no	Definitions, conceptual frame-						
Intents Gäbler, 2019, [11] yes yes Diagram safari: A visualiza- tion literacy game for young children Gäbler, 2019, [11] yes yes The Correlation between Users' Cognitive Charac- taristics Lee, 2019, [24] no	works, exercises, and assess-						
Diagram salar. A visualization Gabler, 2019, [11] yes yes tion literacy game for young children Lee, 2019, [24] no The Correlation between Users' Cognitive Charac- taristics Lee, 2019, [24] no	Diagram safari: A visualiza	Göbler 2010 [11]	Ves	Vec			
children no The Correlation between Users' Cognitive Charac- taristics And Visualization Lee, 2019, [24]	tion literacy game for young	Gablel, 2019, [11]	yes	yes			
The Correlation between Lee, 2019, [24] no Users' Cognitive Charac- taristics And Visualization	children						
Users' Cognitive Charac- tarieties And Visualizing	The Correlation between	Lee 2019 [24]	no				
taristics And Visualization	Users' Cognitive Charac-	200, 2017, [21]	10				
tensues And visualization	teristics And Visualization						
Literacy	Literacy						

continued on next page

Title	First Author, Year, Citation	Used?	Definition?	Fundamentals	Believability	Engagement
Data is Personal: Attitudes	Peck, 2019, [29]	yes	yes			
and Perceptions of Data Visu-						
alization in Rural Pennsylva-						
nia						
Treemap Literacy: A	Firat, 2020, [10]	yes	yes			
classroom-Based Investiga-						
tion						
Pushing the (Visual) Narra-	Heyer, 2020, [16]	yes	no			
tive: The Effects of Prior						
Knowledge Elicitation in						
Provocative Topics						
Effect of Adaptive Guidance	Barral, 2021, [2]	no				
and Visualization Literacy						
on Gaze Attentive Behaviors						
and Sequential Patterns on						
Magazine-Style Narrative Vi-						
sualizations						
Designing Narrative-Focused	Huynh, 2021, [17]	yes	yes			
Role-Playing Games for Vi-						
sualization Literacy in Young						
Children						
Viral Visualizations: How	Lee, 2021, [22]	yes	no			
Coronavirus Skeptics Use Or-						
thodox Data Practices to Pro-						
mote Unorthodox Science On-						
line						
The Next Billion Users of Vi-	Jena, 2021, [18]	yes	no			
sualization						
"It's Just a Graph" – The Ef-	van Koningsbruggen, 2021, [33]	yes	no			
fect of Post-Hoc Rationalisa-						
tion on InfoVis Evaluation						
Smile or Scowl? Looking at	Lan, 2021, [21]	yes	no			
Infographic Design Through						
the Affective Lens						
Cultivating data visualization	Peppler, 2021, [30]	yes	yes			
literacy in museums						
Seeing in Context: Traditional	Sultana, 2021, [31]	yes	yes			
Visual Communication Prac-						
tices in Rural Bangladesh						