Design Studies

Lecture 3 CPSC 533C, Spring 2004

19 Jan 2003

Tamara Munzner

Design Study

describe task

justify solution

refine until satisfied

Design Study Definition

Design study papers explore the choices made when applying infovis techniques in an application area, for example relating the visual encodings and interaction techniques to the requirements of the target task. Although a limited amount of application domain background information can be useful to provide a framing context in which to discuss the specifics of the target task, the primary focus of the case study must be the infovis content. Describing new techniques and algorithms developed to solve the target problem will strengthen a design study paper, but the requirements for novelty are less stringent than in a Technique paper.

InfoVis03 CFP, [infovis.org/infovis2003/CFP]

Architectural Lighting, Glaser

Prototype 1: Space Series · Focus+Context · explore massive data set · but some users rejected! Fieldwork · who, what tasks? architect, lighting designer, electrical engineer facility manager, daylighting consultant · daylight possibilities usually ignored Prototype 2, User Studies Prototype 3 · LightSketch · Scythe and Sew · LiQuID iterative design!







Architectural Lighting

Space Series · Focus+Context · explore massive data set · but some users rejected!

Fieldwork · who, what tasks? architect lighting designer electrical engineer facility manager daylighting consultant · daylight possibilities usually ignored

Final Prototypes



Multilevel Call Matrices, van Ham

large software project, implementation vs. spec

link matrix vs. node network



<text><text><text>





Tasks Succesfully Supported

visual categorization · i.e. libraries with mostly incoming calls

previous summary shown to be incomplete

spotting unwanted calls

determining component dependencies

task: plausibility checking for linguists

Linguistic Networks, Munzner

data: MindNet query results

definition graph · dictionary entry sentence

nodes: word senses
links: relation types

| | (@00000099.7) |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Hyp> | -marsupial100 1.1668e-007 |
| N | Mod>herbivorous102 2.1727e-010 |
| Locn> | -island107 1.1668e-007 |
| 1 | Mod>adjacent103 9.5719e-010 |
| Part> | -forelimb100 1.1695e-007 |
| | Mod>short104 1.4191e-009 |
| | hind limb100 1.1695e-007 |
| | Mod>large110 6.5013e-010 |
| <part< th=""><td>Macropodidae_of_Australia</td></part<> | Macropodidae_of_Australia |
| @urp> | -leap111 1.1722e-007 |
| | -tail101 1.1668e-007 |
| <tobj< th=""><td>-adapt104 1.1668e-007</td></tobj<> | -adapt104 1.1668e-007 |

Semantic Network

definition graphs used as building blocks

unify shared words

large network

- \cdot millions of nodes
- \cdot grammar checking now, translation future
- · global structure known: dense

probes return local info

Path Query

best N paths between two words

words on path itself

kangaroo100—Part→forelimb100—Mod→short104—Join→short←Mod—tail100

definition graphs used in computation

kangarool00 (vole101 tapir100 s sharp-tailed_grouse100 scut100 r pitta100 partridge104 lynx100 lc kingfisher100 horned_toad100 haw bobtail101 bobtail100 bobcat100 Scottish_terrier100)

Task: Plausibility Checking

paths ordered by computed plausibility

researcher hand-checks results

- · high-ranking paths believable?
- \cdot believable paths high-ranked?
- · are stop words all filtered out?

Top 10 Paths Kangaroo->Tail



Goal

create a unified view of relationships between paths and definition graphs

- \cdot shared words are key
- \cdot thousands of words (not millions)

special purpose algorithm debugging tools

 \cdot not understand structure of English







Constellation Semantic Layout

novel layout algorithm

- \cdot paths as backbone, definition graphs attached
- · curvilinear grid
- · iterative design for maximum semantics with reasonable information density

allow crossings for long-distance proxy links

25

Selective Emphasis

highlight sets of boxes and edges · interaction · additional perceptual channels avoid perception of false attachments



Hidden State

avoid hidden state

- \cdot change salience instead of toggle drawing
- why? closed world assumption
 - · implicit assumption: if not visible, doesn't exist
 - \cdot easy to forget previous actions
 - draw false negative conclusions

Single vs. Multiple Word Instances



Information Density





Information Density









