

Network Visualization

Presented by
Shahed


Introduction

Introduction

- Basic building blocks
 - Node
 - Links (relationship between nodes)
 - Spatial information
 - Network data

3

Introduction



<http://zeeb.library.cmu.edu:7850/JoSS/article.html>

Paper List

- **Visualizing Network Data**
 - Richard A. Becker, Stephen G. Eick, Allan R. Wilks.
- **3D Geographic Network Displays**
 - Kenneth C. Cox, Stephen G. Eick, Taosong He.
- **CyberNet: A framework for managing networks using 3D metaphoric worlds**
 - P. Abel and P. Gros and D. Loisel and C. Russo Dos Santos

5

Paper List

- **Visualizing Network Data**
 - Richard A. Becker, Stephen G. Eick, Allan R. Wilks.
- **3D Geographic Network Displays**
 - Kenneth C. Cox, Stephen G. Eick, Taosong He.
- **CyberNet: A framework for managing networks using 3D metaphoric worlds**
 - P. Abel and P. Gros and D. Loisel and C. Russo Dos Santos

6

Goal

- **Visualize the data associated with a network**
 - Understand data, not network themselves
- **Coping with large data volumes**
 - Hundreds of nodes
 - Thousands of links
 - Data from time periods
- **Overcome the map clutter problem**

7

Traditional Approach

- To reduce cluttering of data (traditional)
 - *Aggregation*: for large numbers of links or nodes
 - *Averaging*: for large numbers of time periods
 - *Thresholding*: for detecting changes

8

Solution

- **SeeNet**
 - **Static Displays**
 - Link Map
 - Node Map
 - Matrix
 - **Interactive Controls**
 - Parameter focusing
 - Data filtering
 - **Animation**
 - Smooth zoom

9

Dataset



- Telecommunication traffic
- 110 switches in the AT&T network
- 12,000 links
- Oct. 17, 1989, (San Francisco earthquake)
- **FOCUS:**
 - Traffic flow between switches (nodes)

10



Static Displays

Static Displays (1/3)

- **LINK MAP**
 - Draw lines connecting nodes
 - Show values using colors or thickness of line




12




- ### Disadvantage
- Disadvantage of Link Map
 - Too many links cause map cluttering
 - Use Node Maps !!!
- 15

- ### Static Displays (2/3)
- NODE MAP
 - Aggregation of information at each node
 - Use Glyphs
 - Vary *Size, shape, color* for statistics
- 16



- ### Disadvantage
- Disadvantage of Node Maps
 - Detailed Information about particular links lost
 - Solution:
 - Do away with geography
 - Try **Matrix display**
- 18




- ### Static Displays (3/3)
- MATRIX
 - Concentrates on links of a network (like Linkmap)
 - Color of square designates traffic
 - Does not have problems of geographic displays:
 - Visual prominence of long lines
 - Long lines (transcontinental) over plots others
- 21

- ### Disadvantage
- Disadvantage of Matrix Display
 - Information about geography lost
 - Tries to fix problem with nodes ordered from west coast to east coast along axis
- 22

Parameter Focusing

- ### Parameter Focusing
- Parameters determine network display
 - Parameter values (range) control what is displayed
 - Example:
 - Glyph size in node maps
 - Coloring of nodes & links
 - Dynamic parameter adjustments helpful
- 24




- ### Parameter classes
- Statistics
 - Levels
 - Geography / topology
 - Time
 - Aggregation
 - Size
 - Color
- 26

- ### Issues with parameter focusing
- Space of parameters large
 - Combination of parameters to chose
 - Displays sensitive to particular parameter values
 - SOLUTION
 - Allow Direct manipulation of parameters
- 27

- ### Direct Manipulation
- Automatic animation
 - Manual animation
 - Sound
 - Conditioning ('and' operation on parameters)
 - Identification (display tool tip of node)
 - Zoom
 - Birds-eye view
- 28

- ### Example (zoom in Link Map)
-
- Left: All line segments intersecting the display
 - Middle: any line segments with at least one endpoint in the display
 - Right: only lines that both begin and end inside the display
- 29



Critique

- **The Good**
 - Clear graphs with interpretation
 - Presented motivation and challenge papers
 - Tested on different data sets
 - Provides implementation details (C++ & Vz)
- **The Evil**
 - Self evaluation (no user studies)
 - Redundant information (parameters and direct manipulation)

31

Paper List

- **Visualizing Network Data**
 - Richard A. Becker, Stephen G. Eick, Allan R. Wilks.
- **3D Geographic Network Displays**
 - Kenneth C. Cox, Stephen G. Eick, Taosong He.
- **CyberNet: A framework for managing networks using 3D metaphoric worlds**
 - P. Abel and P. Gros and D. Loisel and C. Russo Dos Santos


32

Introduction

- Presents “SeeNet 3D”
 - 5 network views
 - 2 views are geography related
 - 3 views concentrate on portion of a large network
- SeeNet3D follow-up of
 - SeeNet
 - NicheWorks

33

Global Network 1/2



Global packet count in 2 hour period
Tall red glyphs have more traffic

34

Disadvantage



- Only Front side of map viewable
 - Occludes arc ends
- Solution
 - Make globe partially translucent (does not work with too many arcs)
 - Allow user to route arcs (through globe if needed)
 - Filtering

35




Global Network (2/2) - Arc Maps

- Draw arcs on flat 2D map in 3D space
 - 2D map can be oriented as desired
 - Eliminates line crossing to a certain extent (vary arc height)

36



- ### Drill Down network views
- Three types of views:
 - Spokes on a wheel
 - Helix
 - Pin Cushion
- 39



Critique

- **The Good**
 - Shows more in less space (5 vs 3)
 - Provides implementation details
- **The Evil**
 - No user studies (as usual)
 - Some displays have limited information
 - Does not give scalability constraints for most

43

Paper List

- **Visualizing Network Data**
 - Richard A. Becker, Stephen G. Eick, Allan R. Wilks.
- **3D Geographic Network Displays**
 - Kenneth C. Cox, Stephen G. Eick, Taosong He.
- **CyberNet: A framework for managing networks using 3D metaphoric worlds**
 - P. Abel and P. Gros and D. Loisel and C. Russo Dos Santos

44

Introduction

- Network administration in 3D
- **Provides 5 metaphors**
- Dynamically builds & updates 3D world
- Captures information
 - Topology, Connectivity, Routing, Mailing, NFS
- Each 3D tool solves specific problems – chose metaphor that best suites a task

45


Geographic administration building metaphor



- For physical link problem detection
- Building (a container for network devices)
- Object location is relative to position in actual world
- User allowed to chose destination (automated paths)
- Filtering

46


Topology administration cone-tree metaphor



- Red: switches
- Blue: Hubs
- Leaves: Computers
- Size of cone depends on bandwidth flow in hub

47


Distributed system admin city metaphor



- Maps Client/server (Mail, DBMS, NFS)
- Separate Client & server view
- Metaphors:
 - Town : sub network
 - District: Computer
 - Building: Disk resource
- On server:
 - Each client a floor
 - Each window a File Handle

48

Computer admin tool solar system metaphor




Metaphors:
Stars
Planets
satellites

To:
Computers
Users
Processes

49

Network traffic characterization landscape metaphor



50

More on CyberNet

- Users can toggle between various 3D structures
- Technical Stages
 - Collecting Layer (subscribe/notify, agents)
 - Structuring Layer (build service model tree)
 - Visualization Layer (generate 3D form)

51

Critique

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Good <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – design architecture explained – Implementation language for each stage (VRML, corba, Java, perl) – Screen Shots helpful 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Evil <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Some concepts unclear (city metaphor) – No user studies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mentions users found metaphors helpful – No scalability discussion – Dead Site !!
--	--

52

? QUESTIONS ?

