The Dungeon Master’s Dashboard

Abstract

N/A

1. Introduction

The fantasy tabletop role-playing game Dungeons and Dragons (D&D), has been a fun pastime since its publication in 1974 (Michaud, 2015). The gameplay (Heller, 2019) involves two sides, the player group (the party), and the Dungeon Master (DM). The DM creates a semi-structured open fantasy campaign that places the party in a world where they must complete a task (quest). These campaigns are typically held over the course of many sessions which allow the individuals to progress through the story as well as level-up their character’s attributes and skills. Each party member creates a character that has a set of statistics (stats) connected to various attributes like strength and intelligence. The higher the stat, the more likely a character is able to successfully complete a related action, such as landing a blow in battle or convincing a guard to let them into a city. By using this combination of dice rolling and improvisational interactions, the party hopes to complete their campaign after several sessions.

During the campaign, it is important for the DM to track information about the party and the world. The DM must keep reference literature on hand, like a monster manual for selecting and creating monsters for the party to battle (See Appendix A), as well as each party member’s character sheet, which tracks the individual character stats (See Appendix B), in order to ensure that every interaction in the fantasy world follows the established rules. Many of the interactions between characters and the world are a result of their character stats combined with their dice rolls. With this knowledge, the DM may choose to increase or decrease the difficulty of certain tasks, as well as place obstacles that force the party to work together and rely on each other’s strengths. This creates a complex interplay between freedom of expression and established game norms that generate the core D&D gameplay loop.

Although the game has grown in popularity (Wieland, 2021), the player interface remains rooted in its tabletop 1974 beginnings. The DM may feel this antiquated process more than the party as they not only track each player’s character but also the entire campaign since they are the ones who design and mediate the world. Constantly having to check various manuals, scan character sheets and reference their own notes, slows the pace of the game for the party and can lead to error by the DM. Our team...
believes that we can help increase the efficiency of a DM by creating visual aides that allow them to access and assess the game’s information in a more readable format.

The DM dashboard is a very interesting problem because of the eclectic nature of the data and the amount of information that a DM needs to reference at any given point. The visualizations need to be flexible and quick to fit the improvisational nature of the story, while still providing structure and direction for the DM to follow the rules of the world, creating an interesting dichotomy. In order to tackle these problems, we are leveraging our own experience with D&D which varies from casual play to having DMed campaigns for our friends. This experience provides insight into some of the tools that a DM may want, as well as the obstacles they face while monitoring their campaign. To help supplement our own experiences, we plan to interview our respective D&D groups and gather additional insights. This should hopefully provide a well rounded understanding of the obstacles that DMs face and alleviate some of their issues. Although this visualization problem is not as serious as others, it provides an interesting opportunity to explore the field and improve our data visualization skills.

2. Related Work

The related work can be divided into three categories. The first is gameplay augmentation tools, whether digital or tangible/physical, that are aimed at supporting the gameplay experience. This can be to increase immersion or offload unwanted tasks (Hartelius et al., 2012, Plijnaer et al., 2020). Ideally without taking away from the player’s agency or hindering the gameplay.

The second category includes attempts at visualizing D&D historical gameplay data (e.g., a dataset of character sheets created by past players) with the purpose of understanding something about the choices people make (Soderlund, 2018, Berbesi 2019).

The third category is systems/websites aimed at supporting the DM over the course of a campaign, rather than being directed at the players directly. Some of those are online platforms that can be used to run the games Roll20 or Fantasy Grounds, or maintain textual records of gameplay-related information (e.g., AdventureCodex) or provide the necessary material to run a campaign like the game rules and pre-made content (e.g., DnDBeyond). While others are more specific tools that DMs can use to generate maps (e.g. WorldAnvil and Inkarnate), model in-game combat parameters like damage per round (Esker 2020), or select the monsters appropriate for a given game session (Mais 2020).

In this project we are focusing on this third category, in particular, tools that utilize some form of data visualization in support of DMs as they prepare for a campaign, or during a gaming session. Finally, we use the design study methodology as a guideline for our work (Sedlmair et al., 2012).
3. Data and Task Abstractions

To arrive at the following domain abstraction, we conducted an interview with 9 DMs to learn about the tools and processes they employed. We also relied on our own experience as players and DMs. You can find the questions and the raw interview data in the accompanying document.

3.1. Dice Module:

There are seven sets of dice that players usually use. These range in side number from four (d4) to twenty (d20). This is a discrete quantitative data set as each individual number typically corresponds to a higher value with 20 being the “best” roll you can have in most situations. This has the potential to not be the case in rare situations, but for the sake of simplicity, a higher roll is typically considered better. Many players are also very superstitious about their dice and tend to favor certain ones in certain situations based on which ones they deem “lucky”. Since most actions are performed with the d20 and most players tend to base their entire set’s luck on the d20’s rolls, we will only be tracking this dice. This helps streamline the process and prevents unnecessary tracking downtime.

To figure out which dice are their luckiest or best, the DM will want to compare two different things. First, they will want to compare the distribution of their dice’s rolls, e.g. did they roll more 20s on this dice or more 1s? Second, they will want to compare this d20’s distribution to the distribution of their other d20s to see if there are noticeable differences. It should be noted that traditionally we would expect these dice to all have the same even distribution, but real world factors can influence these rates.

3.2. Party Tracker:

The party tracker will consist of multiple sub visualizations. The threading concept is to allow the DM to strategize their encounters by seeing what strengths and weaknesses of each individual and the party as a whole. This will require look-up tasks, filter tasks, and comparing tasks.

Each character has six ability scores, strength, wisdom, intelligence, dexterity, constitution, and charisma. Each of these scores ranges from 1-30 and is sorted into ability modifier bins, based on how high the number is. Ability modifiers are a conversion that turns these stats into increased or decreased modifications on d20 rolls (see appendix C for full list). For example, a character with a strength ability score of 16 will add 3 to any d20 roll that requires their character to use their strength ability. If they originally rolled an 18, they score a 21 for the roll with the 3 extra points. The DM or official rules will dictate which ability scores are used for each instance.

The DM will need a way to query each of these six ability scores and compare them to other party members so that they can choose how they would like to plan an encounter. If they want to increase the difficulty of an event, they may see that the party has low strength and put a boulder in their path that requires a high strength roll
(check) to pass. A filter function can be useful here as a means to quickly see who would likely have difficulty in not completing a task. It should be noted that the ability score does not dictate the outcome of an event. Someone who has low strength can still roll a high enough number to move the boulder, but it will be harder since they do not receive the extra points of someone with a high strength ability score. This is a discrete quantitative data set and unique in that the ability scores and modifier scores are intertwined and should be represented as such in the visualization. Ultimately, the modifier is the more important of the two pieces of information as it is the number that alters the interaction, but we believe the ability score should also be displayed as it increases while your character levels-up.

Additionally, the dashboard will include health data for each party member. This is also discrete quantitative data that will allow the DM to track how many hit points (HP) the party members have left before passing out. When a party member passes out, they are at risk of dying, thus losing their character forever. Both would be used for look-up tasks in slightly different manners. The DM will want to look-up each party member’s health to see who was close to passing out and who still had a lot of HP. Similar to the other party tracker visualizations, this can help dictate how the DM wants to decide on the difficulty of an encounter. While no true range can be given as HP can range from 0 to as high as the game allows it, most player characters will have a maximum HP within 20 of each other. This is a simple feature of the party tracker, but will be a nice addition to the tools that will allow the DM to control the flow of each conflict.

An experience points (EXP) visualization will also be included. The needed information will be discrete quantitative data that would adjust based on the character level. As characters level-up they require more EXP which would be reflected in the visualization. This allows the DM to know how long until the party levels-up and gets stronger, which means they can adjust their campaign accordingly.

Finally, there will be tabular data that will sit in the tracker that is not directly related to a visualization. One reason that this data won’t be visualized is for the complex categorical nature of the information. Equipment, for example, can be literally anything that the individual has. Although it would be impossible for us to code every instance of equipment in the world, it is still important information for the DM to have listed on the tracker. Other information in this tabular section could be walking speed. Although we could create a visualization that compares the walking speeds of characters, it can just be manually compared through the numbers listed in this section. This still gives the DM access to the information, while not cluttering the tracker with unwanted graphs.
3.3. Monsters Shopper

An encounter is a sequence of events where the players engage in an activity. An important type of activity is fighting monsters. When designing a fighting encounter, DMs need to consider multiple criteria as they choose monsters, those include:

1. the goal of the encounter
2. the number of players
3. players’ level vs. challenge level
4. consistency with story
5. consistency with setting (e.g., no fire monsters in an arctic region, unless the surprise is intended)
6. what is enjoyable to DMs (DMs play too!)

To accomplish that they use different tools but mainly:

1. The official D&D Monster Manual: where monsters are sorted alphabetically by their name but an element of surprise is achieved by randomly browsing the book.
2. DnDBeyond, which has a tool for building encounters that include some filtering widgets and a shopping cart of monsters.
3. Existing campaigns made by other DMs.
4. Their own knowledge about monsters from series, books, ..etc. As a start then looks for it in the above sources.
5. Opinions of experienced DMs
6. Other websites:
   a. http://dnd5e.wikidot.com/
   b. https://www.themonstersknow.com/

To determine if a monster fits the above criteria, DMs look at the monster’s stats, along with images of it and possibly some description. Refer to Appendix A for an example. Based on the taxonomy in the official Monsters Manual, each monster has ability scores which are quantitative dimensions indicating strength, dexterity, constitution, intelligence, wisdom and charisma. Quantitative dimensions on monsters also include their armour class, health points and speed. All the quant dimensions are positive with no upper limit for monsters (even though limits exist for players’ stats). Monsters have multiple categorical and ordinal dimensions that include their name, size (6 levels), type (14 levels), movement mode (4 levels, but can have no value too so 5 levels but can have any combination or none) alignment (9 levels), skills (includes a skill type and a modifier for that skill e.g, stealth +3), and the challenge level (a number between 0 and 30), amongst others. The dataset we have available includes 763 monsters, and includes URLs to description webpages for half of them (which we can use to get images of those monsters)

The monster they find might be used as is, or the stats might be kept (to ensure it is a balanced monster stats-wise) but its background and appearance might be
changed to fit the story or encounter setting. When designing an encounter multiple monsters might be picked, and they can have a boss/minion relationship (e.g., dragons with kobolds) with some more challenging than others.

Analytical task abstraction:
Discover → Browse or Explore (based on how clear is their criteria) → Identity
And: Enjoy → Explore.
Targets: One monster or more. Identified by name.

3.4. Relationships Tracker

In D&D character relationships are important information to track, specially for roleplaying and storytelling purposes. To maintain verisimilitude the DM should remember if a non-playing character (NPC) dislikes a player character (PC), for example, to narrate their actions accordingly. Our initial interviews showed that out 7 of 9 interviewees reported tracking in some way how characters feel about another. The fomenting idea was to build a network of the relationships between all interacting campaigns characters. After the interviews were conducted, it was noticed that a vis tool for this may be useful for players as well and that character’s relationships are established most of the time one on one.

Five DMs reported classifying the relationships they keep track of as good/neutral/bad and 3 out of them quantify it in a scale of positive/neutral/negative using the Strixhaven relationship score (Annex D). Another insight gained is that this tracker could be useful not only for DMs, but for players also. Some interviewees mentioned they prefer asking for the players themselves to remember this type of information, which was not anticipated in our initial considerations of the project.

With this in mind, we can view this as mutable tabular data of a score for a character to character relationship on a scale of [-2, -1, 0, +1, +2]. Even considering those DMs who do not quantify this data in numbers, we can transform their binning system of bad/neutral/good to the scale. Going forward in the project we may find it useful for the DMs to add some form of classification for the relationship as well, such as familiar, amorous, work, etc.

Analytical task abstraction:
Locate the character of interest;
Identify the relationship that character has with another and possibly
Summarize/Present how that character is seen by groups of people by Deriving a small network centered in the character of interest.
4. Solution

4.1. Encodings

Dice Tracker:

The dice tracker will likely use a histogram to display the distribution of rolls. By displaying overlapping histograms, the individual can compare how multiple sets of dice perform. We can use color channels to highlight the highest and lowest bars in the charts in conjunction with a mean line to further encode the statistical values. The values will need to be normalized, when comparing, since some dice will be used more often than others.

Party Tracker:

The ability score portion of the party tracker will likely be set-up as a radar graph. Although this is not an ideal visualization, these types of graphs are common in the domain and would be easy for DMs to pick up. Each point on the radar would correspond to a different attribute score, with inner “rings” of the radar corresponding to the ability score modifier. This would allow the DM to see the scores in relationship to the modifiers. Additionally, the visualization would allow you to overlap the radar graphs of the party. This function can quickly show the peaks and valleys of the team’s stats.

The health of the players would be recorded in a horizontal stacked bar chart (progress bar). This will show the current health of the players, as well as their total life amounts. Additionally, these charts will be stacked above each other for quick comparisons. We may try to normalize the charts since different characters will have differing amounts of health, meaning that the fill percentage of one character’s chart will reflect a different HP than another character’s chart. The EXP chart would follow a similar design for similar reasons.

The “tabular data” section of the tracker will be listed on the dashboard and require no formal visualization. We hope to make the information accessible without cluttering the tracker and distracting from the main components.

Monster Shopper:

An interface for faceted exploration of monsters, starting from the aspects more important to DMs (story → stats, or stats→story) with pictures and descriptions for each on demand or in proximity. A shopping cart panel where selected monsters are shown. A panel with bar charts and text indicated the current difficulty of the encounter (based on the combined challenge rating). A panel showing the current player’s levels (can be pulled from or synced with the Party Tracker). A panel to show the details of a single
monster, which can also allow for that monster to be customized (edited) and added to the collection. The main idioms might include lists, widgets, and possibly simple bar charts if deemed necessary. As for interactions, the different panels are going to be coordinated in some fashion.

**Relationships Tracker:**

The character relationships data can be viewed either as tabular or as a small network centered in one character. The tracker can have a panel with a master table or a hitmap of n-characters by n-characters and their respective relationship score. Additionally it can have another panel that queries for a character and derives a small network centered on them. We can use the color channel to encode the quality of the relationship (green for good and red for bad) and size for the intensity of it (thinner for +1 and -1, thicker for +2 and -2). We could also have the type of relationship (familiar, amorous, work) represented by icons. An interaction could be added to change the center of the network from one character to another by clicking on them.

### 4.2. Implementation

**Technology:** The website as a whole will be built using Vue.js which is a framework for building reactive websites. Meaning any changes in data (model) will be reflected automatically in the visualizations (views). Then each view will be built as an individual D3.js component. The data will be dumped into a CSV file or stored in a MongoDB database

**Data sources:**

For some of our dashboard components, we are relying on live data. This live data will come from an existing commonly used tool for playing D&D (Roll20) to increase the dashboard's utility and the likelihood of its adoption by end users. We experimented with getting gameplay data out of Roll20 and found it feasible to do so through the scripting system that Roll20 supports along with a Chrome extension. We will aim to feed that data into our dashboard and also provide manual ways for data entry if Roll20 is not used. Although we might input some realistic sample data as a start using our experience playing the game.

For other dashboard components (namely the Monsters Shopper) the data will not be live and will be retrieved from here:

4.3. Results (Scenarios of use and Sketches)

Party Tracker

The party is coming up to an ancient door that is protected by some foreboding stone golems. The DM quickly checks to see how the party is looking. Their health bars indicate that they are still pretty battered from their goblin ambush, with only one character having most of their HP still. Feeling pity for his friend’s characters, who have been with them through this campaign for the last two years, the DM decides that maybe only one golem will attack the party, hopefully allowing the brave explorers to squeak by again. The DM also wants to know the best way to present the entrance of the tomb. Should he highlight the arcane sigils that line the door, so a high wisdom character can read them for a clue to enter, or should he mention the faulty stonework, allowing anyone with high strength to brute force their way in. Quickly reviewing the party’s stats, the DM notices that the new player to join the campaign has a character with high dexterity. The DM also notices that none of the characters have above average strength or intelligence. That decides it, the DM wants to give the new player a chance to shine and thus weaves in a series of ropes that lead through an upper hole, into their description of the door, perfect for a dexterous character to traverse. The party quickly deals with the golem and the new player is able to show-off his skills by climbing the ropes. All of these decisions were performed quickly and efficiently by the DM, without the party realizing that the event was tailored for them on the fly.

Dice Tracker

Like many D & D players, the DM is very superstitious about their dice. They have been rolling poorly recently and want to know if the dice are worth keeping. Before they throw their dice into the trash they want to check the distribution of the rolls to see if it actually matches their perception or if a couple of bad rolls just stood out. Additionally, they know the campaign boss is coming up and they want to use their luckiest dice to give the party a run for their money. The DM pulls up the dice tracker and notices that the distribution of their “unlucky” d20 is actually pretty average and that they just remember the stand out rolls. They select a few other sets of dice to compare
against this current set. The histograms overlay each other with an obvious winner. They see that their gold embossed dice roll 15 or higher more often than their other dice. Looks like the party is in for a tough fight.

Monster Shopper

The DM wants a monster that fits the cave-like environment where they are going to place the next encounter for their players. The players heard from townfolks about a dragon hoarding treasures that live in the nearby cave so the DM wants to find a dragon monster that is of the appropriate challenge rating given the player’s experience. They enter the player’s current levels in a dedicated panel first to be a reference against which they will judge the encounter difficulty. The DM first filters monsters by type using a filtering widget and picks “dragon” then looks at a table listing those dragons, filters any dragon with a challenge rating (cr) above 9, sorts the results and picks one of the top 3 that looks the best to them (using their images and description) using the Monsters Details panel. That dragon is added to a shopping cart, and a challenge rating for the encounter as a whole is updated once the monster is added to the cart. Seeing that the general challenge is low they might add a few lesser monsters like goblins following the same process but aiming for Humanoids as a monster type and a lower challenge rating until the encounter’s challenge fits their desired level.
1. Pick monster type

2. Pick criteria
   - Challenge Rating
   - Environment
   - Beast
   - Humanoid

3. Monster are sorted by that criteria. Here by challenge rating.

4. Show more detail on demand and on the spot
The DM is having a reappearance of a NPC the party met in the last city they visited in a previous session. They narrate the encounter and the NPC is being friendly with the player. “Wait! Wasn’t that NPC that hated my character because I stole bread from their bakery?” This may be intentional, the NPC may be actively faking their friendliness, or the DM could have just gotten confused.

To avoid this confusion, the DM can log an editable master table with all the necessary characters and their relationships to the Relationships Tracker of the dashboard. Then, when the PCs enter a bakery and ask for the NPC, the DM just needs to search the name in the dashboard and see their relationship with the party members to guide their storytelling. If an interaction goes really bad one day, the DM can edit the table to update a decreased score.

5. Milestones

Domain understanding and abstraction (initial draft 21/Oct, ongoing afterwards)
- Helena: conduct informal interviews with DMs to learn about their current processes with the goal of identifying their needs.

Then using that we will work on different parts each:
- Ahmed: Monsters Shop
- Ryan: Party Tracker and Dice Tracker
- Helena: Relationship Tracker
- All: giving feedback to others

Design (3 weeks, by 11th Nov),
- All members

Implementation (4 weeks, by 9 Dec):
Ahmed - Monster shop module, relationship tracker module + getting gameplay data out of Roll20 into the dashboard
Ryan - Dice tracker module, party tracker tracker module.
Testing (1 week, by 13th Dec)
Writing (Throughout the term, final report by Dec 14th)

All members
  - Amount will be determined by the final implementation load.

6. Discussion, Future Work, Conclusions

N/A

7. Bibliography


Appendix C:

**Ability Scores and Modifiers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Modifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–7</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8–9</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–11</td>
<td>+0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–13</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14–15</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Modifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16–17</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–19</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–21</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22–23</td>
<td>+6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24–25</td>
<td>+7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–27</td>
<td>+8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–29</td>
<td>+9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>+10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix D:

**Strixhaven Relationship Points**

Player characters will have the option to gain Relationship Points over the course of the adventure. These points reflect the relationship status a character has with another NPC.

All relationships start at 0, and either increase or decrease by 1 depending on the nature of the characters’ interactions. A friendly response will earn a positive relationship point, while a rivalrous response will earn a negative relationship point.

- A player can indicate that they would like to attempt to gain a positive Relationship point with an NPC, but this is at the discretion of the DM.

- Conversely, a player can take a negative relationship point with an NPC at any point at their own discretion, once per-character, per-day.

*Relationship Points and Status:*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+/- 0</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Friendly: While they are still getting to know you, the NPC is positively inclined towards you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Antagonistic: Something about you just ticks this NPC off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+2</td>
<td>Friend: This NPC considers you a personal friend, and will go out of their way to assist you as best they can</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Rival: This NPC holds a great disdain for you, and will make your life harder any chance they get</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+3</td>
<td>Beloved: You have a deep and meaningful connection with this NPC. While not inherently romantic, you are deeply bonded, so much so that you benefit from this NPC's spirit, even when they aren't physically near you (You gain this NPC's Beloved Boon)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+3</td>
<td>Enemy: This NPC hates you with every fiber of their being. They are extremely motivated to go out of their way to thwart your endeavors, and may even go so far as to physically harm you. Their hatred of you is so elemental it begins to affect your everyday life, even when this NPC isn't physically present (You suffer from this NPC's Enemy Bane)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>