Guest Lectures: Bettina Speckmann, Cartography & Flow; Yang Wang, Architectures for Scale. Example Present: Biomechanical Motion; **Proposals Expectations**

Tamara Munzner

Department of Computer Science University of British Columbia

CPSC 547, Information Visualization Week 8: 29 Oct 2019

http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/547-19

News

- presentation days assigned
 - -both times and papers; still need topics from two of you!
- today
 - -guest lecture: Bettina Speckmann
 - Necklaces and Flows: Algorithms for Automated Cartography
 - -guest lecture: Yang Wang
 - Architecting Visualizations at Scale
 - -break
 - -example presentation
 - -proposals expectations
- next time:
 - -topo fisheye views paper, chapters: reduce, embed, case studies

Example Presentation: Biomechanical Motion

Presentation expectations

- 20 minute time slots for presentations -aim for 18 min presenting and 2 min discussion
- slides required
 - if you're using my laptop, upload to Canvas by 12pm
 - if you're using your own, upload by 6pm (right after class)
- three goals: up to you whether sequential or interleaved
 - explain core technical content to audience
 - -analyze with doing what/why/how framework
 - do scale analysis of data for this system in specific, not for technique in general
 - critique strengths/weaknesses of technical paper
- marking criteria
 - Summary 40%, Analysis 15%, Critique 15%
 - Presentation Style 15%, Materials Preparation 15%

Analysis & critique

- paper type dependent
 - -required for design studies and technique papers
 - -some possible for algorithm papers
 - but more emphasis on presenting algorithm clearly
 - -minimal for evaluation papers
 - but can discuss study design and statistical analysis methods
- please distinguish: their analysis (future work, limitations) from your own thoughts/critiques
 - -good to present both

Beyond paper itself

- check for author paper page
 - -may have video
 - -may have talk slides you could borrow as a base
 - do acknowledge if so!
 - -may have demo or supplemental material
 - -include paper page URL in slides if it exists
- if using video, consider when it's most useful to show -at very start for overview of everything
 - -after you've explained some of background
 - -after you've walked us through most of interface, to show interaction in specific

Slides

- do include both text and images
 - -also must have slide numbers
- text
 - -font must be readable from back of room
 - 24 point as absolute minimum
 - use different type sizes to help guide eye, with larger title font
 - avoid micro text with macro whitespace
 - -bullet style not sentences
 - sub-bullets for secondary points
 - Compare what it feels like to read an entire long sentence on a slide; while complex structure is a good thing to have for flow in writing, it's more difficult to parse in the context of a slide where the speaker is speaking over it.
- legibility

- remember luminance contrast requirements with colors!

Slide images

- figures from paper
 - -good idea to use figures from paper, especially screenshots
 - judgement call about some/many/all
- new images
 - -you might make new diagrams
 - -you might grab other images, especially for background or if comparing to prev work
 - -avoid random clip art
- images alone often hard to follow
 - -images do not speak for themselves, you must walk us through them
 - text bullets to walk us through your highest-level points
 - hard to follow if they're only made verbally
 - judgement call on text/image ratio, avoid extremes

Style

• face audience, not screen

-pro tip: your screen left/right matches audience left/right in this configuration

- project voice so we can hear you
 - -avoid muttered comments to self, volume drop-off at end of slide
 - -avoid robot monotone, variable emphasis helps keep us engaged
- avoid reading exactly what the slide says
 - -judgement call: how much detail to have in presenter notes
- use laser pointer judiciously -avoid constant distracting jiggle
- practice, practice, practice -for flow of words and for timing
- question handling: difficult to practice beforehand...

Technical talks advice

- <u>How To Give An Academic Talk</u> – Paul N. Edwards
- <u>How To Give a Great Research Talk</u>
 Simon L Peyton Jones, John Hughes, and John Launchbury
- How To Present A Paper
 - -Leslie Lamport
- <u>Things I Hope Not To See or Hear at SIGGRAPH</u>
 –Jim Blinn
- Scientific Presentation Planning
 - -Jason Harrison

10

Interactive Coordinated Multiple-View Visualization of Biomechanical Motion Data Daniel F. Keefe, Marcus Ewert, William Ribarsky, Remco Chang.

Daniel F. Keefe, Marcus Ewert, William Ribarsky, Remco Chang. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. Vis 2009), 15(6):1383-1390, 2009.

http://ivlab.cs.umn.edu/generated/pub-Keefe-2009-MultiViewVis.php

Biomechanical motion design study

large DB of 3D motion data

-pigs chewing: high-speed motion at joints, 500 FPS w/ sub-mm accuracy

- domain tasks
 - -functional morphology: relationship between 3D shape of bones and their function
 - -what is a typical chewing motion?
 - -how does chewing change over time based on amount/type of food in mouth?
- abstract tasks
 - -trends & anomalies across collection of time-varying spatial data -understanding complex spatial relationships
- pioneering design study integrating infovis+scivis techniques
- let's start with video showing system in action

https://youtu.be/OUNezRNtE9M

Multiple linked spatial & non-spatial views

- data: 3D spatial, multiple attribs (cyclic)
- encode: 3D spatial, parallel coords, 2D line (xy) plots
- facet: few large multiform views, many small multiples (~100)
 - -encode: color by trial for window background
 - -view coordination: line in parcoord == frame in small mult



[Fig 1. Interactive Coordinated Multiple-View Visualization of Biomechanical Motion Data. Daniel F. Keefe, Marcus Ewert, William Ribarsky, Remco Chang. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. Vis 2009), 15(6):1383-1390, 2009.] 13

3D+2D

change

- -3D navigation
 - rotate/translate/zoom
- filter
 - -zoom to small subset of time

• facet

- -select for one large detail view
- -linked highlighting
- -linked navigation
 - between all views
 - driven by large detail view



[Fig 3. Interactive Coordinated Multiple-View Visualization of Biomechanical Motion Data. Daniel F. Keefe, Marcus Ewert, William Ribarsky, Remco Chang. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. Vis 2009), 15(6):1383-1390, 2009.]

Derived data: traces/streamers

- derived data: 3D motion tracers from interactively chosen spots
 - -generates x/y/z data over time

-streamers

-shown in 3D views directly

-populates 2D plots



[Fig 4. Interactive Coordinated Multiple-View Visualization of Biomechanical Motion Data. Daniel F. Keefe, Marcus Ewert, William Ribarsky, Remco Chang. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. Vis 2009), 15(6):1383-1390, 2009.]

Small multiples for overview

- facet: small multiples for overview –aggressive/ambitious, 100+ views
- encode: color code window bg by trial
- filter:
 - -full/partial skull
 - -streamers
 - simple enough to be useable at low information density



[Fig 2. Interactive Coordinated Multiple-View Visualization of Biomechanical Motion Data. Daniel F. Keefe, Marcus Ewert, William Ribarsky, Remco Chang. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. Vis 2009), 15(6):1383-1390, 2009.]

Derived data: surface interactions

• derived data

-3D surface interaction patterns

• facet

-superimposed overlays in 3D view

- encoding
 - -color coding



[Fig 5. Interactive Coordinated Multiple-View Visualization of Biomechanical Motion Data. Daniel F. Keefe, Marcus Ewert, William Ribarsky, Remco Chang. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. Vis 2009), 15(6):1383-1390, 2009.]

Side by side views demonstrating tooth slide

- facet: linked navigation w/ same 3D viewpoint for all
- encode: coloured by vertical distance separating teeth (derived surface interactions)
 - -also 3D instantaneous helical axis showing motion of mandible relative to skull



[Fig 6. Interactive Coordinated Multiple-View Visualization of Biomechanical Motion Data. Daniel F. Keefe, Marcus Ewert, William Ribarsky, Remco Chang. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. Vis 2009), 15(6):1383-1390, 2009.]18

Cluster detection

- identify clusters of motion cycles -from combo: 2D xy plots & parcoords -show motion itself in 3D view
- facet: superimposed layers
 - -foreground/background layers in parcoord view itself



[Fig 7. Interactive Coordinated Multiple-View Visualization of Biomechanical Motion Data. Daniel F. Keefe, Marcus Ewert, William Ribarsky, Remco Chang. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. Vis 2009), 15(6):1383-1390, 2009.], o

Analysis summary

- what: data
 - -3D spatial, multiple attribs (cyclic)
- what: derived
 - -3D motion traces
 - -3D surface interaction patterns
- how: encode
 - -3D spatial, parallel coords, 2D plots
 - -color views by trial, surfaces by interaction patterns

- how: change
 - -3D navigation
- how: facet

 - -linked highlighting
 - -linked navigation
 - -layering
- how: reduce

-filtering

[Interactive Coordinated Multiple-View Visualization of Biomechanical Motion Data. Daniel F. Keefe, Marcus Ewert, William Ribarsky, Remco Chang. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. Vis 2009), 15(6):1383-1390, 2009.]

-few large multiform views -many small multiples (~100)

Critique

- many strengths
 - -carefully designed with well justified design choices
 - -explicitly followed mantra "overview first, zoom and filter, then details-on-demand"
 - -sophisticated view coordination
 - -tradeoff between strengths of small multiples and overlays, use both
 - informed by difficulties of animation for trend analysis
 - derived data tracing paths
- weaknesses/limitations
 - -(older paper feels less novel, but must consider context of what was new)
 - scale analysis: collection size of <=100, not thousands (understandably)
 - -aggressive about multiple views, arguably pushing limits of understandability

Proposals Expectations



Meetings

- each group needs signoff: at least one meeting -in some cases followup meeting needed; in some cases you're already set
- meetings cutoff is 6pm Fri Nov I

Projects overall schedule

- Pitches: Tue Oct 8 in class
- Groups finalized: Fri Oct 25 5pm
- Meetings cutoff: Fri Nov I at 6pm
- Proposals due: Mon Nov 4 at 10pm –(no readings due Tue Nov 5)
- Peer Project Reviews I: Tue Nov 19 in class
- Peer Project Reviews 2: Tue Dec 4 in class
- Final presentations: Tue Dec 10 1-5pm
- Final papers due: Fri Dec 13 at 11:59pm

24

Proposals

- projects: written proposals due Mon Nov 4 10pm -(no readings or comments due Tue Nov 5)
- heading
 - -project title (real title, not just "CPSC 547 proposal" can change later)
 - -name & email of every person on team (do not include student numbers)
- intro: brief description of what you're proposing to do, at high level -include personal expertise in this area (for each group member)
- for design studies: domain, data, task
 - -definitely in domain terms
 - -get started on abstraction (even if preliminary)
 - do discuss scale of data: # items, # levels in each categorical attrib, range of ordered attribs
- for technique projects: explain proposed context of use

Proposals II

- proposed infovis solution (what you know so far)
 - -do include illustration of what interface might look like, could be hand drawn sketch or mockup made with drawing program
 - -do include scenario of use (how user would use solution to address task)
- implementation plan (high-level: platform, language, libraries)
 - -clarify your scope/goal: building on work of others to enable more ambitious project, vs rolling your own to learn tool. amount of work depends on your existing expertise
- milestones
 - -break into meaningful smaller pieces. specific to your project, in addition to generic
 - -for each, estimate target date of completion and hours of work
 - -be explicit about who will do what: work breakdown between group members
 - -time scope: 70 hrs per person across whole project
 - -very typical to structure as possibilities: after A&B, decide on C and do 2 of D-G

Proposals III

- <u>http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/547-17F/projectdesc.html#proposals</u>
- also, consult final report structure to have future goal in mind <u>http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/547-17F/projectdesc.html#final</u>

<u>tdesc.html#proposals</u> al in mind <u>tdesc.html#final</u>

Next time

• deadlines

- -meetings due by Fri Nov 1,6pm
 - several of the projects are not yet signed off, slots filling up fast
- -proposals due by Mon Nov 4, 10pm
- next week
 - -presentations |
 - -finishing up discussions from today's reading

28