

Information Visualization

Intro

Tamara Munzner

Department of Computer Science
University of British Columbia

10 September 2015

<http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/547-15>

Audience

- no prerequisites
 - many areas helpful but not required
 - human-computer interaction, computer graphics, cognitive psychology, graphic design, algorithms, machine learning, statistics, ...
- open to non-CS people
 - if no programming background, can do analysis or survey project
- open to advanced undergrads
 - talk to me
- open to informal auditors
 - some or all days of readings/discussion, as you like
 - you'll get out of it what you put into it...

Waitlist

- currently 40 registered and 16 on waitlist
 - wow!
- don't panic, people are still shopping around for classes
- highly likely that all who want to take can be accommodated
 - without schlepping extra chairs each time :-)
- make sure to record your name on signup sheet today
 - with probability of attending, including real vs audit
 - update at end of class today, and start of class
- structure plans thus slightly tentative
 - might tweak depending on final enrollment

Class time

- week 1
 - 1 lecture
- weeks 2-9: Participation [30%]
 - before class: you read chapter+paper, write questions/comments
 - during class: I lecture briefly, we discuss, in-class design exercises, ...
 - week 2, 3
 - guest lectures (Robert Kosara, Matt Brehmer)
 - week 8
 - no class (annual VIS conference)
- weeks 10-13: Presentations [20%]
 - before one of the classes: you each read paper on topic of your choice
 - during class: you present it to everybody else (~10 min)

Readings

- textbook
 - Tamara Munzner. Visualization Analysis and Design. AK Peters Visualization Series. CRC Press, 2014.
 - <http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/vadbook/>
 - library has multiple ebook copies
 - to buy yourself, cheapest is amazon.com
- papers
 - links posted on course page
 - if DL links, use library EZproxy from off campus
- readings posted by one week before class
- usually one chapter + one paper per class session

Paper Types

- technique/algorithm
- design studies (problem-driven)
- systems
- evaluation
- model/theory

Participation [30%]

- written questions on reading in advance (18% of total mark)
 - due 1:30pm (30 min before class)
 - 3 total, at least 1 for each reading
 - bring printout or laptop with you, springboard for discussion
- discussion/participation in class (12% of total mark)
- attendance expected
 - tell me in advance if you'll miss class (and why)
 - question credit still possible if submitted in advance
 - tell when you recover if you were ill

Questions

- questions or comments
- fine to be less formal than written report
 - correct grammar and spelling still expected
 - be concise: a few sentences is good, one paragraph max!
- should be thoughtful, show you've read and reflected
 - poor to ask something trivial to look up
 - ok to ask for clarification of genuinely confusing section
- examples on <http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/infovis/structure.html>

Projects [50%]

- solo, or group of 2, or group of 3
 - groups highly encouraged; amount of work commensurate with group size
- stages
 - pitches (oral, in class): Oct 22
 - meetings (individual, outside class): through Nov 5
 - proposals (written): Nov 9, 5pm
 - status updates incl related work (written): Nov 23, 5pm
 - final presentations (oral): Dec 15 afternoon (times TBD)
 - final reports (written): Dec 17, 5pm
- resources
 - software, data
 - project ideas
 - guest lecture: Brehmer on toolkits/resources (Sep 29)

Projects

- programming
 - common case
 - I will only consider supervising students who do programming projects
 - three types
 - problem-driven design studies (target specific task/data)
 - technique-driven (explore design choice space for encoding or interaction idiom)
 - algorithm implementation (as described in previous paper)
- analysis
 - use existing tools on dataset
 - detailed domain survey
 - particularly suitable for non-CS students
- survey
 - very detailed domain survey
 - particularly suitable for non-CS students

Projects: Design Studies

- BYOD (Bring Your Own Data)
 - you have your own data to analyze
 - your thesis/research topic (very common case)
 - dovetail with another course (sometime possible but timing can be difficult)
- FDOI (Find Data Of Interest)
 - many existing datasets, see resource page to get started
 - <http://www.cs.ubc.ca/group/infovis/resources.shtml>

Presentations [20%]

- last several weeks of class
- present, analyze, and critique one paper
 - send me topic choices by Nov 2, I will assign papers accordingly
- expectations
 - slides required
 - summary/description important, but also your own thoughts
 - analysis according to book framework
 - critique of strengths and weaknesses
- timing
 - exact times TBD depending on enrollment
 - likely around 10 minutes each
- topics at <http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/infovis/presentations.html>

Marking

- 50% Project
 - 2% Pitches
 - 10% Proposal
 - 6% Status Updates
 - 12% Final Presentation
 - 20% Final Report
 - 50% Content
- 20% Presentations
 - 75% Content: Summary 50%, Analysis 25%, Critique 25%
 - 25% Delivery: Presentation Style 50%, Slide Quality 50%
- 30% Participation
 - 60% Written Questions
 - 40% In-Class Discussion/Exercises
- marking by buckets
 - great 100%
 - good 89%
 - ok 78%
 - poor 67%
 - zero 0%

Course Goals

- twofold goal
 - specific: teach you some infovis
 - generic: teach you how to be a better researcher
- feedback through detailed written comments on writing and presenting
 - both content and style
 - at level of paper review for your final project
 - goal: within a week or so
- fast marking for reading questions
 - great/good/ok/poor/zero
 - goal: turn around before next class
 - one week at most

Finding me

- email is the best way to reach me: tmm@cs.ubc.ca
- office hours Tue right after class (3:30-4:30pm)
 - or by appointment
- X661 (X-Wing of ICICS/CS bldg)
- course page is font of all information
 - don't forget to refresh, frequent updates
 - <http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/547-15>

Chapters/Topics

- What's Vis and Why Do It?
- Marks and Channels
- What: Data Abstractions
- Why: Task Abstractions
- Rules of Thumb
- Analysis: Four Levels for Validation
- Arrange Tables
- Arrange Spatial Data
- Arrange Networks
- Map Color and Other Channels
- Manipulate View
- Facet Into Multiple Views
- Reduce Items and Attributes
- Analysis Case Studies

Guest Lectures

- Tue Sep 15 (next time!)
 - Robert Kosara, Tableau
 - Tableau intro/overview demo

- Tue Sep 29
 - Matt Brehmer, UBC
 - resources discussion/demos

- in both cases, brief intro lecture on readings from me first

Topics Preview

Defining visualization (vis)

Computer-based visualization systems provide visual representations of datasets designed to help people carry out tasks more effectively.

Why?...

Why have a human in the loop?

Computer-based visualization systems provide visual representations of datasets designed to help people carry out tasks more effectively.


Visualization is suitable when there is a need to augment human capabilities rather than replace people with computational decision-making methods.

- don't need vis when fully automatic solution exists and is trusted
- many analysis problems ill-specified
 - don't know exactly what questions to ask in advance
- possibilities
 - long-term use for end users (e.g. exploratory analysis of scientific data)
 - presentation of known results
 - stepping stone to better understanding of requirements before developing models
 - help developers of automatic solution refine/debug, determine parameters
 - help end users of automatic solutions verify, build trust

Why use an external representation?

Computer-based visualization systems provide **visual representations** of datasets designed to help people carry out tasks more effectively.

- external representation: replace cognition with perception





[Cerebral: Visualizing Multiple Experimental Conditions on a Graph with Biological Context. Barsky, Munzner, Gardy, and Kincaid. IEEE TVCG (Proc. InfoVis) 14(6):1253-1260, 2008.]

Why have a computer in the loop?

Computer-based visualization systems provide visual representations of datasets designed to help people carry out tasks more effectively.

- beyond human patience: scale to large datasets, support interactivity
 - consider: what aspects of hand-drawn diagrams are important?



Why depend on vision?

Computer-based visualization systems provide visual representations of datasets designed to help people carry out tasks more effectively.

- human visual system is high-bandwidth channel to brain
 - overview possible due to background processing
 - subjective experience of seeing everything simultaneously
 - significant processing occurs in parallel and pre-attentively
- sound: lower bandwidth and different semantics
 - overview not supported
 - subjective experience of sequential stream
- touch/haptics: impoverished record/replay capacity
 - only very low-bandwidth communication thus far
- taste, smell: no viable record/replay devices


Why show the data in detail?

- summaries lose information
 - confirm expected and find unexpected patterns
 - assess validity of statistical model


Anscombe's Quartet

Identical statistics


x mean	9
x variance	10
y mean	8
y variance	4
x/y correlation	1




X1



X2



X3



X4


Idiom design space

The design space of possible vis idioms is huge, and includes the considerations of both how to create and how to interact with visual representations.

- **idiom**: distinct approach to creating or manipulating visual representation


– how to draw it: **visual encoding** idiom

- many possibilities for how to create



– how to manipulate it: **interaction** idiom

- even more possibilities
 - make single idiom dynamic
 - link multiple idioms together through interaction



[A layered grammar of graphics. Wickham. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 19:1 (2010), 3–28.]

[Interactive Visualization of Large Graphs and Networks. Munzner. Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University Department of Computer Science, 2000.]

Why focus on tasks and effectiveness?

Computer-based visualization systems provide visual representations of datasets designed to help people carry out tasks more effectively.

- tasks serve as constraint on design (as does data)
 - idioms do not serve all tasks equally!
 - challenge: recast tasks from domain-specific vocabulary to abstract forms
- most possibilities ineffective
 - validation is necessary, but tricky
 - increases chance of finding good solutions if you understand full space of possibilities
- what counts as effective?
 - novel: enable entirely new kinds of analysis
 - faster: speed up existing workflows


Resource limitations

Vis designers must take into account three very different kinds of resource limitations: those of computers, of humans, and of displays.

- computational limits
 - processing time
 - system memory
- human limits
 - human attention and memory
- display limits
 - pixels are precious resource, the most constrained resource
 - **information density**: ratio of space used to encode info vs unused whitespace
 - tradeoff between clutter and wasting space, find sweet spot between dense and sparse

Analysis: What, why, and how

- **what** is shown?
 - **data** abstraction
- **why** is the user looking at it?
 - **task** abstraction
- **how** is it shown?
 - **idiom**: visual encoding and interaction
- abstract vocabulary avoids domain-specific terms
 - translation process iterative, tricky
- what-why-how analysis framework as scaffold to think systematically about design space



How?

Encode

→ Arrange

→ Express



→ Separate



→ Order



→ Align



→ Use



→ Map

from **categorical** and **ordered** attributes

→ Color

→ Hue



→ Saturation



→ Luminance



→ Size, Angle, Curvature, ...



→ Shape




→ Motion

Direction, Rate, Frequency, ...



Manipulate

→ Change



→ Select



→ Navigate



Facet


→ Juxtapose



→ Partition




→ Superimpose



Reduce

→ Filter



→ Aggregate



→ Embed



What?

Why?

How?

Encode


Encode

→ Arrange


→ Express



→ Separate



→ Order



→ Align



→ Use



→ Map

from **categorical** and **ordered** attributes

→ Color

→ Hue



→ Saturation



→ Luminance



→ Size, Angle, Curvature, ...




→ Shape



→ Motion

Direction, Rate, Frequency, ...




Marks and channels

- marks

- geometric primitives

→ Points



→ Lines



→ Areas



- channels

- control appearance of marks

→ Position


→ Horizontal



→ Vertical



→ Both



→ Color



→ Shape



→ Tilt



→ Size

→ Length



→ Area



→ Volume




Channels: Expressiveness types and effectiveness rankings

➔ Magnitude Channels: Ordered Attributes

➔ Identity Channels: Categorical Attributes

Position on common scale 

Position on unaligned scale 

Length (1D size) 

Tilt/angle 

Area (2D size) 

Depth (3D position) 

Color luminance 

Color saturation 

Curvature 

Volume (3D size) 

Best

Effectiveness

Least

Spatial region 


Color hue 

Motion 

Shape 

Same

Same



Datasets

Attributes

➔ Data Types

➔ Items ➔ Attributes ➔ Links ➔ Positions ➔ Grids

➔ Data and Dataset Types

Tables	Networks & Trees	Fields	Geometry	Clusters, sets, lists
Items	Items (nodes)	Grids	Items	Items
Attributes	Links	Positions	Positions	
	Attributes	Attributes		

➔ Attribute Types

➔ Categorical



➔ Ordered

➔ Ordinal




➔ Quantitative




➔ Dataset Types


➔ Tables




➔ Networks



➔ Fields (Continuous)



➔ Multidimensional Table



➔ Trees



➔ Ordering Direction

➔ Sequential



➔ Diverging



➔ Cyclic



➔ Geometry (Spatial)



➔ Dataset Availability

➔ Static




➔ Dynamic




Dataset types

➔ Dataset Types


➔ Tables




➔ Networks




➔ Fields (Continuous)




➔ Geometry (Spatial)



➔ *Multidimensional Table*



➔ Trees



Attribute types

➔ Attribute Types

➔ Categorical




➔ Ordered

➔ *Ordinal*



➔ *Quantitative*





Actions

Targets

➔ **Analyze**

- ➔ Consume
 - ➔ Discover
 - ➔ Present
 - ➔ Enjoy
- ➔ Produce
 - ➔ Annotate
 - ➔ Record
 - ➔ Derive

➔ **All Data**

- ➔ Trends
- ➔ Outliers
- ➔ Features

➔ **Attributes**

- ➔ One
 - ➔ Distribution
 - ➔ Extremes
- ➔ Many
 - ➔ Dependency
 - ➔ Correlation
 - ➔ Similarity

➔ **Search**

	Target known	Target unknown
Location known	<i>Lookup</i>	<i>Browse</i>
Location unknown	<i>Locate</i>	<i>Explore</i>

➔ **Query**

- ➔ Identify
- ➔ Compare
- ➔ Summarise


➔ **Network Data**

- ➔ Topology
 -
 - ➔ Paths

➔ **Spatial Data**

- ➔ Shape

- {action, target} pairs
 - discover distribution
 - compare trends
 - locate outliers
 - browse topology




Actions: low-level query


- how much of the data matters?
 - one, some, all

→ Query

→ Identify



→ Compare



→ Summarise



Why: Targets

→ ALL DATA

→ Trends



→ Outliers




→ Features




→ ATTRIBUTES

→ One

→ *Distribution*



↓ *Extremes*




→ Many


→ *Dependency*



→ *Correlation*




→ *Similarity*



→ NETWORK DATA

→ Topology




→ *Paths*



→ SPATIAL DATA

→ Shape




Rules of Thumb


- No unjustified 3D
- Eyes beat memory
- Resolution over immersion
- Overview first, zoom and filter, details on demand
- Function first, form next
- ...

Four Levels of Design

- domain situation: all aspects of user context
- data/task abstraction: why/what
- encoding/interaction idioms: how
- algorithm: efficient implementation of idioms



Nested Levels of Design and Validation



- mismatch: cannot show idiom good with system timings
- mismatch: cannot show abstraction good with lab study

How?

Encode

→ Arrange

→ Express



→ Separate



→ Order



→ Align



→ Use



→ Map

from **categorical** and **ordered** attributes

→ Color

→ Hue



→ Saturation



→ Luminance



→ Size, Angle, Curvature, ...



→ Shape




→ Motion

Direction, Rate, Frequency, ...




Manipulate

→ Change



→ Select



→ Navigate



Facet

→ Juxtapose



→ Partition




→ Superimpose




Reduce

→ Filter



→ Aggregate



→ Embed



What?

Why?

How?

Arrange space


Encode

→ Arrange


→ Express



→ Separate



→ Order



→ Align



→ Use




Arrange tables

→ Express Values




→ Separate, Order, Align Regions

→ Separate



→ Order




→ Align




→ 1 Key *List*



→ 2 Keys *Matrix*



→ 3 Keys *Volume*




→ Many Keys *Recursive Subdivision*



→ Axis Orientation


→ Rectilinear



→ Parallel




→ Radial



→ Layout Density

→ Dense



→ Space-Filling



Arrange spatial data

→ Use Given

→ Geometry

→ *Geographic*

→ *Other Derived*

→ Spatial Fields

→ *Scalar Fields (one value per cell)*

→ *Isocontours*

→ *Direct Volume Rendering*



→ *Vector and Tensor Fields (many values per cell)*

→ *Flow Glyphs (local)*

→ *Geometric (sparse seeds)*

→ *Textures (dense seeds)*

→ *Features (globally derived)*




Arrange networks and trees

→ Node-link Diagrams Connections and Marks

✓ NETWORKS


✓ TREES



→ Adjacency Matrix Derived Table

✓ NETWORKS

✓ TREES



→ Enclosure Containment Marks

✗ NETWORKS

✓ TREES



Color: Luminance, saturation, hue

- 3 channels

- identity for categorical

- hue

- magnitude for ordered

- luminance
- saturation


- other common color spaces

- RGB: poor choice for visual encoding


- HSL: better, but beware

- lightness \neq luminance


Luminance



Saturation



Hue



Corners of the RGB color cube



L from HLS
All the same



Luminance values




Manipulate

→ Change View Over Time




→ Select




→ Navigate

→ Item Reduction


→ Zoom
Geometric or *Semantic*



→ Pan/Translate



→ Constrained




→ Attribute Reduction


→ Slice



→ Cut



→ Project




Facet


→ Juxtapose



→ Partition



→ Superimpose



→ Share Encoding: Same/Different


→ *Linked Highlighting*



→ Share Data: All/Subset/None



→ Share Navigation



Juxtapose and coordinate views

→ Share Encoding: Same/Different


→ *Linked Highlighting*



→ Share Data: All/Subset/None



→ Share Navigation



Reduce items and attributes

- reduce/increase: inverses
- filter
 - pro: straightforward and intuitive
 - to understand and compute
 - con: out of sight, out of mind
- aggregation
 - pro: inform about whole set
 - con: difficult to avoid losing signal
- not mutually exclusive
 - combine filter, aggregate
 - combine reduce, change, facet


Reducing Items and Attributes

➔ Filter

➔ Items




➔ Attributes




➔ Aggregate

➔ Items



➔ Attributes



Reduce

➔ Filter



➔ Aggregate



➔ Embed




Embed: Focus+Context


- combine information within single view
- elide
 - selectively filter and aggregate
- superimpose layer
 - local lens
- distortion design choices
 - region shape: radial, rectilinear, complex
 - how many regions: one, many
 - region extent: local, global
 - interaction metaphor

→ Embed


→ Elide Data



→ Superimpose Layer



→ Distort Geometry



Next Time

- to read
 - Book: Marks and Channels (Ch 5)
 - Paper: Polaris
 - academic paper, Tableau is the spinoff company
- guest lecture by Robert Kosara on Tableau