Information Visualization <i>Intro</i> Tamara Munzner Department of Computer Science University of British Columbia 10 September 2015 http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/547-15	<section-header><ul> <li>Audience</li> <li>on prerequisites</li> <li>- nany areas helpful but not required</li> <li>- human-computer interaction, computer graphics, cognitive psychology, graphic design, algorithms, machine learning, statistics,</li> <li>open to non-CS people</li> <li>- fin o programming background, can do analysis or survey project</li> <li>- open to advanced undergrads</li> <li>- aluk to me</li> <li>- open to informal auditors</li> <li>- owe or all days of readings/discussion, as you like</li> <li>- you'll get out of it what you put into it</li> </ul></section-header>	<ul> <li>Waitlist</li> <li>currently 40 registered and 16 on waitlist <ul> <li>wow!</li> </ul> </li> <li>don't panic, people are still shopping around for classes</li> <li>highly likely that all who want to take can be accommodated <ul> <li>without schlepping extra chairs each time :-)</li> </ul> </li> <li>make sure to record your name on signup sheet today <ul> <li>with probability of attending, including real vs audit</li> <li>update at end of class today, and start of class</li> </ul> </li> <li>structure plans thus slightly tenative <ul> <li>might tweak depending on final enrollment</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Readings</li> <li>textbook <ul> <li>Tamara Munzner:Visualization Analysis and Design.AK Peters Visualization Series. CRC Press, 2014.</li> <li>http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/vadbook/</li> <li>library has multiple ebook copies</li> <li>to buy yourself, cheapest is amazon.com</li> </ul> </li> <li>papers <ul> <li>links posted on course page</li> <li>if DL links, use library EZproxy from off campus</li> </ul> </li> <li>readings posted by one week before class</li> <li>usually one chapter + one paper per class session</li> </ul>	Paper Types • technique/algorithm • design studies (problem-driven) • systems • evaluation • model/theory	<ul> <li>Participation [30%]</li> <li>written questions on reading in advance (18% of total mark) <ul> <li>-due 1:30pm (30 min before class)</li> <li>-3 total, at least 1 for each reading</li> <li>-bring printout or laptop with you, springboard for discussion</li> </ul> </li> <li>discussion/participation in class (12% of total mark)</li> <li>attendance expected <ul> <li>tell me in advance if you'll miss class (and why)</li> <li>-question credit still possible if submitted in advance</li> <li>tell when you recover if you were ill</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Projects [50%]</li> <li>solo, or group of 2, or group of 3 <ul> <li>groups highly encouraged; amount of work commensurate with group size</li> </ul> </li> <li>stages <ul> <li>pitches (oral, in class): Oct 22</li> <li>meetings (individual, outside class): through Nov 5</li> <li>proposals (written): Nov 9, 5pm</li> <li>status updates incl related work (written): Nov 23, 5pm</li> <li>final presentations (oral): Dec 15 afternoon (times TBD)</li> <li>final reports (written): Dec 17, 5pm</li> </ul> </li> <li>resources <ul> <li>software, data</li> <li>project ideas</li> <li>guest lecture: Brehmer on toolkits/resources (Sep 29)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Projects</li> <li>programming <ul> <li>common case</li> <li>l will only consider supervising students who do programming projects</li> <li>three types <ul> <li>problem-driven design studies (target specific task/data)</li> <li>technique-driven (explore design choice space for encoding or interaction idiom)</li> <li>algorithm implementation (as described in previous paper)</li> </ul> </li> <li>analysis <ul> <li>use existing tools on dataset</li> <li>detailed domain survey</li> <li>particularly suitable for non-CS students</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	<ul> <li>Projects: Design Studies</li> <li>BYOD (Bring Your Own Data) <ul> <li>you have your own data to analyze</li> <li>your thesis/research topic (very common case)</li> <li>dovetail with another course (sometime possible but timing can be di</li> </ul> </li> <li>FDOI (Find Data Of Interest) <ul> <li>many existing datasets, see resource page to get started</li> <li>http://www.cs.ubc.ca/group/infovis/resources.shtml</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Marking• 50% Project• marking by buckets- 2% Pitches- great 100%- 10% Proposal- good 89%- 6% Status Updates- ok 78%- 12% Final Presentation- ok 78%- 20% Final Report- poor 67%- 50% Content- zero 0%• 20% Presentations- 75% Content: Summary 50%, Analysis 25%, Critique 25%- 25% Delivery: Presentation Style 50%, Slide Quality 50%• 30% Participation- 60% Written Questions- 40% In-Class Discussion/Exercises	<ul> <li>Course Goals</li> <li>twofold goal <ul> <li>specific: teach you some infovis</li> <li>generic: teach you how to be a better researcher</li> </ul> </li> <li>feedback through detailed written comments on writing and presenting <ul> <li>both content and style</li> <li>at level of paper review for your final project</li> <li>goal: within a week or so</li> </ul> </li> <li>fast marking for reading questions <ul> <li>great/good/ok/poor/zero</li> <li>goal: turn around before next class</li> <li>one week at most</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Finding me</li> <li>email is the best way to reach me: tmm@cs.ubc.ca</li> <li>office hours Tue right after class (3:30-4:30pm) <ul> <li>or by appointment</li> </ul> </li> <li>X661 (X-Wing of ICICS/CS bldg)</li> <li>course page is font of all information <ul> <li>don't forget to refresh, frequent updates</li> <li>http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/547-15</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

ed.	<ul> <li>Class time</li> <li>week 1 <ul> <li>lecture</li> </ul> </li> <li>weeks 2-9: Participation [30%]</li> <li>before class: you read chapter+paper, write questions/comments</li> <li>during class: l lecture briefly, we discuss, in-class design exercises,</li> <li>week 2, 3 <ul> <li>guest lectures (Robert Kosara, Matt Brehmer)</li> <li>week 8 <ul> <li>no class (annual VIS conference)</li> </ul> </li> <li>weeks 10-13: Presentations [20%] <ul> <li>before one of the classes: you each read paper on topic of your choice</li> <li>during class: you present it to everybody else (~10 min)</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	4
ſ <b>k</b> )	Questions • questions or comments • fine to be less formal than written report - correct grammar and spelling still expected - be concise: a few sentences is good, one paragraph max! • should be thoughtful, show you've read and reflected - poor to ask something trivial to look up - ok to ask for clarification of genuinely confusing section • examples on http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/infovis/structure.html	8
e difficult)	<ul> <li>Presentations [20%]</li> <li>last several weeks of class</li> <li>present, analyze, and critique one paper <ul> <li>send me topic choices by Nov 2, I will assign papers accordingly</li> </ul> </li> <li>expectations <ul> <li>slides required</li> <li>summary/description important, but also your own thoughts <ul> <li>analysis according to book framework</li> <li>critique of strengths and weaknesses</li> </ul> </li> <li>timing <ul> <li>exact times TBD depending on enrollment</li> <li>likely around 10 minutes each</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	12
15	Chapters/Topics - What's Vis and Why Do It? - Marks and Channels - What: Data Abstractions - Why: Task Abstractions - Rules of Thumb - Analysis: Four Levels for Validation - Arrange Tables - Arrange Spatial Data - Arrange Networks - Map Color and Other Channels - Manipulate View - Facet Into Multiple Views - Reduce Items and Attributes - Analysis Case Studies	16

Guest Lectures		Defining visualization (vis)	Why have a human in the loop?
<ul> <li>• Tue Sep 15 (next time!) <ul> <li>- Robert Kosara, Tableau</li> <li>- Tableau intro/overview demo</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Tue Sep 29 <ul> <li>- Matt Brehmer, UBC</li> <li>- resources discussion/demos</li> </ul> </li> <li>- in both cases, brief intro lecture on readings from me first</li> </ul>	Topics Preview	<text><text></text></text>	Computer-based visualization systems provide visual representations of datasets designed to help people arry out tasks more effectively. Visualization is suitable when there is a need to augment human capabilities rather than replace people with computational decision-making methods. • don't need vis when fully automatic solution exists and is trusted • many analysis problems ill-specified - don't know exactly what questions to ask in advance • possibilities - long-term use for end users (e.g. exploratory analysis of scientific data) - presentation of known results - stepping stone to better understanding of requirements before developing models - help developers of automatic solution refine/debug, determine parameters - help end users of automatic solutions verify, build trust
Why use an external representation?	Why have a computer in the loop?	Why depend on vision?	Why show the data in detail?
Computer-based visualization systems provide visual representations of datasets designed to help people carry out tasks more effectively.	Computer-based visualization systems provide visual representations of datasets assigned to nepp people carry out tasks more effectively.	Computer-based visualization systems provide visual representations of datasets designed to help people carry out tasks more effectively.	<ul> <li>summaries lose information         <ul> <li>confirm expected and find unexpected patterns</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<complex-block><complex-block></complex-block></complex-block>	<ul> <li>beyond human patience: scale to large datasets, support interactivity         <ul> <li>consider: what aspects of hand-drawn diagrams are important?</li> </ul> </li> <li> <ul> <li></li></ul></li></ul>	<ul> <li>human visual system is high-bandwidth channel to brain</li> <li>overview possible due to background processing</li> <li>subjective experience of seeing everything simultaneously</li> <li>significant processing occurs in parallel and pre-attentively</li> <li>sound: lower bandwidth and different semantics</li> <li>overview not supported</li> <li>subjective experience of sequential stream</li> <li>touch/haptics: impoverished record/replay capacity</li> <li>only very low-bandwidth communication thus far</li> <li>taste, smell: no viable record/replay devices</li> </ul>	- assess validity of statistical model
Idiom design space	Why focus on tasks and effectiveness?	Resource limitations	Analysis:What, why, and how
<text><list-item><list-item><list-item><table-row><ul> <li>The design space of possible vis idioms is huge, and includes the considerations of both how to create and how to interact with visual representations.</li> <li>diom: distinct approach to creating or manipulating visual representations.</li> <li>- how to draw it: visual encoding idiom.</li> <li>- now to manipulate it: interaction idiom.</li> <li>- how to manipulate it: interaction idiom.</li> <li>- how to manipulate it: interaction idiom.</li> <li>- how to graphic idiom dynamic.</li> <li>- his multiple idioms together through interaction.</li> </ul></table-row></list-item></list-item></list-item></text>	Computer-based visualization systems provide visual representations of datasets designed to help people carry ou tasks more effectively. • tasks serve as constraint on design (as does data) – idioms do not serve all tasks equally! – challenge: recast tasks from domain-specific vocabulary to abstract forms • most possibilities ineffective – validation is necessary, but tricky – increases chance of finding good solutions if you understand full space of possibilities • what counts as effective? – novel: enable entirely new kinds of analysis – faster: speed up existing workflows	<ul> <li>Vis designers must take into account three very different kinds of resource limitations: the computers, of humans, and of displays.</li> <li>computational limits <ul> <li>processing time</li> <li>system memory</li> </ul> </li> <li>human limits <ul> <li>human attention and memory</li> </ul> </li> <li>display limits <ul> <li>pixels are precious resource, the most constrained resource</li> <li>information density: ratio of space used to encode info vs unused whitespace</li> <li>tradeoff between clutter and wasting space, find sweet spot between dense and sparse</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>what is shown?</li> <li>data abstraction</li> <li>why is the user looking at it?</li> <li>task abstraction</li> <li>how is it shown?</li> <li>idiom: visual encoding and interaction</li> <li>abstract vocabulary avoids domain-specific terms <ul> <li>translation process iterative, tricky</li> </ul> </li> <li>what-why-how analysis framework as scaffold to think systematically about design space</li> </ul>
Image <ul> <li>Encode</li> </ul> <ul> <li>Express</li> <li>Separate</li> <li>Order</li> <li>Align</li> <li>Order</li> <li>Align</li> <li>Size, Angle, Curvature,</li> <li>Size, Angle, Curvature,</li> <li>Size, Angle, Curvature,</li> <li>Size, Angle, Curvature,</li> <li>Shape</li> <li>Shape</li></ul>	Encode	Marks and channels - geometric primitives - control appearance of marks $( \circ Position ( \circ Pos$	Channels: Expressiveness types and effectiveness rankings             • Magnitude Channels: Ordered Attributes           • Identity Channels: Categorical Attributes          Position on common scale          • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •





Reduce Filter Aggregate Embed Laborar	Embed: Focus+Context <ul> <li>combine information within single view</li> <li>elide <ul> <li>selectively filter and aggregate</li> </ul> </li> <li>superimpose layer <ul> <li>local lens</li> </ul> </li> <li>distortion design choices <ul> <li>region shape: radial, rectilinear, complex</li> <li>how many regions: one, many</li> <li>region extent: local, global</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Embed</li> <li>Elide Data</li> <li>Elide Data</li> <li>Superimpose Layer</li> <li>Source Commentation</li> <li>Distort Geometry</li> </ul>	
51	-interaction metaphor	5	2