

Lecture 4: Perception

Information Visualization CPSC 533C, Fall 2009

Tamara Munzner

UBC Computer Science

Mon, 21 September 2009

News

- great job on questions
 - almost everybody is getting full credit
- Name That Cluster - A Web Experiment
 - <http://tinyurl.com/namesthatchuster>

Readings Covered

Ware, Chapter 5: Visual Attention and Information That Pops Out
Ware, Chapter 6: Static and Moving Patterns
Ware, Chapter 11: Thinking With Visualizations
Graphical Perception: Theory, Experimentation and the Application to the Development of Graphical Models William S. Cleveland, Robert McGee, J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 79:387, pp. 531-554, 1994.

Human Perception

- sensors/transducers
 - psychophysics: determine characteristics
- relative judgements: strong
- absolute judgements: weak
 - combining them
- different optimizations than most machines
 - eyes are not cameras
 - perceptual dimensions not nD array
 - (brains are not hard disks)

Foveal Vision

- thumbnail at arm's length

- thumbnail at arm's length
- small high resolution area on retina




Equal Legibility

- if fixated on center point



Eyes

- saccades [video]
 - fovea: high-resolution samples
 - brain makes collage
 - vision perceived as entire simultaneous field
 - fixation points: dwell 200-600ms
 - moving: 20-100ms



Ears

- perceived as temporal stream
 - but also samples over time
 - hard to filter out when not important
 - visual vs auditory attention
- implications
 - harder to create overview?
 - hard to use as separable dimension?
 - 'sonification' still very niche area
 - alternative: supporting sound enhances immersion

Other Modalities


- barrier: lack of record/display technology
- haptics maturing
 - "haptic visualization" very new
- smell, taste
 - out-there SIGGRAPH ETech demos
 - characterization tricky until technology barriers fall

Psychophysical Measurement

- JND: just noticeable difference
- increment where human detects change
- average to create "subjective" scale
- low-level perception more uniform than high-level cognition across subjects

Nonlinear Perception of Magnitudes

sensory modalities **not** equally discriminable




Dimensional Dynamic Range

- linewidth: limited discriminability



Dimensional Ranking: Accuracy

- spatial position best for all types



Cleveland vs. Mackinlay: Quantitative

Mackinlay	Cleveland
position	position along common scale position along nonaligned scales
length	length, direction, angle
angle	
slope	
area	area
volume	volume, curvature
density	shading, color saturation
saturation	
hue	
texture	
connection	
containment	
shape	

Weber's Law

- ratio of increment threshold to background intensity is constant

- relative judgements within modality

$$\frac{\Delta I}{I} = K$$

- Cleveland example: frame increases accuracy



Graphical Perception: Theory, Experimentation and the Application to the Development of Graphical Models William S. Cleveland, Robert McGee, J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 79:387, pp. 532-554, 1984.

Cleveland Suggestions

- dot chart over pie or bars
- direct differences over superimposed curves
- framed rectangles over shading on maps

27-18

Preattentive Visual Dimensions

- color (hue) alone: preattentive
 - attentional system not invoked
 - search speed independent of distractor count




- demo

[Chris Healey, Preattentive Processing, www.csc.ncsu.edu/faculty/healey/PP/PP.html]

27-18

Many Preattentive Visual Dimensions

hue
shape
texture
length
width
size
orientation
curvature
intersection
intensity
flicker
direction of motion
stereoscopic depth
light direction, ...



[www.csc.ncsu.edu/faculty/healey/PP/PP.html]

27-18

Not All Dimensions Preattentive

parallelism




[www.csc.ncsu.edu/faculty/healey/PP/PP.html]

27-18

Preattentive Visual Dimensions

- color alone: preattentive
- shape alone: preattentive




- combined hue and shape (demo)

[www.csc.ncsu.edu/faculty/healey/PP/PP.html]


27-18

Preattentive Visual Dimensions

- color alone: preattentive
- shape alone: preattentive



- combined hue and shape (demo)
 - requires attention
 - search speed linear with distractor count



[www.csc.ncsu.edu/faculty/healey/PP/PP.html]

27-18

Separable vs. Integral Dimensions

- not all dimensions separable



color color color size x-size red-green
location motion shape orientation y-size yellow-blue

[Cain Van, Information Visualization: Perception for Design, Morgan Kaufmann 1999]

27-18


Glyphs

- composite graphical mark
- encoding using multiple dimensions
- large-scale individual glyphs vs. small-scale texture fields
 - grouping into large-scale patterns
- integral vs. separable analysis
 - when do they help?

27-18

Glyphs: InfoBug


- software management



[Information Rich Glyphs for Software Management, IEEE CGA 184 1996, www.cs.ncsu.edu/~cagp/Papers/CGAglyph/CGAglyph.pdf]

27-18

Glyphs: InfoBug Small Multiples Array




[Information Rich Glyphs for Software Management, IEEE CGA 184 1996, www.cs.ncsu.edu/~cagp/Papers/CGAglyph/CGAglyph.pdf]

27-18

Glyphs: Bray

- Web sites circa 1996
 - # pages: base diameter
 - # outlines: globe diameter
 - # inlinks: height
 - domain: hue



Bray, Measuring the Web, WWW, 1996, www.infodiv.univ.toronto.edu/~bray/Papers/PS/Overview.html

27-18

Gestalt Laws

- principles of pattern perception
 - "gestalt": German for "patterns"
 - original proposed mechanisms wrong
 - rules themselves still very useful
- Pragnanz
 - simplest possibility wins

27-18

Gestalt Principles

- proximity, similarity, continuity/connectedness/good continuation
- closure, symmetry
- common fate (things moving together)
- figure/ground, relative sizes

27-18


Proximity



[Information Visualization: Perception for Design, Ware, Morgan Kaufmann, 2000]

27-18

Similarity




[Information Visualization: Perception for Design, Ware, Morgan Kaufmann, 2000]

27-18

Continuity

- smooth not abrupt change
- overrules proximity



[Information Visualization: Perception for Design, Ware, Morgan Kaufmann, 2000]

27-18

<p>Connectedness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> can override size, shape <p>[Information Visualization: Perception for Design, Ware, Morgan Kaufmann, 2000]</p>	<p>Closure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> overrides proximity <p>[Information Visualization: Perception for Design, Ware, Morgan Kaufmann, 2000]</p>	<p>Symmetry</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> emphasizes relationships <p>[Information Visualization: Perception for Design, Ware, Morgan Kaufmann, 2000]</p>	<p>Common Fate</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> demo tepperw.ucsd.edu/~jlevin/gg/time-example-common-fate <p>[Information Visualization: Perception for Design, Ware, Morgan Kaufmann, 2000]</p>
<p>Relative Size</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> smaller components perceived as objects <p>[Information Visualization: Perception for Design, Ware, Morgan Kaufmann, 2000]</p>	<p>Figure/Ground</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> determined by combination of previous laws <p>[Information Visualization: Perception for Design, Ware, Morgan Kaufmann, 2000]</p>	<p>Graph Drawing Tension</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> node placement close proximity far visual popout of long edge either <ul style="list-style-type: none"> connectedness tradeoffs abound in infovis grammars <ul style="list-style-type: none"> node-link graphs maps <p>[www.wisearch.gtt.com/lev/tools/gtgraphs/]</p>	<p>Motion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> works for preattentive/grouping less studied than static dimensions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Michotte on causality newer infovis/motion work by Lyn Bartram biological motion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> demo <p>[www.psy.vanderbilt.edu/faculty/make/biomover.gif]</p>
<p>Thinking With Viz</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> problem solving loops <ul style="list-style-type: none"> external representations cognitive cyborgs cost of knowledge <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pinoli/Rao: information foraging/scient theory attention as most limited resource 	<p>Visual Working Memory</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> characteristics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> different from verbal working memory low capacity (3-5?) locations egocentric controlled by attention time to change attention: 100 ms time to get gist: 100 ms not fed automatically to long term memory 	<p>Visual Working Memory</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> multiple attributes per object stored <ul style="list-style-type: none"> position (egocentric), shape, color, texture integration into glyphs allows more info change blindness (Rensink) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> world is its own memory inattention blindness attracting attention <ul style="list-style-type: none"> motion (or appear/disappear?) 	<p>Memory and Loops</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> long term memory <ul style="list-style-type: none"> chunking memory palaces (method of loci) nested loops <ul style="list-style-type: none"> problem-solving strategy visual query construction pattern-finding loop eye movement control loop intrasaccadic image-scanning loop
<p>InfoVis Implications</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> visual query patterns navigation/interaction cost multiple window vs. zoom 	<p>More Perception</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rensink grad course taught every few years <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Perceptual Issues in Visual Interface Design, CPSC 532E Jan 2003 http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~rensink/courses/cpsc532E/ Special Topics in Perception: Visual Display Design, PSYCH 579 Jan 2006 http://www.psych.ubc.ca/~rensink/courses/psych579/ 		