



University of British Columbia  
CPSC 314 Computer Graphics  
Jan-Apr 2007

Tamara Munzner

**Lighting/Shading III**

**Week 7, Mon Feb 26**

<http://www.ugrad.cs.ubc.ca/~cs314/Vjan2007>

# Reading for Today

- FCG Chap 9 Surface Shading
- RB Chap Lighting

## Reading for Next Time


- FCG Chap 10 Ray Tracing
  - only 10.1-10.7, 10.9, 10.11.2
- FCG Chap 22 Image-Based Rendering

# Review: Light Source Placement

- geometry: positions and directions
  - standard: world coordinate system
    - effect: lights fixed wrt world geometry
  - alternative: camera coordinate system
    - effect: lights attached to camera (car headlights)

# Review: Reflectance


- *specular*: perfect mirror with no scattering
- *gloss*: mixed, partial specularity
- *diffuse*: all directions with equal energy





specular + glossy + diffuse =  
reflectance distribution

# Review: Reflection Equations

$$I_{\text{diffuse}} = k_d I_{\text{light}} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{l})$$



$$I_{\text{specular}} = k_s I_{\text{light}} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r})^{n_{\text{shiny}}}$$



$$2(\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{L})) - \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{R}$$

# Lighting II





# Phong Lighting Model

- combine ambient, diffuse, specular components

$$\mathbf{I}_{\text{total}} = \mathbf{k}_s \mathbf{I}_{\text{ambient}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\# \text{ lights}} \mathbf{I}_i (\mathbf{k}_d (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{l}_i) + \mathbf{k}_s (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i)^{n_{\text{shiny}}})$$

- commonly called *Phong lighting*
  - once per light
  - once per color component
- reminder: normalize your vectors when calculating!

# Phong Lighting: Intensity Plots

Phong	$\rho_{\text{ambient}}$	$\rho_{\text{diffuse}}$	$\rho_{\text{specular}}$	$\rho_{\text{total}}$
$\phi_i = 60^\circ$				
$\phi_i = 25^\circ$				
$\phi_i = 0^\circ$				

# Blinn-Phong Model


- variation with better physical interpretation

- Jim Blinn, 1977

$$I_{out}(\mathbf{x}) = k_s (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n})^{n_{shiny}} \cdot I_{in}(\mathbf{x}); \text{ with } \mathbf{h} = (\mathbf{l} + \mathbf{v}) / 2$$


- ***h***: halfway vector

- **h** must also be explicitly normalized:  $\mathbf{h} / |\mathbf{h}|$
  - highlight occurs when **h** near **n**



# Light Source Falloff

- quadratic falloff
  - brightness of objects depends on power per unit area that hits the object
  - the power per unit area for a point or spot light decreases quadratically with distance



# Light Source Falloff

- non-quadratic falloff
  - many systems allow for other falloffs
  - allows for faking effect of area light sources
- OpenGL / graphics hardware
  - $I_0$ : intensity of light source
  - $\mathbf{x}$ : object point
  - $r$ : distance of light from  $\mathbf{x}$

$$I_{in}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{ar^2 + br + c} \cdot I_0$$

# Lighting Review

- lighting models
  - ambient
    - normals don't matter
  - Lambert/diffuse
    - angle between surface normal and light
  - Phong/specular
    - surface normal, light, and viewpoint

# Lighting in OpenGL

- light source: amount of RGB light emitted
  - value represents percentage of full intensity  
e.g., (1.0,0.5,0.5)
  - every light source emits ambient, diffuse, and specular light
- materials: amount of RGB light reflected
  - value represents percentage reflected  
e.g., (0.0,1.0,0.5)
- interaction: multiply components
  - red light (1,0,0) x green surface (0,1,0) = black (0,0,0)

# Lighting in OpenGL

```
glLightfv(GL_LIGHT0, GL_AMBIENT, amb_light_rgba );  
glLightfv(GL_LIGHT0, GL_DIFFUSE, dif_light_rgba );  
glLightfv(GL_LIGHT0, GL_SPECULAR, spec_light_rgba );  
glLightfv(GL_LIGHT0, GL_POSITION, position);  
glEnable(GL_LIGHT0);
```

```
glMaterialfv( GL_FRONT, GL_AMBIENT, ambient_rgba );  
glMaterialfv( GL_FRONT, GL_DIFFUSE, diffuse_rgba );  
glMaterialfv( GL_FRONT, GL_SPECULAR, specular_rgba );  
glMaterialfv( GL_FRONT, GL_SHININESS, n );
```

- **warning: glMaterial is expensive and tricky**
  - use cheap and simple glColor when possible
  - see OpenGL Pitfall #14 from Kilgard's list

<http://www.opengl.org/resources/features/KilgardTechniques/oglpitfall/>

# Shading

# Lighting vs. Shading


- **lighting**

- process of computing the luminous intensity (i.e., outgoing light) at a particular 3-D point, usually on a surface

- **shading**

- the process of assigning colors to pixels

- (why the distinction?)



# Applying Illumination

- we now have an illumination model for a point on a surface
- if surface defined as mesh of polygonal facets, *which points should we use?*
  - fairly expensive calculation
  - several possible answers, each with different implications for visual quality of result

# Applying Illumination

- polygonal/triangular models
  - each facet has a constant surface normal
  - if light is directional, diffuse reflectance is constant across the facet
  - why?

# Flat Shading



- simplest approach calculates illumination at a single point for each polygon



- obviously inaccurate for smooth surfaces


# Flat Shading Approximations

- if an object really is faceted, is this accurate?
- no!
  - for point sources, the direction to light varies across the facet
  - for specular reflectance, direction to eye varies across the facet




# Improving Flat Shading

- what if evaluate Phong lighting model at each pixel of the polygon?
  - better, but result still clearly faceted
- for smoother-looking surfaces we introduce *vertex normals* at each vertex
  - usually different from facet normal
  - used *only* for shading
  - think of as a better approximation of the *real* surface that the polygons approximate



# Vertex Normals


- vertex normals may be
  - provided with the model
  - computed from first principles
  - approximated by averaging the normals of the facets that share the vertex



# Gouraud Shading



- most common approach, and what OpenGL does
  - perform Phong lighting at the vertices
  - linearly interpolate the resulting colors over faces
    - along edges
    - along scanlines

does this eliminate the facets?




# Gouraud Shading Artifacts

- often appears dull, chalky
- lacks accurate specular component
  - if included, will be averaged over entire polygon





# Gouraud Shading Artifacts

- Mach bands
  - eye enhances discontinuity in first derivative
  - very disturbing, especially for highlights



# Gouraud Shading Artifacts



- Mach bands



*Discontinuity in rate  
of color change  
occurs here*

# Gouraud Shading Artifacts

- perspective transformations
  - affine combinations only invariant under affine, **not** under perspective transformations
  - thus, perspective projection alters the linear interpolation!



# Gouraud Shading Artifacts

- perspective transformation problem
  - colors slightly “swim” on the surface as objects move relative to the camera
  - usually ignored since often only small difference
    - usually smaller than changes from lighting variations
- to do it right
  - either shading in object space
  - or correction for perspective foreshortening
  - expensive – thus hardly ever done for colors

# Phong Shading

- linearly interpolating surface normal across the facet, applying Phong lighting model at every pixel
  - same input as Gouraud shading
  - pro: much smoother results
  - con: considerably more expensive
- **not** the same as Phong lighting
  - common confusion
  - **Phong lighting**: empirical model to calculate illumination at a point on a surface




# Phong Shading

- linearly interpolate the vertex normals
  - compute lighting equations at each pixel
  - can use specular component

$$I_{total} = k_a I_{ambient} + \sum_{i=1}^{\#lights} I_i \left( k_d (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{l}_i) + k_s (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i)^{n_{shiny}} \right)$$

remember: normals used in  
diffuse and specular terms




discontinuity in normal's rate of  
change harder to detect

# Phong Shading Difficulties

- computationally expensive
  - per-pixel vector normalization and lighting computation!
  - floating point operations required
- lighting after perspective projection
  - messes up the angles between vectors
  - have to keep eye-space vectors around
- no direct support in pipeline hardware
  - but can be simulated with texture mapping

# Shading Artifacts: Silhouettes

- polygonal silhouettes remain




*Gouraud*


*Phong*

# Shading Artifacts: Orientation


- interpolation dependent on polygon orientation
  - view dependence!



Rotate  $-90^\circ$   
and color  
same point



# Shading Artifacts: Shared Vertices



vertex B shared by two rectangles on the right, but not by the one on the left

first portion of the scanline is interpolated between DE and AC


second portion of the scanline is interpolated between BC and GH

a large discontinuity could arise


# Shading Models Summary

- flat shading
  - compute Phong lighting once for entire polygon
- Gouraud shading
  - compute Phong lighting at the vertices and interpolate lighting values across polygon
- Phong shading
  - compute averaged vertex normals
  - interpolate normals across polygon and perform Phong lighting across polygon


# Shutterbug: Flat Shading



# Shutterbug: Gouraud Shading




# Shutterbug: Phong Shading



# Non-Photorealistic Shading


- cool-to-warm shading  $k_w = \frac{1 + \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{l}}{2}, c = k_w c_w + (1 - k_w) c_c$



<http://www.cs.utah.edu/~gooch/SIG98/paper/drawing.html>

# Non-Photorealistic Shading



- draw silhouettes: if  $(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0)(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1) \leq 0$ ,  $\mathbf{e}$ =edge-eye vector
- draw creases: if  $(\mathbf{n}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n}_1) \leq \textit{threshold}$



<http://www.cs.utah.edu/~gouch/SIG98/paper/drawing.html>



# Computing Normals

- per-vertex normals by interpolating per-facet normals
  - OpenGL supports both
- computing normal for a polygon



# Computing Normals


- per-vertex normals by interpolating per-facet normals
  - OpenGL supports both
- computing normal for a polygon
  - three points form two vectors



# Computing Normals

- per-vertex normals by interpolating per-facet normals

- OpenGL supports both



- computing normal for a polygon


- three points form two vectors

- cross: normal of plane gives direction

- **normalize to unit length!**

- which side is up?

- convention: points in counterclockwise order



# Specifying Normals

- OpenGL state machine
  - uses last normal specified
  - if no normals specified, assumes all identical
- per-vertex normals

```
glNormal3f(1,1,1);
glVertex3f(3,4,5);
glNormal3f(1,1,0);
glVertex3f(10,5,2);
```
- per-face normals

```
glNormal3f(1,1,1);
glVertex3f(3,4,5);
glVertex3f(10,5,2);
```