

CPSC 213

Introduction to Computer Systems

Unit 1d

Static Control Flow

Reading

- ▶ Companion

- 2.7.1-2.7.3, 2.7.5-2.7.6

- ▶ Textbook

- 3.6.1-3.6.5

Control Flow

- ▶ The flow of control is
 - the sequence of instruction executions performed by a program
 - every program execution can be described by such a linear sequence
- ▶ Controlling flow in languages like Java

Loops (S5-loop)

▶ In Java

```
public class Foo {  
    static int s = 0;  
    static int i;  
    static int a[] = new int[10];  
  
    static void foo () {  
        for (i=0; i<10; i++)  
            s += a[i];  
    }  
}
```

▶ In C

```
int s=0;  
int i;  
int a[] = {2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20};  
  
void foo () {  
    for (i=0; i<10; i++)  
        s += a[i];  
}
```

Implement loops in machine

```
int s=0;
int i;
int a[] = {2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20};

void foo () {
    for (i=0; i<10; i++)
        s += a[i];
}
```

- ▶ Can we implement **this** loop with the existing ISA?

Loop unrolling

▶ Using array syntax

```
int s=0;
int i;
int a[10] = {2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20};

void foo () {
    i = 0;
    s += a[i];
    i++;

    s += a[i];
    i++;

    ...

    s += a[i];
    i++;
}
```

▶ Using pointer-arithmetic syntax for access to a?

▶ Will this technique generalize

- will it work for all loops? why or why not?

Control-Flow ISA Extensions

▶ Conditional branches

- goto <address> if <condition>

▶ Options for evaluating condition

- unconditional
- conditional based on value of a register (==0, >0 etc.)
 - goto <address> if <register> <condition> 0
- conditional check result of last executed ALU instruction
 - goto <address> if last ALU result <condition> 0

▶ Specifying target address

- absolute 32-bit address
 - this requires a 6 byte instruction, which means jumps have high overhead
 - is this a serious problem? how would you decide?
 - are jumps for for/while/if etc. different from jumps for procedure call?

PC Relative Addressing

▶ Motivation

- jumps are common and so we want to make them as fast as possible
- small instructions are faster than large ones, so make some jumps be two bytes

▶ Observation

- some jumps such as for/while/if etc. normally jump to a nearby instruction
- so the jump distance can be described by a small number that could fit in a byte

▶ PC Relative Addressing

- specifies jump target as a delta from address of current instruction (actually next)
- in the execute stage *pc register* stores the address of next sequential instruction
- the pc-relative jump delta is applied to the value of the pc register
 - jumping with a delta of 0 jumps to the next instruction
- jump instructions that use pc-relative addressing are called *branches*

▶ Absolute Addressing

- specifies jump target using full 32-bit address
- use when the jump distance too large to fit in a byte

ISA for Static Control Flow (part 1)

▶ ISA requirement (apparently)

- at least one PC-relative jump
 - specify relative distance using real distance / 2 — why?
- at least one absolute jumps
- some conditional jumps (at least = and > 0)
 - make these PC-relative — why?

▶ New instructions (so far)

Name	Semantics	Assembly	Machine
<i>branch</i>	$pc \leftarrow (a = pc + oo * 2)$	br a	8-oo
<i>branch if equal</i>	$pc \leftarrow (a = pc + oo * 2)$ if $r[c] == 0$	beq rc, a	9coo
<i>branch if greater</i>	$pc \leftarrow (a = pc + oo * 2)$ if $r[c] > 0$	bgt rc, a	acoo
<i>jump immediate</i>	$pc \leftarrow a$ (a specified as label)	j a	b---- aaaaaaaaa

- jump assembly uses label, not direct hex number
- PC-relative count starts from next instruction, after fetch increments PC

Implementing *for* loops (S5-loop)

```
for (i=0; i<10; i++)  
  s += a[i];
```

▶ General form

- in C and Java

```
for (<init>; <continue-condition>; <step>) <statement-block>
```

- pseudo-code template

```
    <init>  
loop: if not <continue-condition> goto end_loop  
    <statement-block>  
    <step>  
    goto loop  
end_loop:
```

▶ This example

- pseudo code template

```
    i=0
loop:  if not (i<10) goto end_loop
       s+=a[i]
       i++
       goto loop
end_loop:
```

- ISA suggest two transformations

- only conditional branches we have compared to 0, not 10
- no need to store i and s in memory in each loop iteration, so use *temp_* to indicate this

```
    temp_i=0
    temp_s=0
loop:  temp_t=temp_i-9
       if temp_t>0 goto end_loop
       temp_s+=a[temp_i]
       temp_i++
       goto loop
end_loop: s=temp_s
        i=temp_i
```

```

temp_i=0
temp_s=0
loop:  temp_t=temp_i-9
      if temp_t>0 goto end_loop
      temp_s+=a[temp_i]
      temp_i++
      goto loop
end_loop: s=temp_s
        i=temp_i

```

- assembly code

Assume that all variables are global variables

```

ld  $0x0, r0      # r0 = temp_i = 0
ld  $a, r1        # r1 = address of a[0]
ld  $0x0, r2      # r2 = temp_s = 0
ld  $0xffffffff7, r4 # r4 = -9
loop:  mov r0, r5  # r5 = temp_i
      add r4, r5  # r5 = temp_i-9
      bgt r5, end_loop # if temp_i>9 goto +4
      ld (r1, r0, 4), r3 # r3 = a[temp_i]
      add r3, r2 # temp_s += a[temp_i]
      inc r0 # temp_i++
      br loop # goto -7
end_loop: ld $s, r1 # r1 = address of s
          st r2, 0x0(r1) # s = temp_s
          st r0, 0x4(r1) # i = temp_i

```

Implementing if-then-else (S6-if)

```
if (a > b)
  max = a;
else
  max = b;
```

▶ General form

- in Java and C
 - `if <condition> <then-statements> else <else-statements>`
- pseudo-code template

```
temp_c = not <condition>
goto then if (temp_c == 0)
else: <else-statements>
      goto end_if
then: <then-statements>
end_if:
```

▶ This example

- pseudo-code template

```
temp_a=a
temp_b=b
temp_c=temp_a-temp_b
goto then if (temp_c>0)
else: temp_max=temp_b
      goto end_if
then: temp_max=temp_a
end_if: max=temp_max
```

- assembly code

```
ld  $a, r0           # r0 = &a
ld  0x0(r0), r0      # r0 = a
ld  $b, r1           # r1 = &b
ld  0x0(r1), r1      # r1 = b
mov  r1, r2          # r2 = b
not  r2              # temp_c = ! b
inc  r2              # temp_c = - b
add  r0, r2          # temp_c = a-b
bgt  r2, then        # if (a>b) goto +2
else: mov r1, r3      # temp_max = b
      br  end_if     # goto +1
then: mov r0, r3      # temp_max = a
end_if: ld  $max, r0  # r0 = &max
      st  r3, 0x0(r0) # max = temp_max
```

Static Procedure Calls

Code Examples (S6-static-call)

```
public class A {  
    static void ping () {}  
}  
  
public class Foo {  
    static void foo () {  
        A.ping ();  
    }  
}
```

```
void ping () {}  
  
void foo () {  
    ping ();  
}
```

▶ Java

- a **method** is a sub-routine with a name, arguments and local scope
- method **invocation** causes the sub-routine to run with values bound to arguments and with a possible result bound to the invocation

▶ C

- a **procedure** is ...
- a procedure **call** is ...

Diagramming a Procedure Call

```
void foo () {  
  ping ();  
}
```

```
void ping () {}
```

▶ Caller

- goto ping
 - j ping
- continue executing

▶ Callee

- do whatever ping does
- goto foo just after call to ping()
 - ???????

Questions

How is RETURN implemented?

It's a jump, but is the address a static property or a dynamic one?

Implementing Procedure *Return*

▶ return address is

- the address the procedure jumps to when it completes
- the address of the instruction following the call that caused it to run
- a dynamic property of the program

▶ questions

- how does procedure know the return address?
- how does it jump to a dynamic address?

▶ saving the return address

- only the caller knows the address
- so the caller must save it before it makes the call
 - caller will save the return address in **r6**
 - there is a bit of a problem here if the callee makes a procedure call, more later ...
- we need a new instruction to read the PC
 - we'll call it gpc

▶ jumping back to return address

- we need new instruction to jump to an address stored in a register
 - callee can assume return address is in r6

ISA for Static Control Flow (part 2)

▶ New requirements

- read the value of the PC
- jump to a dynamically determined target address

▶ Complete new set of instructions

Name	Semantics	Assembly	Machine
<i>branch</i>	$pc \leftarrow (a == pc + pp * 2)$	br a	8-pp
<i>branch if equal</i>	$pc \leftarrow (a == pc + pp * 2)$ if $r[c] == 0$	beq a	9c pp
<i>branch if greater</i>	$pc \leftarrow (a == pc + pp * 2)$ if $r[c] > 0$	bgt a	a cpp
<i>jump immediate</i>	$pc \leftarrow a$ (a specified as label)	j a	b--- aaaaaaaa
<i>get pc</i>	$r[d] \leftarrow pc + (o == p * 2)$	gpc \$o,rd	6f pd
<i>jump base+offset</i>	$pc \leftarrow r[t] + (o == pp * 2)$	j o(rt)	c tppp

- jump assembly uses label, not direct hex number

Compiling Procedure Call / Return

```
void foo () {  
    ping ();  
}
```

```
foo:  gpc $6, r6      # r6 = pc of next instruction  
      j   ping       # goto ping ()
```

```
void ping () {}
```

```
ping: j   (r6)       # return
```