| <b>CPSC 213</b>  | <ul> <li>Companion</li> <li>2.4.4-2.4.6</li> <li>Textbook</li> <li>2ed: 3.9.1, 9.9.1-9.9.2, 3.10</li> <li>1ed: 3.9.1, 10.9.1-10.9.2, 3.11</li> </ul>         |
|--|--|
| Introduction to Computer Systems   |  |
| Unit 1c<br>Instance Variables and Dynamic Allocation   |  |
| Instance Variables   | Structs in C (S4-instance-var)   |
| Class X<br>static int i;<br>int j;<br>X.i<br>X anX<br>Object instance of X<br>int j;<br>X.i  | struct D {   int e;   int f;   };  |
| <ul> <li>Variables that are an instance of a class or struct</li> <li>created dynamically</li> <li>many instances of the same variable can co-exist</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>collection of variables of arbitrary type, allocated and accessed together</li> <li>Declaration</li> </ul>  |
| <ul> <li>Java vs C</li> <li>Java: <i>objects</i> are instances of non-static variables of a <i>class</i></li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>similar to declaring a Java class without methods</li> <li>name is "struct" plus name provided by programer</li> <li>static struct D d0;</li> </ul> |

struct D\* d1;

d0.e = d0.f;

 $d1 \rightarrow e = d1 \rightarrow f;$ 

Λ

dynamic

Access

static

dynamic

Reading

• C: **structs** are named variable groups, instance is also called a struct

#### Accessing an instance variable

- requires a reference to a particular object (pointer to a struct)
- then variable name chooses a variable in that object (struct)

# Struct Allocation



## The Revised Load-Store ISA

#### Machine format for base + offset

- note that the offset will in our case always be a multiple of 4
- also note that we only have a single hex digit in instruction to store it
- and so, we will store offset / 4 in the instruction

#### The Revised ISA

| Name              | Semantics  | Assembly                                   | Machine    |
|-------------------|--|--|------------|
| load immediate    | r[ <b>d</b> ] ← <b>v</b>                         | ld \$v, rd                                 | 0d vvvvvvv |
| load base+offset  | $r[d] \leftarrow m[r[s]+(o=p*4)]$                | ld o(rs), rd                               | 1psd       |
| load indexed      | r[ <b>d</b> ] ← m[r[ <b>s</b> ]+4*r[ <b>i</b> ]] | ld (r <b>s</b> ,r <b>i</b> ,4), r <b>d</b> | 2sid       |
| store base+offset | m[r[ <b>d</b> ]+(o=p*4)] ← r[ <b>s</b> ]         | st r <b>s</b> , o(r <b>d</b> )             | 3spd       |
| store indexed     | m[r[ <b>d</b> ]+4*r[ <b>i</b> ]] ← r[ <b>s</b> ] | st r <mark>s</mark> , (r <b>d</b> ,ri,4)   | 4sdi       |

# **Dynamic Allocation**

## Dynamic Allocation in C and Java

#### Programs can allocate memory dynamically

- allocation reserves a range of memory for a purpose
- in Java, instances of classes are allocated by the **new** statement
- in C, byte ranges are allocated by call to **malloc** function

#### Wise management of memory requires deallocation

- memory is a scare resource
- deallocation frees previously allocated memory for later re-use
- Java and C take different approaches to deallocation

#### How is memory deallocated in Java?

### Deallocation in C

• programs must explicitly deallocate memory by calling the free function

• free frees the memory immediately, with no check to see if its still in use

# **Considering Explicit Delete**

Let's look at this example



12

• is it safe to free mb where it is freed?

• what bad thing can happen?

#### Let's extend the example to see

• what might happen in bar()





# Avoiding Dangling Pointers in C

#### Understand the problem

- when allocation and free appear in different places in your code
- for example, when a procedure returns a pointer to something it allocates

### Avoid the problem cases, if possible

- restrict dynamic allocation/free to single procedure, if possible
- don't write procedures that return pointers, if possible
- use local variables instead, where possible
  - we'll see later that local variables are automatically allocated on call and freed on return

## Engineer for memory management, if necessary

- define rules for which procedure is responsible for deallocation, if possible
- implement explicit reference counting if multiple potential deallocators
- define rules for which pointers can be stored in data structures
- use coding conventions and documentation to ensure rules are followed

# **Dangling Pointers**

## A dangling pointer is

- a pointer to an object that has been freed
- could point to unallocated memory or to another object

### Why they are a problem

- program thinks its writing to object of type X, but isn't
- it may be writing to an object of type Y, consider this sequence of events



# Avoiding dynamic allocation

## If procedure returns value of dynamically allocated object

- allocate that object in *caller* and pass pointer to it to *callee*
- good if caller can allocate on stack or can do both malloc / free itself

| <pre>struct MBuf * receive () {     struct MBuf* mBuf = (struct MBuf*)      return mBuf; }</pre> | malloc (sizeof (struct MBuf));   |
|--|--|
| <pre>void foo () {     struct MBuf* mb = receive ();     bar (mb);     free (mb); }</pre>        | <pre>void receive (struct MBuf* mBuf) { } void foo () {     struct MBuf mb;     receive (&amp;mb);     bar (mb); }</pre> |

## **Reference** Counting

#### Use reference counting to track object use

- any procedure that stores a reference increments the count
- any procedure that discards a reference decrements the count
- the object is freed when count goes to zero

```
struct MBuf* malloc_Mbuf () {
    struct MBuf* mb = (struct MBuf* mb) malloc (sizeof (struct MBuf));
    mb->ref_count = 1;
    return mb;
}
void keep_reference (struct MBuf* mb) {
    mb->ref_count ++;
}
void free_reference (struct MBuf* mb) {
    mb->ref_count --;
    if (mb->ref_count==0)
        free (mb);
}
```

# **Garbage Collection**

## In Java objects are deallocated implicitly

- the program never says free
- the runtime system tracks every object reference
- when an object is unreachable then it can be deallocated
- a garbage collector runs periodically to deallocate unreachable objects

## Advantage compared to explicit delete

no dangling pointers

```
MBuf receive () {
    MBuf mBuf = new MBuf ();
    ...
    return mBuf;
}
void foo () {
    MBuf mb = receive ();
    bar (mb);
}
```

## The example code then uses reference counting like this

```
struct MBuf * receive () {
    struct MBuf* mBuf = malloc_Mbuf ();
    ...
    return mBuf;
}
void foo () {
    struct MBuf* mb = receive ();
    bar (mb);
    free_reference (mb);
}
void MBuf* aMB = 0;
void bar (MBuf* mb) {
    if (aMB != 0)
        free_reference (aMB);
    aMB = mb;
    keep_reference (aMB);
}
```

# Discussion

What are the advantages of C's explicit delete

What are the advantages of Java's garbage collection

Is it okay to ignore deallocation in Java programs?

## Memory Management in Java

#### Memory leak

- occurs when the garbage collector fails to reclaim unneeded objects
- memory is a scarce resource and wasting it can be a serous bug
- its huge problem for long-running programs where the garbage accumulates

#### How is it possible to create a memory leak in Java?

- Java can only reclaim an object if it is unreachable
- but, unreachability is only an approximation of whether an object is needed
- an unneeded object in a hash table, for example, is never reclaimed

#### The solution requires engineering

- just as in C, you must plan for memory deallocation explicitly
- unlike C, however, if you make a mistake, you can not create a dangling pointer
- in Java you remove the references, Java reclaims the objects

#### Further reading

http://java.sun.com/docs/books/performance/1st\_edition/html/JPAppGC.fm.html

## Using Reference Objects ENRICHMENT: You are not required to know this

## Creating a reclaimable reference

- the Reference class is a template that be instantiated for any reference
- store instances of this class instead of the original reference

```
void bar (MBuf mb) {
    aMB = new WeakReference<Mbuf>(mb);
}
```

allows the garbage collector to collect the MBuf even if aMB points to it

## This does not reclaim the weak reference itself

- while the GC will reclaim the MBuf, it can't reclaim the WeakReference
- the problem is that aMB stores a reference to WeakReference
- not a big issue here, there is only one
- but, what if we store a large collection of weak references?

## Ways to Avoid Unintended Retention ENRICHMENT: You are not required to know this

## ▶ imperative approach with *explicit reference annulling*

- explicitly set references to NULL when referent is longer needed
- add close() or free() methods to classes you create and call them explicitly
- use try-finally block to ensure that these *clean-up* steps are always taken
- these are imperative approaches; drawbacks?

## declarative approach with reference objects

- refer to objects without requiring their retention
- store object references that the garbage collector can reclaim

WeakReference<Widget> weakRef = new WeakReference<Widget>(widget); Widget widget = weakRef.get() // may return NULL

#### different levels of reference stickiness

- soft discarded only when new allocations put pressure on available memory
- weak discarded on next GC cycle when no stronger reference exists
- phantom unretrievable (get always returns NULL), used to register with GC reference queue

## Using Reference Queues ENRICHMENT: You are not required to know this

The problem

23

- reference objects will be stored in data structures
- reclaiming them requires first removing them from these data structures

#### The reference queue approach

- a reference object can have an associated reference queue
- the GC adds reference objects to the queue when it collects their referent
- your code scans the queue periodically to update referring data structures

```
ReferenceQueue<MBuf> refQ = new ReferenceQueue<MBuf> ();
void bar (MBuf mb) {
    aMB = new WeakReference<Mbuf> (mb,refQ);
}
void removeGarbage () {
    while ((WeakReference<Mbuf> ref = refQ.poll()) != null)
    // remove ref from data structure where it is stored
    if (aMB==ref)
        aMB = null;
}
```