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#### Abstract

We extend the previous analysis of Schmidt et al. [2011] to derive the linear convergence rate obtained by the proximal-gradient method under a general step-size scheme, for the problem of optimizing the sum of a smooth strongly-convex function and a simple (but potentially non-smooth) convex function.


## 1 Overview and Assumptions

We consider minimization problems of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x):=g(x)+h(x), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ a is strongly-convex function with parameter $\mu, g^{\prime}$ is Lipschitz-continuous with parameter $L$, and $h$ is only required to be a lower semi-continuous proper convex function. This class includes the elastic-net regularized least-squares problem

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{1}{2}\|A x-b\|^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{2}\|x\|^{2}+\lambda_{1}\|x\|_{1},
$$

with $g(x)=\frac{1}{2}\|A x-b\|^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{2}\|x\|^{2}$ and $h(x)=\lambda_{1}\|x\|_{1}$. In this case, $L=\sigma_{\max }\left(A^{T} A\right)+\lambda_{2}$ and $\mu=\sigma_{\min }\left(A^{T} A\right)+\lambda_{2}$. In this work we'll analyze the proximal-gradient algorithm, which uses iterations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k+1}=\operatorname{prox}\left[x^{k}-\alpha g^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right)\right], \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha>0$ is the step-size and the proximal operator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{prox}(x)=\underset{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2}\|x-y\|^{2}+\alpha h(y) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our prior results in Schmidt et al. [2011, Proposition 3] show that with a step-size of $\alpha=1 / L$ that the iterates of this algorithm have a linear convergence rate,

$$
\left\|x^{k}-x^{*}\right\| \leq\left(1-\frac{\mu}{L}\right)^{k}\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|
$$

where $x^{*}$ is the optimal solution. In this note show that for a general step-size $\alpha$ we have

$$
\left\|x^{k}-x^{*}\right\| \leq Q(\alpha)^{k}\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|
$$

where $Q(\alpha)=\max \{|1-\alpha L|,|1-\alpha \mu|\}$. This matches the known rate of the gradient method with a constant step-size for solving strictly-convex quadratic problems [Bertsekas, 1999, Section 1.3], and the rate of the projected-gradient algorithm with a constant step-size for minimizing strictly-convex quadratic functions over convex sets [Bertsekas, 1999, Section 2.3]. This result includes the previous result as a speical case since $Q\left(\frac{1}{L}\right)=1-\frac{\mu}{L}$, and also gives a faster rate if we miniminze $Q$ in terms of $\alpha$ to give $\alpha=\frac{2}{L+\mu}$ which yields $Q\left(\frac{2}{L+\mu}\right)=1-\frac{2 \mu}{L+\mu}=\frac{L-\mu}{L+\mu}$.

## 2 Useful inequalitites

We note that $x^{*}$ is a fixed-point of the iterations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*}=\operatorname{prox}\left[x^{*}-\alpha g^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right] . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows because by the definition of $x^{*}$ is satifies the optimality condition for (1.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \in g^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)+\partial h\left(x^{*}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The optimality conditions that define the solution to the proximal problem (1.3) are

$$
0 \in-(x-y)+\alpha \partial h(y)
$$

and plugging in $x=x^{*}-\alpha g^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)$ we have

$$
0 \in\left(y-x^{*}\right)+\alpha g^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)+\alpha \partial h(y),
$$

which in light of (2.2) is solved by setting $y=x^{*}$.
We'll also use that the proximal operator is non-expansive [Combettes and Wajs, 2005],

$$
\|\operatorname{prox}[x]-\operatorname{prox}[y]\|^{2} \leq\langle\operatorname{prox}[x]-\operatorname{prox}[y], x-y\rangle,
$$

which implies by Cauchy-Schwartz that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\operatorname{prox}[x]-\operatorname{prox}[y]\| \leq\|x-y\| \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $g^{\prime}$ is $L$-Lipschitz continuous we have

$$
\left\|g^{\prime}(x)-g^{\prime}(y)\right\| \leq L\|x-y\|,
$$

and because $g$ is $\mu$-strongly convex we have

$$
\left\|g^{\prime}(x)-g^{\prime}(y)\right\| \geq \mu\|x-y\|,
$$

so putting these together (noting that $L \geq \mu$ ) we have for any $\beta$ (positive or negative) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left\|g^{\prime}(x)-g^{\prime}(y)\right\|^{2} \leq \max \left\{\beta L^{2}, \beta \mu^{2}\right\}\|x-y\|^{2} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, because $g^{\prime}$ is $L$-Lipschitz and $\mu$-strongly convex we have [Nesterov, 2004, Theorem 2.1.12]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle g^{\prime}(x)-g^{\prime}(y), x-y\right\rangle \geq \frac{1}{L+\mu}\left\|f^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(y)\right\|^{2}+\frac{L \mu}{L+\mu}\|x-y\|^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Derivation

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\operatorname{prox}\left[x^{k}-\alpha g^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right)\right]-\operatorname{prox}\left[x^{*}-\alpha g^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right]\right\|^{2}  \tag{1.2}\\
& \leq \|\left(x^{k}-\alpha g^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right)-\left(x^{*}-\alpha g^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right) \|^{2}\right.  \tag{2.3}\\
& =\left\|\left(x^{k}-x^{*}\right)-\alpha\left(g^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\left(x^{k}-x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha\left\langle g^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right), x^{k}-x^{*}\right\rangle+\alpha^{2}\left\|g^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(x^{k}-x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha\left(\frac{1}{L+\mu}\left\|g^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right)+g^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}+\frac{L \mu}{L+\mu}\left\|x^{k}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left\|g^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}  \tag{2.5}\\
& =\left(1-\frac{2 \alpha L \mu}{L+\mu}\right)\left\|\left(x^{k}-x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}+\alpha\left(\alpha-\frac{2}{L+\mu}\right)\left\|g^{\prime}\left(x^{k}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1-\frac{2 \alpha L \mu}{L+\mu}\right)\left\|\left(x^{k}-x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}+\alpha \max \left\{L^{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{2}{L+\mu}\right), \mu^{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{2}{L+\mu}\right)\right\}\left\|x^{k}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\max \left\{\left(1-\frac{2 \alpha L \mu}{L+\mu}\right)+\alpha L^{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{2}{L+\mu}\right),\left(1-\frac{2 \alpha L \mu}{L+\mu}\right)+\alpha \mu^{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{2}{L+\mu}\right)\right\}\left\|x^{k}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\max \left\{1-\frac{2 \alpha L(L+\mu)}{L+\mu}+\alpha^{2} L^{2}, 1-\frac{2 \alpha \mu(L+\mu)}{L+\mu}+\alpha^{2} \mu^{2}\right\}\left\|x^{k}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\max \left\{(1-\alpha L)^{2},(1-\alpha \mu)^{2}\right\}\left\|x^{k}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& =Q^{2}\left\|x^{k}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the square root and applying it repeatedly gives the result.
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