CPSC 440: Advanced Machine Learning

340 Overview

Mark Schmidt

University of British Columbia

Winter 2021

Admin

- Canvas: CPSC 440 and CPSC 540 should now be merged.
  - Has links to course webpage and Piazza.
  - Has schedule and Zoom links for lectures, tutorials, office hours, and so on.
- Tutorials: start Thursday this week (no need to register).
- Assignment 1: due Friday of next week.
  - Start early.
  - Submission instructions coming soon.

- Project:
  - 440 students: probably a literature review or creating presentation material.
    - Due at end of term.
  - 540 students: will be more like a grad project.
    - With some intermediate deadlines.

Motivating Problem: Depth Estimation from Images

- We want to build system that predicts "distance to car" for each pixel in an image:

https://www.gadzooki.com/gadgets/5-ways-technology-is-going-to-make-driving-safer

- For example, pixel $(59, 108)$ has distance 30.4 meters.
- One way to build such a system:
  1. Collect a large number of images and label their pixels with the true depth.
  2. Use supervised learning to build a model that can predict depth of any pixel.

Supervised Learning Notation

- Supervised learning input is a set of n training examples.
- Each training example i consists of:
  - A set of features x^i.
  - A label y^i
- For depth estimation:
  - Features could be a bunch of convolutions centered around the pixel.
  - Label would be the actual distance to the object in the pixel.
  - Supervised learning is a crucial tool used in self-driving cars.
- Supervised learning output is a model:
  - With linear models, summarized by a d-dimensioanl parameter vector w.
  - Given a new input $\tilde{x}^i$, model makes a prediction $\hat{y}^i$.
  - Goal is to maximize accuracy on new examples (test error).

Supervised Learning Notation

- We'll assume that all vectors are column-vectors,

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_d \end{bmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} y^1 \\ y^2 \\ \vdots \\ y^n \end{bmatrix}, \quad x^i = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^i \\ x_2^i \\ \vdots \\ x_d^i \end{bmatrix}.$$

- I'm using w_j as the scalar parameter j.
- I'm using y^i as the label of example i (currently a scalar).
- I'm using x^i as the list of features for example i.
- I'm using x_j^i to denote feature j in training example i.
- I'll use x_j to denote feature j in a generic training example.

Supervised Learning Notation

- We'll use X to denote the data matrix containing the x^i in the rows:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \text{---} & (x^1)^\top & \text{---} \\ \text{---} & (x^2)^\top & \text{---} \\ & \vdots & \\ \text{---} & (x^n)^\top & \text{---} \end{bmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} y^1 \\ y^2 \\ \vdots \\ y^n \end{bmatrix},$$

- We'll use $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{y}$ to denote test data:

$$\tilde{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{---} & (\tilde{x}^1)^\top & \text{---} \\ \text{---} & (\tilde{x}^2)^\top & \text{---} \\ & \vdots & \\ \text{---} & (\tilde{x}^n)^\top & \text{---} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{y}^1 \\ \tilde{y}^2 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{y}^n \end{bmatrix},$$

  and $\hat{y}$ to denote a vector of predictions.
- Our prediction in linear models is $\hat{y}^i = w^\top x^i$ (train) or $\hat{y}^i = w^\top \tilde{x}^i$ (test).
  - Notation alert: I use $\hat{y}^i$ whether it's a prediction on training or test data.

MAP Estimation

- We typically fit parameters w by MAP estimation,

$$\hat{w} \in \underset{w \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\text{argmax}} \underbrace{p(w \mid X, y)}_{\text{posterior}}.$$

- By Bayes rule this is equivalent to

$$\hat{w} \in \underset{w \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\text{argmax}} \underbrace{p(y \mid X, w)}_{\text{likelihood}} \underbrace{p(w)}_{\text{prior}},$$

and also equivalent to

$$\hat{w} \in \underset{w \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\text{argmin}} \underbrace{-\log p(y \mid X, w)}_{\text{NLL}} \underbrace{-\log p(w)}_{\text{log-prior}},$$

see probability notes as well as notes on max and argmax on the webpage.

MAP Estimation

- If training examples i are IID then first term becomes sum over examples,

$$\hat{w} \in \underset{w \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\text{argmin}} \; -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p(y^i \mid x^i, w) - \log p(w).$$

- Gaussian likelihoods and priors are the most common choice,

$$p(y^i \mid x^i, w) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(w^\top x^i - y^i)^2\right), \quad p(w_j) \propto \exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} w_j^2\right),$$

making MAP estimation equivalent to minimizing L2-regularized squared error,

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(w^\top x^i - y^i)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{d} w_j^2.$$

Loss Plus Regularizer Framework

- This is a special case of the "loss plus regularizer" framework,

$$f(w) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(w)}_{\text{data-fitting term}} + \underbrace{\lambda g(w)}_{\text{regularizer}}.$$

- Loss function f_i measures how well we fit example i with parameters w.
  - In our example $f_i(w) = \frac{1}{2}(w^\top x^i - y^i)^2$.
- Regularizer g measures how complicated the model is with parameters w.
  - In our example $r(w) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{d} w_j^2$.

- Regularization parameter $\lambda > 0$ controls strength of regularization:
  - Controls complexity of model, with large λ leading to less overfitting.
  - Usually set by optimizing error on a validation set or with cross-validation.

Other Loss Functions and Regularizers

- "Loss plus regularizer" framework:

$$f(w) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(w)}_{\text{data-fitting term}} + \underbrace{\lambda g(w)}_{\text{regularizer}}.$$

- Alternative loss functions to squared error:
  - Absolute error $|w^\top x^i - y^i|$ is more robust to outliers.
  - Hinge loss $\max\{0, 1 - y^i w^\top x^i\}$ is better for binary y^i.
  - Logistic loss $\log(1 + \exp(-y^i w^\top x^i))$ is better for binary y^i and is smooth.
  - Softmax loss $-w_{y^i}^\top x^i + \log(\sum_{c=1}^{k} \exp(w_c^\top x^i))$ for discrete y^i.
- Another common regularizer is L1-regularizer,

$$g(w) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} |w_j|,$$

which encourages sparsity in w (many w_j are set to zero for large λ).

Solution of L2-Regularized Least Squares

- Our L2-regularized least squares objective function was

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(w^\top x^i - y^i)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{d} w_j^2,$$

which we can write in matrix and norm notation as

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2}\|Xw - y\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\|w\|^2.$$

- The gradient of this quadratic objective is given by

$$\nabla f(w) = X^\top(Xw - y) + \lambda w,$$

and setting the gradient to zero and solving for w gives

$$w = (X^\top X + \lambda I)^{-1}(X^\top y),$$

where we've used that $(X^\top X + \lambda I)$ is invertible (we'll show this later).

Stationary Points and Convexity

- Is a stationary point (satisfying $\nabla f(w) = 0$) necessarily a global optimum?
  - Yes, if the objective is convex.

- In our example,

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2}\|Xw - y\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\|w\|^2.$$

  - $\|w\|^2$ is convex because squared norms are convex.
  - $\|Xw - y\|^2$ is convex because it's composition of convex $\|r\|^2$ and linear $Xw - y$.
  - f is convex because sums of convex functions with non-negative weights are convex.

Training Cost and Huge Datasets

- It costs $O(nd^2 + d^3)$ to compute the solution,

$$w = (X^\top X + \lambda I)^{-1}(X^\top y).$$

- If d is huge, it might be better to use gradient descent.
  - It costs $O(ndt)$ to do t iterations.
  - As t grows it converges to a stationary point (with small-enough step size).

- If n is huge, it might be better to use stochastic gradient.
  - It costs $O(dt)$ to do t iterations.
  - As t grows it converges to a stationary point (with decreasing step sizes).

Non-Linear Models

- Our running L2-regularized least squares example:

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(w^\top x^i - y^i)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{d} w_j^2,$$

- To model non-linear effects we can use:
  - Non-linear features transformations ("change of basis"):
    - Replace each vector x^i with a set of non-linear transformations z^i.
  - Kernel trick:
    - Allows some exponential- or infinite-sized z^i.
  - Latent-factor models (PCA, NMF, sparse coding, ...):
    - Unsupervised learning of the z^i.
  - Deep learning methods like neural networks.
    - Simultaneous learning of the z^i and w.

Summary

- Overview of CPSC 340 topics: you are expected to know all this already.
  - If you had trouble following this material, you may not be ready for the course.
- Next time: filling in some theory gaps from 340.

"Proportional to" Notation

- When we write

$$g(y) \propto f(y),$$

  it means that

$$g(y) = \kappa f(y),$$

  for all y for some $\kappa \neq 0$.

- If we know that g is a probability, then κ is unique.
  - It's the value that makes g sum/integrate to 1 over all y.

"Proportional to" Probability Notation

- So when we write

$$p(y) \propto f(y),$$

for a probability distribution p and non-negative f we mean that

$$p(y) = \kappa f(y),$$

where κ is the unique number needed to make p a probability.

- If y is discrete taking values in $\mathcal{Y}$,

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f(y)}.$$

- If y is continuous taking values in $\mathcal{Y}$,

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{\int_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f(y) \mathrm{d}y}.$$