CPSC 340: Machine Learning and Data Mining

Linear Regression Fall 2022

Admin

- Assignment 2:
 - 1 late day to hand in tonight, 2 for Friday.
- Assignment 3 is up:
 - Due October 17th (minor updates since Monday, see Piazza thread).
 - Start early, this is usually the longest assignment.
- We're going to start using calculus and linear algebra a lot.
 - You should start reviewing these ASAP if you are rusty.
 - A review of relevant calculus concepts is <u>here</u>.
 - A review of relevant linear algebra concepts is <u>here</u>.

Supervised Learning Round 2: Regression

• We're going to revisit supervised learning:



• Previously, we considered classification:

- We assumed y_i was categorical: y_i = 'spam' or y_i = 'not spam'.

• Now we are going to consider regression:

- We allow y_i to be numerical: $y_i = 10.34$ cm.

- We want to predict a numerical value given features:
 - Does number of lung cancer deaths change with number of cigarettes?
 - Does number of skin cancer deaths change with latitude?



- We want to predict a numerical value given features:
 - Do people in big cities walk faster?
 - Is the universe expanding or shrinking or staying the same size?



https://www.nature.com/articles/259557a0.p

- We want to predict a numerical value given features:
 - Does number of gun deaths change with gun ownership?
 - Does number violent crimes change with violent video games?



http://www.vox.com/2015/10/3/9444417/gun-violence-united-states-america https://www.soundandvision.com/content/violence-and-video-games

- We want to predict a numerical value given features:
 - Does higher gender equality index lead to more women STEM grads?
- Note that we are doing supervised learning:
 - Trying to predict value of 1 variable (the 'y_i' values).
 (instead of measuring correlation between 2).
- Supervised learning does not give causality:
 - OK: "Higher index is correlated with lower grad %".
 - OK: "Higher index helps predict lower grad %".
 - BAD: "Higher index leads to lower grads %".
 - People/media get these confused all the time, be careful!
 - There are lots of potential reasons for this correlation.

https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2018/02/does-gender-equality-result-in-fewer-female-stem-grads/



Handling Numerical Labels

- One way to handle numerical y_i: discretize.
 - E.g., for 'age' could we use {'age ≤ 20 ', '20 < age ≤ 30 ', 'age > 30'}.
 - Now we can apply methods for classification to do regression.
 - But coarse discretization loses resolution.
 - And fine discretization requires lots of data ("coupon collecting").
- There exist regression versions of classification methods:
 Regression trees, neighbour-based methods, and so on.
- Today: one of oldest, but still most popular/important methods:
 - Linear regression based on squared error.
 - Interpretable and the building block for more-complex methods.

Linear Regression in 1 Dimension

- Assume we only have 1 feature (d = 1):
 - E.g., x_i is number of cigarettes and y_i is number of lung cancer deaths.
- Linear regression makes predictions \hat{y}_i using a linear function of x_i :

$$\gamma_i = w x_i$$

- The parameter 'w' is the weight or regression coefficient of x_i.
 - We are temporarily ignoring the y-intercept.
- As x_i changes, slope 'w' affects the rate that \hat{y}_i increases/decreases:
 - Positive 'w': \hat{y}_i increase as x_i increases.
 - Negative 'w': \hat{y}_i decreases as x_i increases.

Linear Regression in 1 Dimension



Aside: terminology woes

- Different fields use different terminology and symbols.
 - Data points 'i' = objects = examples = rows = observations.
 - Inputs x_i = predictors = features = explanatory variables= regressors = independent variables = covariates = columns.
 - Outputs y_i = outcomes = targets = response variables = dependent variables (also called a "label" if it's categorical).
 - Regression coefficients 'w' = weights = parameters = betas.
- With linear regression, the symbols are inconsistent too:
 - In ML, the data is X and y, and the weights are w.
 - In statistics, the data is X and y, and the weights are β .
 - In optimization, the data is A and b, and the weights are x.

Linear Regression Training Challenges

• Linear regression makes predictions by using:

$$\gamma_i = w \widetilde{x}_i$$

- To train a linear regression model, we need to find weight/slope 'w'.
- Challenges in finding 'w' compared to fitting a decision stump:
 - Cannot enumerate all possible values of 'w' (could be any real number).
 - Instead, we will use calculus to find the best 'w'.
 - It is unlikely that a line will go exactly through many data points.
 - Due to noise, relationship not being quite linear or just floating-point issues.
 - So it does not make sense to find the 'w' minimizing how many times $\hat{y}_i \neq y_i$.

Residuals and Sum of Squared Residuals

• The residual is the difference between our prediction and true value:

$$r_i = \hat{\gamma}_i - \gamma_i$$

- This can be positive or negative.
- If this is close to zero, then our prediction is close to the true value.
- We typically look for a 'w' that makes residuals close to zero.
 - For example, many models minimize the sum of the squared residuals:

$$(\hat{y}_{1} - y_{1})^{2} + (\hat{y}_{\ell} - y_{\ell})^{2} + \cdots + (\hat{y}_{n} - y_{n})^{2}$$

- The smaller we make this, the smaller the distance between our predictions and targets.
- Plugging in $\hat{y}_i = wx_i$ for the case of linear regression, we get:

$$(w_{x_1} - y_1)^2 + (w_{x_2} - y_2)^2 + \dots + (w_{x_n} - y_n)^2$$

• The linear least squares model minimizes this function to choose the slope 'w'.

Linear Least Squares Objective Function

• Linear least squares sets 'w' is to minimize sum of squared residuals:

$$f(w) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (wx_i - y_i)^2$$
Sum up the squared (residual)
differences over all training examples.
Difference between prediction and true
value for example'.

- If this is zero, we exactly fit data. If this small, line is "close" to data.
- There are some justifications for choosing this function 'f'.
 - A probabilistic interpretation is coming later in the course.
- But usually, we choose this 'f' because it is easy to minimize.

Linear Least Squares Objective Function

• Linear least squares sets 'w' is to minimize sum of squared residuals:



Linear Least Squares Objective Function

• Linear least squares sets 'w' is to minimize sum of squared residuals:



Minimizing a Differential Function

- Math 101 approach to minimizing a differentiable function 'f':
 - 1. Take the derivative of 'f'.
 - 2. Find points 'w' where the derivative f'(w) is equal to 0.



Digression: Multiplying by a Positive Constant

• Note that this problem:

$$f(w) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w x_i - y_i)^2$$

• Has the same set of minimizers as this problem:

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w x_i - y_i)^2$$

• And these also have the same minimizers:

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (wx_i - y_i)^2 \qquad f(w) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (wx_i - y_i)^2 + 1000$$

- I can multiply 'f' by any positive constant and not change solution.
 - Derivative will still be zero at the same locations.
 - We will use this trick a lot!

Deriving Least Squares Solution

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w x_i - y_i)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w^2 x_i^2 - 2w x_i y_i + y_i^2) (expand symmetry)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w^2 x_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2w x_i y_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 (sp_{into}^{1,1} sum up)$$

$$= \frac{w^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - w \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 (sp_{into}^{1,1} sum up)$$

$$= \frac{w^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - w \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 (sp_{into}^{1,1} sum up)$$

$$= \frac{w^2}{2} \frac{a}{a} - wb + c$$
Take derivative: $f'(w) = wa - b + O$

$$Setting f'(w) = 0 \text{ and solving gives } w = \frac{b}{a} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2} (exists if we)$$
have a non-2wo feature)

Finding Least Squares Solution

• Finding 'w' that minimizes sum of squared errors:

Setting
$$f'(w) = 0$$
 and solving gives $W = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}$ we have
one non-zero x_{ij})

• Let's check that this is a minimizer by checking second derivative:

$$f'(w) = \bigvee_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}^{\lambda} - \int_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} y_{j}$$

$$f''(w) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}^{\lambda}$$

- Since (anything)² is non-negative, we have $f''(w) \ge 0$.
- If at least one feature is not zero, then f''(w) > 0 and 'w' is a minimizer.

Next Topic: Least Squares in d-Dimensions

Motivation: Combining Explanatory Variables

- Smoking is not the only contributor to lung cancer.
 - For example, there environmental factors like exposure to asbestos.
- How can we model the combined effect of smoking and asbestos?
- A simple way is with a 2-dimensional linear function:

$$\hat{y}_{i} = W_{i} X_{i1} + W_{2} X_{i2} \qquad \forall alue of feature 2 in example 'i' "weight" of feature 1 Value of feature 1 in example 'i'$$

• We have a weight w₁ for feature '1' and w₂ for feature '2':

$$y' = 10(\# cigaretles) + 25(\# asbetos)$$

Linear Regression in 2-Dimensions

• Linear model:

 $\dot{y}_{i} = w_{1} x_{i1} + w_{2} x_{i2}$

This defines a two-dimensional plane.



Linear Regression in 2-Dimensions

• Linear model:

$$\dot{y}_{i} = w_{1} x_{i1} + w_{2} x_{i2}$$

This defines a two-dimensional plane.

• Not just a line!



Linear Regression in d-Dimensions

• If we have 'd' features, the d-dimensional linear model is:

$$y_i = w_i x_{i1} + w_2 x_{i2} + w_3 x_{i3} + \dots + w_i x_{id}$$

In words, prediction is a weighted sum of the features.

• We can re-write this using summation notation as:

$$\hat{y}_i = \sum_{j=1}^d w_j x_{ij}$$

• We can again choose 'w' to minimize the sum of squared residuals:

$$f(w_{1}, w_{2}, ..., w_{l}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{i} \right)^{2}$$

- Dates back to 1801: Gauss used it to predict location of the asteroid Ceres.
- We can use multi-variable calculus to minimize 'f' with respect to the parameters w_1 , w_2 ,..., w_d .

Minimizing Multi-Variable Differentiable Function

- With one variable, we "find 'w' where the derivative is equal to 0".
- The generalization of this idea to when we have 'd' variables:
 "Find 'w' where the gradient vector is equal to the zero vector".
- Gradient is a vector with partial derivative 'j' in position 'j'.

$$\nabla f(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{2f}{2w_1} \\ \frac{2f}{2w_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{2f}{2w_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{2f}{2w_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{2f}{2w_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{2f}{2w_3} \end{cases}$$
with respect to variable w_2

Review: Partial Derivative

- Partial derivative with respect to w_j (written $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_j}$).
 - Derivative with respect to w_i , keeping all others variables fixed.



Partial Derivative for Least Squares

• Partial derivative with respect to w₁ for least squares with n=1:

$$f(w_{1}, w_{2}, \dots, w_{d}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{i})^{2} \right)^{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{1}} = \left(\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{i}) \right) (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{1}} = \left(\frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{j})^{2} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{j})^{2} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{j})^{2} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{1}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{j})^{2} \right] = \left(\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{j})^{2} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{1}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{j})^{2} \right] = \left(\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{j})^{2} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{1}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{j})^{2} \right] = \left(\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{j})^{2} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{1}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{j})^{2} \right] = \left(\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{j})^{2} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{1}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} + w_{j} x_{ij})^{2} \right] = \left(\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{j})^{2} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{1}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} + w_{j} x_{ij})^{2} \right] = \left(\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} + w_{j} x_{ij})^{2} \right] = \left(\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} + w_{j} x_{ij})^{2} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{1}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} + w_{j} x_{ij})^{2} \right] = \left(\frac{1}{2} (w_{j} x_{ij} + w_{j} x_{ij} + w_{j} x_{ij})^{2} \right]$$

Partial Derivative for Least Squares

• Partial derivative with respect to w_i for least squares with n=1:

$$f(w_{1}, w_{2}, ..., w_{d}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{i} \right)^{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{j}} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{i} \right) x_{ij}$$

$$\int w_{r} u_{s} e^{jt} \int \partial e^{jt} ds ds tingnish for summation index from the variable w_{j} we are differentiating$$

• Partial derivative with respect to w_i for least squares for general 'n':

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{j}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{i} \right)^{2}$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{j}} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{i} \right) x_{ij}$$

Gradient Vector for Least Squares

- The gradient vector is the concatenation of all partial derivatives:
 - At 'w', $\nabla f(w)$ is in the direction with most-positive slope.
 - At minimizers we have $\nabla f(w) = 0$ (slope is 0 every direction).



Gradient Vector for Least Squares

- The gradient vector is the concatenation of all partial derivatives:
 - At 'w', $\nabla f(w)$ is in the direction with most-positive slope.
 - At minimizers we have $\nabla f(w) = 0$ (slope is 0 every direction).
- For linear least squares we have:

$$\nabla f(w) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{r} (\sum_{j=1}^{r} w_j x_{ij} - y_i) x_{i1} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{r} (\sum_{j=1}^{r} w_j x_{ij} - y_i) x_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^{r} (\sum_{j=1}^{r} w_j x_{ij} - y_i) x_{id} \end{pmatrix}$$

- So to train a least squares model, we need this to equal the zero vector.

Fitting a Linear Least Squares Model

• Setting gradient to equal 0 vector for linear least squares gives:

$$\begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2} w_{j} x_{i,j} - y_{i}\right) x_{i,1} = 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2} w_{j} x_{i,j} - y_{i}\right) x_{i,2} = 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2} w_{j} x_{i,j} - y_{i}\right) x_{i,2} = 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2} w_{j} x_{i,j} - y_{i}\right) x_{i,2} = 0 \end{array}$$

- This is a set of 'd' linear equations, with 'd' unknowns $(w_1, w_2, ..., w_d)$.
 - You can solve these equations using Gaussian elimination (linear algebra).
- Claim: all 'w' with $\nabla f(w) = 0$ are minimizers (we will discuss why later).
 - There may be more than 'w' satisfying this, but all have the same minimum error.

Next Topic: Matrix Notation

Matrix Notation: Motivation

• We have expressed linear least squares with summation notation:

$$f(w_{1}, w_{2}, ..., w_{d}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{i} \right)^{2}$$

• But you often see it equivalently expressed using matrix notation:

$$f(w) = ||\chi_w - \gamma||^2$$

- Why do people use matrix notation?
 - Can be easier to understand and lead to "nicer" code (once you are used to it).
 - Makes it easier to see some properties (like the connection to norms above).
 - Or derive properties, like showing that all 'w' with $\nabla f(w) = 0$ are minimizers.
 - Can lead to code with fewer bugs.
 - Since you can use existing implementations of standard operations.
 - Can lead to faster code.
 - If we are using packages that implement fast matrix operations.

• In this course, all vectors are assumed to be column-vectors:

$$W^{-} \begin{bmatrix} w_{1} \\ w_{2} \\ \vdots \\ w_{d} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{1} \\ \gamma_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{n} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \chi_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \chi_{11} \\ \chi_{12} \\ \vdots \\ \chi_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

• So rows of 'X' are actually transposes of the column-vectors x_i:

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1d} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{h1} & x_{h2} & \cdots & x_{hd} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} & x_{1} \\ x_{2} & x_{2} \\ x_{3} & x_{4} \\ x_{4} & x_{4} \\ x_{4}$$

• Linear regression prediction for one example in matrix notation:

$$\gamma_i = w^T x_i$$

• Why?
$$y_{i} = w_{i} x_{i} + w_{i} x_{ij} + \dots + w_{d} x_{id} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1} & w_{2} & \cdots & w_{d} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{i} \\ x_{ij} \\ \vdots \\ x_{id} \end{bmatrix} = w x_{i}$$

• Using $\hat{y}_i = w^T x_i$, we can re-write sum of squared residuals as:

$$\hat{z}_{i=1}^{n}(\hat{y}_{i}-y_{i})^{2} = \hat{z}_{i=1}^{n}(w^{2}x_{i}-y_{i})^{2}$$

• Linear regression prediction for all 'n' example in matrix notation:



• Linear regression residual vector in matrix notation:

$$r = X_w - y$$

 $r = X_w - y$
 $r = x_i - y_i$
 $r = y_i - y_i$

• Why?

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} r_{1} \\ r_{2} \\ \vdots \\ r_{n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1} - \gamma_{1} \\ \gamma_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{n} - \gamma_{n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{1} \\ \gamma_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{n} \\ \gamma_{n} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1} \\ \gamma_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{n} \\ \gamma_{n} \\ \gamma_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \gamma - \gamma = \chi_{w} - \gamma$$

• Different ways to write sum of residuals squared in linear regression model:

$$f(w) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} w_{j} x_{ij} - y_{i} \right)^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(w^{3} x_{i} - y_{i} \right)^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{d} \Gamma_{i}^{2}$$

$$= ||r||^{2}$$

$$= ||X_{w} - y||^{2}$$

$$= ||X_{w} - y||^{2}$$

$$= ||X_{w} - y||^{2}$$

$$= ||Y_{w} - y||^{2}$$

• So least squares minimizes L2-norm between target and predictions.

Summary

- Regression considers the case of a numerical y_i.
- Least squares is a classic method for fitting linear models.
 - Minimizes sum of squared residuals (prediction and true value difference).
 - With 1 feature, it has a simple closed-form solution.
 - Can be generalized to 'd' features, taking linear weighting of features.
- Gradient is vector containing partial derivatives of all variables.
- Matrix notation for expressing least squares problem: $||Xw y||^2$.
- Next time:

minimizing
$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (w^{T}x_{i} - y_{i})^{2}$$
 in terms of w' is: $W = (\chi'\chi) \setminus (\chi'\gamma)$
(in Julia)

• In Smithsonian National Air and Space Museum (Washington, DC):



Scientists found in the meteorite trapped gas whose composition was nearly identical to the Martian atmosphere as measured by the Viking Landers. This graph compares the concentration of gases in the Martian atmosphere (vertical axis) with their concentration in the meteorite (horizontal axis). If they matched perfectly, the points would fall on the diagonal line. The close match strongly suggests that this meteorite came from Mars.



Causality, Interventions, and RCTs

- What if you want to assess causality?
- You can sometimes do this by collecting data in specific ways.
 - You need to set the values of the features "by intervention".
 - You do not passively observe, you *set* them and then watch the effect.
 - Most common way this is done is with a <u>randomized control trial</u>.
 - Say you want to evaluate the effectiveness of a pill for a certain disease.
 - You get a bunch of people with the disease for training data.
 - You randomly decide which of the people will take the pill, and which won't.
 - If the people who got the pill did better/worse on average, it was caused by the pill.
 - The randomness takes away the possibility that certain groups are more/less likely to take the pill.
 - Group not taking the pill often given placebo, removing effect of "feel like you are being treated".
 - Often the researchers do not even get to know who took the pills until after the study is over.
 - » "Double blind", to avoid the researchers giving hints about who got the pill.

Converting Partial Derivative to Matrix Notation

• Re-writing linear least squares partial derivative in matrix notation:

Converting Gradient to Matrix Notation

• Re-writing linear least squares gradient in matrix notation:

$$\nabla f(w) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_d} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{g}{g} (\frac{g}{g} w_j x_{ij} - y_i) x_{ij} \\ \frac{g}{g} (\frac{g}{g} w_j x_{ij} - y_i) x_{ij} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{g}{g} (\frac{g}{g} w_j x_{ij} - y_i) x_{ij} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{g}{g} (\frac{g}{g} w_j x_{ij} - y_i) x_{id} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r^7 x^7 \\ r^7 x^2 \\ \vdots \\ r^7 x^2 \\ \vdots \\ r^7 x^d \end{pmatrix} (f_{nom} los^4 clide)$$

