## CPSC 340 Notation Guide

#### December 26, 2017

A guide to notation used in the course. Let me know if things are missing from this document that are not obvious.

## Part 1: Supervised Learning

Throughout the course, we use n as the number of training examples and d as the number of the features. We use i when indexing a training example and j when indexing a feature. We use  $x_{ij}$  as feature j in training example i, and we use  $y_i$  as the label of example i (so there are n values  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$ ). We use  $y_i$  as a list of the n class labels, containing the label  $y_i$  in position i. We use  $x_i$  as the list of all features for example i, so  $x_i$  has d elements  $x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{id}$  (and there are n lists  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ ). We use X as an  $n \times d$  matrix containing all the features, so  $x_{ij}$  is element (i,j) of X and  $x_i$  gives the elements of row i of X. We use  $x^j$  to refer to all elements of column j, which is the list of values of feature j across all the n training examples.

Throughout the course, we use t as the number of test examples, and  $\tilde{X}$  refers to a  $t \times d$  matrix containing the test features. The notation  $\tilde{x}_i$  refers to the features of test example i, while  $\tilde{x}_{ij}$  refers to feature j in test example i. We use  $\tilde{y}$  as the true labels of the test examples, and  $\tilde{y}_i$  as the label of test example i. We use  $\hat{y}_i$  as the prediction of a model on example i, whether the prediction is made on training dataor validation or test data (it should be obvious or not relevant from context).

When discussing validation sets,  $X_{\text{train}}$  and  $y_{\text{train}}$  are used as the subsets that we train on, while  $X_{\text{validate}}$  and  $y_{\text{validate}}$  are used as the subsets that we validate on. We use E to denote a generic prediction error, and usually this is followed with a subscript. For example,  $E_{\text{train}}$  is the training error,  $E_{\text{test}}$  is the test error,  $E_{\text{approx}}$  is the approximation error.

We use c as a class label, and occasionally use  $n_c$  as the number of training examples in class c. We use the letter k generically throughout the course as something we count, and  $\epsilon$  as a generic number that we want to be small.

Some method-specific notations used in this section:

- We use t as a particular decision stump threshold, and k as the number of thresholds.
- $p(y_i = "spam"|x_i)$  is used for the probability that the label  $y_i$  takes the value "spam" given that the features are  $x_i$ .
- $p(y_i|x_i)$  is used for the probability that the label is  $y_i$  given that the features are  $x_i$  (for example,  $y_i$  could be "spam" or "not spam" but without specifying a particular value).
- In the naive Bayes section, we're a little sloppy in that we use the same notation for the MLE on the training data and the true population value.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>When talking about two training examples, we sometimes use j as the index of the second training example.

- Naive Bayes uses  $n_{cjk}$  as the number of times that feature j is equal to k and the class label is c.
- Naive Bayes uses p(hello|spam) as short for  $p(x_{ij} = \text{``hello''} \mid y_i = \text{``spam''})$ .
- Decision theory slides use  $cost(\hat{y}_i, \tilde{y}_i)$  as the cost of prediction  $\hat{y}_i$  when the true label is  $\tilde{y}_i$ .
- $\bullet$  In the norm slides we use r as a generic vector.

### Part 2: Unsupervised Learning

We use  $\hat{y}_i$  as the cluster predicted for example i and  $\hat{y}$  as the set of predicted clusters for all n training examples. We use C as the set of incides of examples assigned to cluster c.

We use W as a k by d matrix where row c contains mean c. We use  $w_c$  as mean c,  $w_{\hat{y}_i}$  to refer to the mean of the cluster of example i, and  $w_{cj}$  to refer to feature j in mean c. We use  $w^j$  as column j of the matrix W. We use  $\hat{X}$  as predicted values of the matrix X, and similarly  $\hat{x}_i$  are predicted values of  $x_i$  and  $\hat{x}_{ij}$  are predicted values of  $x_{ij}$ .

We use  $\mu$  as the mean of the data (with  $\mu_j$  being the mean for feature j if we have more than one feature) and  $\sigma$  as the standard deviation (with  $\sigma_j$  being the standard deviation for feature j if we have more than one feature).

#### Part 3: Linear models

In this section we start treating  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  as vectors, so we now have to be careful about whether vectors are row-vectors or column-vectors. Our default choice is that everything is a column-vector, so each  $x_i$  is a  $d \times 1$  vector and y is an  $n \times 1$  vector. Since  $x_i$  is now a column-vector, we need to be careful to define row i of X as  $x_i^T$  (instead of just  $x_i$ ).

We use w as the  $d \times 1$  vector of regression weights. We normally index into w using  $w_j$ . We sometimes add a bias variable which takes the symbol  $\beta$ .

We use  $\nabla f(w)$  to denote the gradient of a function f with respect to w. Assuming w has length d, this is a  $d \times 1$  vector where position j contains the partial derivative of f with respect to  $w_j$ . We use f as the vector of "residuals", f = f w - f w - f an individual element f of f would be f w - f w - f w.

Gradient descent uses  $w^t$  as the parameter vector on iteration t (so t has a separate meaning than "number of test examples" here). The distinction between  $w^t$  (iteration t of gradient descent) and  $w^j$  (column j of matrix W) should be clear from the context. We use  $\alpha^t$  as the step size on iteration t. We use  $w^*$  as a minimum of f(w). Stochastic gradient uses  $f_i$  to refer to the loss function on example i.

We use Z as an  $n \times k$  matrix of features obtained under a change of basis, and  $z_i$  as the list of k features in the new basis for example i. When we do linear regression under a change of basis, we use v as the  $k \times 1$  vector of parameters (instead of the usual  $d \times 1$  vector w). We use  $\tilde{Z}$  as the transformation of test data  $\tilde{X}$ .

We use  $\lambda$  as the (scalar) regularization parameter. It is assumed to be non-negative (and will almost always be positive).

We use  $sign(\alpha)$  as a function that return +1 if  $\alpha$  is positive and -1 if  $\alpha$  is negative.

Multi-class classification uses the same matrix W as we used for k-means, and we use  $w_{y_i}$  as the  $w_c$  value for the true label  $y_i$ .

We use  $h(z_i)$  as the sigmoid function applied element-wise to a vector  $z_i$ .

Some method-specific notation used in this section:

- p is used as the degree of the polynomial in the polynomial basis, and we sometimes use  $Z_p$  when we want to specify specifically that we've used a degree-p basis.
- We use K as the  $n \times n$  Gram matrix, containin  $x_i^T x_j$  in position (i, j). We use  $\tilde{K}$  as the  $t \times n$  matrix containing  $\tilde{x}_i^T x_j$  in position (i, j). The kernel function is written as  $k(x_i, x_j)$ .
- When introducing MLE/MAP, we use D as generic data (indexed by  $D_i$  if it splits into IID training examples), w as generic parameters, and  $\hat{w}$  as the predicted MLE or MAP value of w.

# Part 4: Latent-Factor Models

Linear latent-factor models use the approximation  $X \approx ZW$ , where we use the same notation for Z and W as above: Z is  $n \times k$  with  $z_i^T$  as the rows and  $z_{ic}$  as individual elements, W is  $k \times d$  with  $w_c^T$  as the rows and  $w^j$  as the columns and  $w_{cj}$  as the individual elements.

#### Part 5: Neural Networks

This section continues using the same notation, but we now use  $W^{(l)}$  and  $Z^{(l)}$  as the values in layer l. We also use  $\beta_c$  as the bias on hidden unit c, and m as the number of layers.

When we introduce convolutions we use x as signal, w as a filter, and z as the output of the filter.