Genome-wide profiling of follicular lymphoma by array comparative genomic hybridization reveals prognostically significant DNA copy number imbalances

K-John J Cheung,¹ Sohrab P Shah,² Christian Steidl,¹ Nathalie Johnson¹, Thomas Relander,¹ Adele Telenius,¹ Betty Lai,¹ Kevin P Murphy,² Wan Lam,³ Abdulwahab J Al-Tourah,¹ Joseph M Connors,¹ Raymond T Ng,² Randy D Gascoyne,¹ and Douglas E Horsman.¹

¹Center for Lymphoid Cancer, British Columbia Cancer Agency; ²Department of Computer Science, University of British Columbia; ³Cancer Genetics and Developmental Biology, British Columbia Cancer Research Center, Vancouver, BC.

K.J.C and S.P.S. contributed equally to this study

Correspondence: Dr. Doug Horsman, Center for Lymphoid Cancer, British Columbia Cancer Agency, 600 West 10th Avenue, Vancouver, British Columbia V5Z 4E6; Phone: 604.877.6000 ext 2095; Fax: 604.877.6178; E-mail: dhorsman@bccancer.bc.ca

Word counts: Abstract-188; Text-4994

Scientific category: Neoplasia

Running title: Genomic profiling of follicular lymphoma

Key words: Array comparative genomic hybridization, follicular lymphoma, hidden markov model, cluster analysis, overall survival, transformation risk, Kaplan-Meier analysis, Cox proportional-hazards model

Abbreviations: Array CGH, Array comparative genomic hybridization; Copy-neutral LOH, copy-neutral loss of heterozygosity; FISH, fluorescence *in situ* hybridization; CNVs, copy number variants; FL, follicular lymphoma; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; HMM, hidden markov model; ROC, receiver operator characteristic; TPR, true positive rate; FPR, false positive rate; AUC, area under the ROC curve; BCCA, British Columbia Cancer Agency.

The online version of the article contains a data supplement

Abstract

The secondary genetic events associated with follicular lymphoma (FL) progression are not well defined. We applied genome-wide BAC array comparative genomic hybridization to 106 diagnostic biopsies of FL to characterize regional genomic imbalances. Using an analytical approach that defined regions of copy number change as intersections between visual annotations and a Hidden Markov model-based algorithm, we identified 71 regional alterations that were recurrent in $\geq 10\%$ of cases. These ranged in size from ~200 kb to 44 Mb, affecting chromosomes 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 17, 18, 19, and 22. We also demonstrated by cluster analysis that 46.2% of the 106 cases could be sub-grouped based on the presence of +1q, +6p/6q-, +7 or +18. Survival analysis showed that 21 of the 71 regions correlated significantly with inferior overall survival (OS). Of these 21 regions, 16 were independent predictors of OS using a multivariate Cox model that included the International Prognostic Index (IPI) Score. Two of these 16 regions (1p36.22-p36.33 and 6q21-q24.3) were also predictors of transformation risk and independent of IPI. These prognostic features may be useful to identify high-risk patients as candidates for risk-adapted therapies.

Introduction

Lymphoid malignancies account for ~5% of cases of cancer in the U.S. and have continued to rise in frequency at 3-4% annually.^{1, 2} Of the different types of indolent lymphoma, follicular lymphoma (FL) is most prevalent and has a variable clinical course with a median survival of 10 years.³ While management strategies have changed, advanced-stage FL remains an incurable disease using conventional therapies.⁴ Approximately 85% of FL is associated with a specific balanced translocation, t(14;18)(q32;q21), that leads to overexpression of the anti-apoptotic gene *BCL2* due to its relocation in proximity to an *IgH* enhancer element.⁵⁻⁸ This genetic abnormality alone, however, is unlikely to produce clinical FL, as BCL2 over-expressing transgenic mice do not develop lymphoma^{9, 10} and t(14;18)-bearing lymphocytes have been frequently demonstrated in healthy individuals.^{11, 12}

If the pathogenesis of FL results from a sequential accumulation of genetic alterations,¹³ the analysis of early neoplastic lesions may define the critical events associated with the initial development and further progression. To the best of our knowledge, there have been 12 large studies reported in the Western Hemisphere in the last decade that have investigated chromosomal imbalances in FL using a combination of techniques including conventional karyotyping, comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) technology. The reported recurrent copy number alterations have consistently included losses of 1p32-36, 6q, 10q and 17p, and gains of 1q, 2p, 7, 9p, 12, 17q, 18q and X.¹⁴⁻²⁵ The analysis by Hoglund *et al* utilized

computational analysis of a large number of published G-banded FL karyotypes to define early from late accruing genetic imbalances and demonstrated four putative pathways of clonal evolution in FL.²⁶ This karyotype-based study was hampered by the inherent inaccuracies of G-banding analysis and excluded from consideration all marker chromosomes and unbalanced chromosomal additions that are common features of FL karyotypes. Further examination of such complex karyotypes by multi-color karyotyping may improve the definition of these recurrent aberrations,²⁷ however, the metaphases typically obtained from short term lymph node cultures allow only for the detection of DNA imbalances that exceed 5-10 Mb in size and may represent only a fraction of the sideline diversity present in FL genomes. No studies to date have utilized a combination of high-resolution genomic analysis and a large FL cohort composed exclusively of diagnostic biopsies.

The advent of array comparative genomic hybridization (array CGH) technologies now provides the capability to detect subcytogenetic DNA copy number gains and losses. These techniques have led to improvements in the characterization of both acquired and inherited genetic abnormalities.²⁸ In this study we have applied whole genome tiling path BAC array CGH, with a \geq 200 kb resolution for detection of copy number alterations in clinical specimens and a reported tolerance of up to 70% contamination by non-tumor cells,²⁹ to a cohort of 106 FL diagnostic specimens with complete clinical information. We have generated a comprehensive profile of regional copy number imbalances with which to identify significant prognostic correlates in relation to both survival and transformation risk.

Materials and Methods

Patient materials

The 106 FL cases were selected from the Lymphoid Cancer Research Database of the British Columbia Cancer Agency (BCCA) in Vancouver, British Columbia, identified between 1987 and 1996 based on the availability of sufficient frozen diagnostic tumor material and information on clinical outcome. Importantly, these cases were enriched in part for cases where two or more sequential specimens were available from the indolent phase or when transformation had occurred. Transformation was defined as either histologically proven (biopsy demonstrating large B-cell lymphoma), or clinically proven (one or more of the following: sudden rise in LDH to > twice the normal level, rapid discordant localized nodal enlargement, and new unusual extranodal involvement of organs such as brain, lung and bone). The time to transformation was defined as the time from diagnosis to clinical or pathological endpoint described above. The International Prognostic Index (IPI) Score was used to risk-stratify these patients because information on the hemoglobin level and number of nodal sites were not available to generate a FLIPI score.³⁰ All cases were classified as FL based on the criteria defined by the World Health Organization classification of tumors of hematopoietic and lymphoid tissues.³¹ Of the 106 cases, 20 have been included in previously reported studies.^{24, 26}

Cytogenetic analysis

Cytogenetic analysis of lymph node specimens was performed as previously described.²⁴ Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) was performed using the LSI IGH/BCL2 probe according to the manufacturer's protocol (Vysis, Downers Grove, IL, USA) to detect the presence of IGH/BCL2 genomic fusion. For validation of deletion of the 1p36.32 locus, the RP13-493G06 or RP11-756P03 BAC clones were selected from the array CGH profile and prepared for use as FISH probes as previously described, while BAC RP11-229M05 at 1q32.3 was used for copy number control.³² For validation of the 6q23.3 locus deletion, the RP11-703G08 BAC was used, while RP11-516E15 at 6p12.3 served as copy number control. All BAC clones had previously been identity-verified by BAC-end sequencing and hybridized to normal metaphases to confirm the expected site of chromosomal localization. The frequency of false deletion for each BAC FISH probe was established by hybridization to normal lymphocyte cell suspensions and ranged from 0.5 to 3.0%. For the purpose of this study the cut-off value for true deletion was set at >5%.

DNA extraction

Genomic DNA extraction was performed according to standard procedures using proteinase K digestion and fresh frozen tissue or cells stored at -80°C. The DNA was further purified using the Gentra puregene tissue kit (Qiagen, Mississauga, Ontario).

Whole genome tiling path BAC array CGH

The sub-mega base resolution tiling array contains 26,819 BAC clones spotted in duplicate and covers >95% of the human genome. ³³ Array CGH was performed as

previously described.³⁴ The array slide was scanned using a charged-couple device camera system to capture the cyanine-3 and cyanine-5 channels (Applied Precision, Issiquah, WA). The images were then analyzed by SoftWoRx microarray analysis software (Applied Precision), followed by a stepwise normalization procedure.³⁵ Data were filtered based on both replicate standard deviation (data points with >0.1 standard deviation removed) and signal to noise ratio (data points with a signal to noise ratio <3 removed). Copy number alterations were visualized using the "SeeGH" software available at http://www.flintbox.ca/technology.asp?tech=FB312FB.³⁶

Computational analysis

Intersection analysis

Scoring of array CGH data was performed separately by two methods: visual analysis by a cytogeneticist (D.E.H.), using a criterion for an aberration defined as an apparent log ratio shift away from baseline in a minimum of three adjacent BACs (~200kb or larger), and computational analysis by determining probability of aberration (loss, neutral, or gain) for each clone using the program CNA-HMMer v0.1 (available at http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~sshah/acgh/), which is based on a Hidden Markov Model (HMM).³⁷ Only those alterations identified by both HMM and visual interpretation were accepted as true. We modified the emission model of the HMM described in Shah *et al* to be a mixture of Student-t distributions, achieving the equivalent robustness to outliers while producing output that was more interpretable to the investigator.³⁷ In addition, this modification required fewer hyperparameters to be set, which were selected automatically using an 'empirical Bayes' type approach.³⁸ Concordance between the

visual calls and the HMM predictions was assessed by calculating the area under the receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve. ROC curves are a plot of the true positive rate (TPR - proportion of clones called as an aberration that were also predicted by the HMM) against the false positive rate (FPR - proportion of clones predicted as aberrant by the HMM that were not called visually). The area under the ROC curve (AUC) is a single measurement that represents the trade-off between TPR and FPR. AUC was calculated for each sample. The average AUC in this study was 0.93. A perfect AUC would be 1. All analyses were run using default settings.

Cluster analysis

Clustering of the 106 cases was performed using the K-medoids (also called partitioning around medoids) algorithm. The input data X(i,j) represented the copy number of clone j in case i. Only clones that showed a 10% rate of recurrent loss or gain determined by intersection analysis were used for clustering. A Hamming distance function of a case to a medoid was used and the algorithm was run 1000 times using random initializations of the medoids. The run producing the lowest total distance of cases to their assigned medoids was reported. The number of clusters was chosen to be five based on the previous work by Hoglund *et al.*²⁶

Clinical correlations

The log-rank test using the Kaplan-Meier method was performed for univariate analysis assessing the prognostic significance of each of the 71 regional aberrations on survival and the risk of transformation. Each case was dichotomized as positive or negative for

each of the 71 regions, where positive was defined as having at least one alteration in the region. The Cox proportional-hazards model was used to identify only those regions reaching significance independant of the IPI score. All clinical statistical data were computed using the SPSS version 11 software.

Results

Clinical data

The clinical, morphologic and cytogenetic information on the cohort is presented in Table 1. In brief, 56% were male and 44% were female with a median age of 53 years. Forty-two percent of the patients died, with a median overall survival time of 10.83 years after diagnosis. Overall, 50% of patients had developed transformed lymphoma over a median follow up time of 7.33 years. The median time to transformation was 6.61 years. The majority of patients who developed transformed lymphoma had biopsy proven transformation (64%). These patients had a similar clinical outcome as those whose transformed lymphoma was diagnosed on clinical grounds. Transformed lymphoma was the cause of death in 64% of patients, supporting the observation that transformation is an important cause of mortality in these patients and may be a confounding factor in assessing the risk of genetic alterations affecting survival in patients who develop transformed lymphoma

Treatment of these patients varied due to changes in era-specific approaches to management (Table 1). The effect of the addition of rituximab to standard

chemotherapy could not be assessed reliably because of small numbers of patients (n=12; log-rank test on overall survival and transformation, p=0.7), recent incorporation of rituximab into primary treatment (after 2004) varying times of introduction (diagnosis, first progression, relapse, multiple relapses) and variable combination with standard agents (single agent or combination in multiple drug regimens).

Cytogenetic data

Ninety-three of the 106 cases had been studied by karyotype analysis and/or FISH using the IGH/BCL2 fusion probe. The t(14;18) or variant was present in 75 of these 93 cases (81%) but was absent in 18. Thirteen cases were not investigated by these techniques but had the standard morphologic features of FL.

Profile of copy number alterations in FL

Each array CGH profile was annotated individually by visual inspection and by computation without knowledge of the associated karyotype. The individual profiles were combined to generate a genome-wide copy number profile of the 106 diagnostic FL specimens. Figure 1A represents a global composite profile ideogram of all aberrations affecting the 22 autosomes as determined by the intersection analysis. Figure 1B shows an ideogram of only those regions that were affected in ≥10% of cases. This 10% cutoff produced 71 altered regions ranging in size from 200 kb to 44 Mb. Overall, 97 of 106 cases (91.5%) had aberrations detected by array CGH with a median of 16.1% and a range from 0% to 32.2%. The most frequently altered region was band 1p36.22-p36.33 (~11 Mb in size), showing 25.5% frequency of deletion.

Table 2 provides details on the 71 regions of alteration. Figure 1 of the Supplemental Data provides a representative array CGH profile of an individual. Array CGH raw data of the 106 patients are included in the Supplemental Data.

Association between copy number alterations and clinical parameters

When using the relative number of alterations per case to predict clinical outcome, defined as the number of altered BAC clones determined by intersection analysis divided by the total BAC clones (26,819) expressed as a percentage, we showed that there was no significant correlation between cases with $\geq 10\%$ alterations and those with $\leq 10\%$ in terms of overall survival and transformation risk (log-rank test, *p*=0.7 and *p*=0.06, respectively). However, significant correlation with overall survival and transformation with overall survival and transformation survival and transformations were compared with those containing $\leq 5\%$ (log-rank test, *p*=0.02 and *p*=0.03, respectively).

Univariate analysis indicated that 21 regions correlated significantly with poor survival (Column M of Table 2), as did performance status, LDH, stage, and the IPI group (Table 1). Of these, 16 regions were independent of IPI in multivariate analysis (Column N of Table 2). Univariate analysis showed that 12 regions correlated significantly with risk of transformation (Column O of Table 2). The IPI group, but not the individual factors, was also predictive of transformation (Table 1). Ten of the 12 regions were identified as IPI-independent predictors of risk of transformation in multivariate analysis (Column P of Table 2). Del(1)(p36.22-p36.33) and del(6)(q21-q24.3 (identified by ID# 1 and 20, respectively) were not only associated with transformation and inferior outcome (see

Figure 2A and 2B) but were also IPI-independent predictors for both clinical variables (highlighted in grey in Table 2) and thus were selected as candidate regions for validation.

Validation of array CGH data

Two BAC clones, RP13-493G06 and RP11-756P03 that mapped to 1p36.32 and spaced ~200 kb apart, were used for validation of the array CGH-detected 1p36 deletion. We performed FISH using these BAC clones on 10 selected cases. The RP11-229M05 probe at 1q32.3 was used as a control. Two of the 10 cases were determined by CGH intersection analysis to have no log ratio shift (no alteration) while 8 cases had evident deletions at 1p36.3 of variable size. The concordance rate between FISH and intersection analysis was 10 of 10 cases. Figure 3A shows the array CGH ideogram and FISH results for three representative cases, one without a deletion, one with a heterozygous deletion and one with a homozygous deletion.

As the region 6q21-q24.3 was too large (over 40 Mb) for case-specific FISH validation, we further narrowed this region by seeking areas of overlapping deletions affecting >15% of cases. Figure 3B shows the refinement of a broadly deleted region of the 6q arm (at the 10% cutoff level) to four small discrete regions of deletion (at the 15% cutoff level). The area that correlated significantly with survival and transformation risk corresponded to the single peak in band 6q23.3. The size of this peak was less than 300 kb and spanned from 93,765,93 to 94,111,251 bp (NCBI build 36.1). We used BAC clone RP11-703G08 for this region and RP11-516E15 from 6p12.3 as control for FISH

analysis of 10 selected cases. Two of 10 cases were determined by intersection analysis to have no alteration while eight cases had deletions by array CGH (as small as 810 kb) overlapping at 6q23.3. The concordance rate between FISH and intersection analysis was 10 of 10 cases. Figure 3C shows the array CGH ideogram data and FISH results for two representative cases, one with homozygous deletion and the other showing homozygous deletion at 6q23.3 with proximal and distal heterozygous deletion.

Correlation of array CGH findings with cytogenetic data

To illustrate the sensitivity of the array CGH platform compared to karyotype analysis, we examined the extent of correlation between array CGH and cytogenetic data in the 1p and 6q regions. Of the 27 cases with deletion of 1p36 detected by array CGH, 17 had karyotype data and of these only 7 (41.2%) showed an evident deletion or unbalanced translocation. Similarly, of the 22 cases with deletion of 6q detected by array CGH, 14 had karyotype data and 9 (64.3%) showed either whole chromosome loss, iso(6p) or deletion of 6q, whereas five cases showed normal 6q morphology.

Identification of high-level amplicons

In an attempt to identify high-level amplification in our cohort, we performed a simple computational thresholding approach where an amplicon was defined as 1) one that consisted of 3 or more contiguous BAC's, 2) the log ratio of a BAC in an amplicon was at least 4 standard deviations above the mean log ratio of the sample, and 3) the frequency with which an amplicon occurred was at least 5% in order to minimize

random aberrations in an individual case due to genomic instability, we found a highlevel amplicon in 18q12.2 recurrent in 6.6% of cases (Table 3; Figure 2 of the Supplemental Data illustrates the distribution of amplicons identified by our method on chromosome 18). By visual annotation using >1 log₂ ratio shift as the definition of highlevel amplification, 11 instances were found in four cases (Table 3).

Identification of secondary pathways

Based on a computational analysis of karyotype data, it was reported that dup(1q), del(6q), dup(7), and der(18) may constitute four distinct events arising secondary to t(14;18) in the early development of FL.²⁶ Using a clone-based approach (high resolution array CGH) and the application of a robust computational analysis, we attempted to determine if similar pathway definitions could be obtained in our cohort. We first extracted the 4,912 BAC clones from the 71 regions of alterations and applied the k-medoids algorithm to the 106 cases to find clusters based on Hamming distance. Figure 4 presents a heat map where green signals indicate losses and red signals indicate gains. Of the 106 cases, 12 (11.3%) were clustered with dup(1q), 9 (8.5%) with dup(6p)/del(6q), 9 (8.5%) with dup(7), and 19 (17.9%) with dup(18). The remaining cases clustered into a group that exhibited no obvious pattern of alterations.

Discussion

The study reported here describes tiling path array CGH data for 106 cases of FL based exclusively on diagnostic biopsies. Seventy-one regions of alteration were identified to

be recurrent in 10% or more cases. Of the 71 regions, 21 were shown to correlate significantly with inferior survival, however, only 16 were considered independent predictors from the IPI in a Cox multivariate model. Some of these regions, including deletion at 1p36.22-p36.33 (ID# 1), deletion at 6q21-q24.3 (ID# 20), gains at 17q11.2-q12 (ID# 55), 17q12-q21.2 (ID# 56), 17q21.31-q22 (ID# 57), and 17q24.2-q25.1 (ID# 60), provide a refinement of regions that were previously shown to be prognostic factors in overall survival.^{6, 39} Our study also demonstrates that 12 of 71 regions were predictors of transformation risk in univariate analysis including: deletion of 1p36.22-p36.33 (ID# 1), gains of nearly the entire p arm of chromosome 6 (from p21.1 to p25.3, ID# 11-18), deletion of 6q21-q24.3 (ID# 20), gain of 7q32.3-q33 (ID# 38), and gain of 12q13.13-q13.2 (ID# 51). Other groups have reported CGH gains on both 6p and 7p to correlate with transformation from FL to DLBCL,^{17, 18} however, the 1p36 region has not been previously correlated with transformation.

While our investigation shows that two regions, deletions at 1p36.22-p36.33 and 6q21q24.3, correlated with both inferior survival and higher transformation risk and were independent IPI prognostic predictors, many aberrant regions showed no positive correlation between these two clinical parameters. For instance, gains of 6p were associated with higher transformation risk but had no effect on survival rate; likewise, gains of 5p and 17q that were associated with poor survival did not correlate with higher rate of transformation. Three possible explanations could be offered for these findings: 1) transformation and overall survival were not tightly linked in all cases, 2) some cases of FL behaved very aggressively, but did not show histological or clinical features used to define transformation in this study or, 3) the median follow-up was too short to appreciate an obvious relationship between transformation and overall survival in this cohort. This might explain why we did not observe a higher percentage of patients (42%) who had died during the observation period.

The most frequently altered region identified in this study was deletion of chromosome band 1p36.22-p36.33 (~11 Mb in size) that occurred in 25.5% of cases. From the perspective of karyotype analysis, the faint subbands in 1p34 through 1p36 render cytogenetic analysis of 1p36 difficult and even relatively large deletions of this region may be overlooked, resulting in underreporting of deletions that could negatively affect previous correlations with prognosis. Of interest, a recent study by Ross et al found that 50% of 58 low-grade FL showed copy-neutral loss of heterozygosity (LOH) (also called acquired uniparental disomy (aUPD)) at 1p36, demonstrating that other mechanisms of gene inactivation may be involved at this and other sites.²² Both deletions and copyneutral LOH at the terminal portion of 1p have been implicated in other cancer types, including neuroblastoma, melanoma, germ cell tumors, lung cancer, and epithelial ovarian cancers, suggesting the presence of a tumor suppressor in the region.⁴⁰ A number of candidate genes reside in this region, including the cell cycle protein CDC2L1, the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) related receptor proteins such as TNFRSF9/14/18/25, the zinc finger transcription factor PRDM16, and the apoptotic factor DFFB. In related studies based on karyotype data we have observed that deletion of 1p36 occurs more frequently in transformed FL than in diagnostic cases (40% versus 24%, one-tailed p=0.0282) and that deletion of this region is seen in 50%

of high-grade transformations with associated *MYC* translocations (manuscript in preparation). This latter association may in part explain the strong correlation of 1p36 with inferior survival and transformation observed in this study, as our cases may be enriched for patients that had experienced these events. It suggests that deletion of 1p36 may predispose patients to subsequent transformations with high proliferation rate, as described by Davies *et al* and Lossos *et al*, rather than lower proliferative transformations.^{41,42}

Deletion of 6q is detected frequently in acute lymphoblastic leukemia, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, multiple myeloma, DLBCL and FL.^{32, 43} Different studies have shown various regions to be involved, however, only one of these has focused specifically on FL in which a 2.3 Mb region of deletion was identified at 6q16.3 in ~15% FL cases.³² Our data show that nearly the entire 6g arm was involved in the majority of cases, though at the 15% cutoff level, only four very small regions of loss were defined. None of these regions overlap with that of the Henderson et al study.³² The ~500 kb deletion in 6q15 contains the CASP8 associated protein 2 involved in Fas-mediated apoptosis. Of particular interest is deletion of the 6q23.3 band that has been reported in 30-38% of ocular MALT lymphomas and FL.^{22, 43, 44} Our array CGH data indicate that the ~150 kb deletion at 6g23.3 affecting more than 15% of FL cases coincided with the TNFAIP3 (TNF- α induced protein 3) gene. Deletion of this region was validated by FISH, suggesting that *TNFAIP3* may be critical in FL development and/or progression. Furthermore, TNFAIP3 was implicated in a recent study based on correlation between genomic loss and gene expression, while it was unclear in another study as to whether

TNFAIP3 or *PERP* (TP53 apoptosis effector) was implicated since sequencing analysis failed to uncover any mutations.²² Deletion of *TNFAIP3* can constitutively activate the NF-kB signaling pathway as it is a zinc finger protein inhibitor of NF-kB.⁴⁵ However, since deregulation of NF-kB appears to be uncommon in FL,⁴⁶ other functions of *TNFAIP3* may be responsible, or *PERP* may be involved since it lies only ~200kb from *TNFAIP3*. Current evidence indicates that PERP induces TP53-mediated apoptosis and its deletion could lead to the promotion of tumor growth.⁴⁷

Although our cohort was partly enriched for diagnostic cases where a specimen was also available from a later transformation event, this selection did not significantly alter the expected median overall survival time (8-10 years versus our observed 10.83 years after diagnosis) and the expected median time to transformation (7 years vs our reported 6.61 years) for this disease. Based on the 3% annual transformation rate observed in FL,² we would expect 22% of cases to have transformed, whereas 50% of the study patients had transformed to DLBCL. This enrichment allowed us to detect genetic changes that are associated with transformation that would have been missed if too few patients in our study did not have that event. Given the high correlation between transformation and death, confirmation of the full clinical impact of cytogenetic alterations detected in this study should be validated on an unselected series of FL patients.

To exclude the possibility of random aberrant events, we also validated the copy number profile generated from the 106 FL diagnostic cases against an independent cohort of 37 FL (with similar age, grade and stage characteristics), 30 cases of mantle cell lymphoma (MCL), and 30 normal specimens. Our results indicated that 40 of the 71 (56.4%) aberrant regions, such as 1p36.22-p36.33 (ID# 1), 1q42.13-q42.3 (ID# 7) and 18p11.21-p11.32 (ID# 65), were unique to FL (Kruskal-Wallis test, p<0.05; data not shown) while others, such as 6p23-p24.3 (ID# 12) and 6q21-q24.3 (ID# 20), were shared by both FL and MCL. Overlapping regions between the normal controls, FL and MCL were also evident in regions such as 8q21.2 (ID# 43) and 5p15.33 (ID# 10) which represented copy number polymorphisms identified by this platform.

A number of CNVs as small as ~80 to 200 kb can be detected by the array CGH platform⁴⁸ and may be evident in the global profile as discrete regions showing both duplication and deletion. Some CNVs may not exhibit this pattern and have been shown to be as large as a few megabases in size.⁴⁹ Since many CNV breakpoints cannot be precisely defined, and most importantly, that 58% of CNVs overlap with known RefSeq genes,⁴⁹ we have elected not to filter these CNVs using any stringent criteria. A full understanding of the significance of the CNVs will require additional information on population-based frequencies, observed frequencies in specific types of lymphoma and the possible functional consequences exerted through associated genes, SNPs or other mechanisms.

Using only the percentage of alterations as a predictive measure of clinical outcome, we found that significant correlation with overall survival and transformation risk was present only if a criterion of 5% or more alterations (rather than 10%) was applied to

dichotomize the cases. An explanation for this observation may be that as the criterion of percentage becomes too extreme (as in the example of 10% or more alterations), fewer and fewer cases will constitute one of the groups, thereby significantly affecting statistical comparisons. Nevertheless, our findings were in general agreement with the commonly held notion that with increasing number of aberrations in the genome, prognosis is negatively affected.¹⁹

This study has also shed further light on other recurrently altered genomic regions in FL. Potential genes that have been implicated in lymphomas are presented in Column Q of For instance, the cytochrome gene CYP51 on 7g has been found Table 2. overexpressed in lymphomas.¹⁶ In 8q, four candidate genes have been suggested: a potassium channel protein KCNK9, NF-KB-activating protein NIBP, the protein tyrosine kinase *PTK2/FAK*, and the protein tyrosine phosphatise *PTP4A3*.²² The PTEN phosphatase tumor suppressor at 10g23.1-g25.1 has been of constant interest.⁵⁰ MDM2 at 12q13.2-q21.1 has been reported to have altered expression that may negatively affect the stability of p53.⁵¹ Correlation between gene expression and genomic changes provided evidence that the Interleukin-3 zinc finger transcription factor ZNF161 at 17g23.2 may be involved.³⁹ BCL2 may be overexpressed as a result of extra copies of chromosome 18, especially in DLBCL,⁵² however, the bands 18g11-18g21.33 proximal to the BCL2 locus are also consistently over-represented in FL, implicating a gene proximal to the t(14;18) breakpoint in this amplification .^{22, 24}

Our search for high-level amplicons that occurred in at least 5% of cases led to the localization of a region in 18q22, which contains the *BRUNOL4* gene. This gene belongs to a family of RNA-binding proteins involved in multiple aspects of RNA processing.⁵³ Its function in hematopoietic cells, however, has not been studied. Eleven other amplicons were found that occurred in less than 5% of cases. Viardot *et al* showed that among eight amplicons found in their 124 patients, their regions in 1q23-q25 and 12q13 overlapped with ours¹⁹ as did the bands 8q24 and 12q13-q14 in Bentz *et al* study.¹⁵

In an attempt to dissect the sequence of cytogenetic events occurring in the clonal evolution of FL, Hoglund *et al* conducted one of the first studies using published karyotype data to reconstruct the common pathways of clonal evolution secondary to the t(14;18). ²⁶ Using principal component analysis to reduce data complexity for multivariate correlations, four major events consisting of dup(1q), dup(7), del(6q), and der(18) were identified to arise independently after the t(14;18). We attempted to utilize a clone-based approach to cluster both the 106 diagnostic patients and 4,912 BAC clones extracted from the 71 regions of alteration. Using different methods and a mostly non-overlapping FL cohort, we have replicated the findings of the previous karyotype-based study. These data, in conjunction with previous findings, suggest that the early events of clonal progression in FL may evolve along a number of distinct pathways. These events may represent alternative critical steps following the primary event of BCL2 deregulation that are essential to the promotion of early clonal expansion, leading eventually to clinical manifestation of disease and transformation to

more aggressive histologies. It is interesting to note that altogether 46.2% of our cases were represented in one of the four clustered groups, dup(1q), dup(6p)/del(6q), dup(7), and dup(18q), while the rest showed alterations that could not be explained by this approach. These may be cases where other types of biological mechanisms, such as copy-neutral LOH and/or methylation of genes of critical importance may be operative.

In conclusion, our data have confirmed and refined regions of aberrations found in previous findings and provided further insight into the distinct molecular pathways related to FL development using the clone-based cluster analysis. Most importantly, our study has identified deletion of 1p36 and 6q23 as significant prognostic indicators of clinical outcome. These correlations have been strengthened by the ability of high resolution analysis to detect submicroscopic deletions not previously detectable using other methods. The clinical relevance of these genetic alterations and their impact on disease progression will require additional studies of large patient cohorts, ideally managed with uniform therapy and lacking a selection bias.

Acknowledgments

We thank B. Chi and R. De Leeuw for computational assistance in the seeGH software. This work was supported by NCIC Terry Fox Foundation New Frontiers Program Project Grant (Grant # 016003/ Grant Type 230/ Project Title: Biology of Cancer: Follicular Lymphoma as a Model of Cancer Progression), Genome Canada/Genome BC Grant Competition III (Project Title: High Resolution Analysis of Follicular Lymphoma Genomes) (J.M.C., R.D.G. and D.E.H), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (STE-1706/1-1) (C.S.), and the CIHR fellowship award (STP-53912) (N.J.).

Authorship

Contribution: K.J.C. contributed to the design and analysis of this study and the writing of the manuscript. S.P.S. designed and implemented all computational methods and engaged in data interpretation. C.S. provided scientific input, performed FISH validation, and assisted in data interpretation of clinical information. N.J. managed the follicular lymphoma database, provided advice of clinical relevance, and contributed to the statistical analysis of clinical variables. T.R. contributed to array CGH experimental work. A.T. and B.L. performed experiments and maintained the array CGH database. R.T.N. and K.P.M. provided scientific advice in experimental design related to computation. W.L. provided array CGH technology. A.J.A. assembled clinical information related to transformation. J.M.C., R.D.G. and D.E.H were directly or

indirectly involved in the selection and procurement of clinical specimens, conceived the study, and involved in the writing of the manuscript. All authors agreed on the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

1. Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, Murray T, Xu J, Thun MJ. Cancer statistics, 2007. CA Cancer J Clin. 2007;57:43-66.

2. Al-Tourah ACM, Gill K, Hoskins P, et al. Incidence, predictive factors and outcome of transformed lymphoma: a population-based study from British Columbia (abstr 94) [abstract]. 2005;16:64.

3. Jaffe ES, Harris NL, Stein H, Vardiman JW.

Pathology & Genetics of Tumours of Haematopoietics and Lymphoid tissues: World Health Organization Classification of Tumours. France: Lyon, IARC Press; 2001.

4. Kang TY, Rybicki LA, Bolwell BJ, et al. Effect of prior rituximab on high-dose therapy and autologous stem cell transplantation in follicular lymphoma. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2007;40:973-978.

5. Yunis JJ, Frizzera G, Oken MM, McKenna J, Theologides A, Arnesen M. Multiple recurrent genomic defects in follicular lymphoma. A possible model for cancer. N Engl J Med. 1987;316:79-84.

6. Tilly H, Rossi A, Stamatoullas A, et al. Prognostic value of chromosomal abnormalities in follicular lymphoma. Blood. 1994;84:1043-1049.

7. Tsujimoto Y, Finger LR, Yunis J, Nowell PC, Croce CM. Cloning of the chromosome breakpoint of neoplastic B cells with the t(14;18) chromosome translocation. Science. 1984;226:1097-1099.

8. Graninger WB, Seto M, Boutain B, Goldman P, Korsmeyer SJ. Expression of Bcl-2 and Bcl-2-Ig fusion transcripts in normal and neoplastic cells. J Clin Invest. 1987;80:1512-1515.

9. McDonnell TJ, Deane N, Platt FM, et al. bcl-2-immunoglobulin transgenic mice demonstrate extended B cell survival and follicular lymphoproliferation. Cell. 1989;57:79-88.

10. McDonnell TJ and Korsmeyer SJ. Progression from lymphoid hyperplasia to highgrade malignant lymphoma in mice transgenic for the t(14; 18). Nature. 1991;349:254-256.

11. Limpens J, Stad R, Vos C, et al. Lymphoma-associated translocation t(14;18) in blood B cells of normal individuals. Blood. 1995;85:2528-2536.

12. Dolken G, Illerhaus G, Hirt C, Mertelsmann R. BCL-2/JH rearrangements in circulating B cells of healthy blood donors and patients with nonmalignant diseases. J Clin Oncol. 1996;14:1333-1344.

13. Vogelstein B and Kinzler KW. Cancer genes and the pathways they control. Nat Med. 2004;10:789-799.

14. Avet-Loiseau H, Vigier M, Moreau A, et al. Comparative genomic hybridization detects genomic abnormalities in 80% of follicular lymphomas. Br J Haematol. 1997;97:119-122.

15. Bentz M, Werner CA, Dohner H, et al. High incidence of chromosomal imbalances and gene amplifications in the classical follicular variant of follicle center lymphoma. Blood. 1996;88:1437-1444.

16. Berglund M, Enblad G, Thunberg U, et al. Genomic imbalances during transformation from follicular lymphoma to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Mod Pathol. 2007;20:63-75.

17. Boonstra R, Bosga-Bouwer A, Mastik M, et al. Identification of chromosomal copy number changes associated with transformation of follicular lymphoma to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Hum Pathol. 2003;34:915-923.

18. Hough RE, Goepel JR, Alcock HE, Hancock BW, Lorigan PC, Hammond DW. Copy number gain at 12q12-14 may be important in the transformation from follicular lymphoma to diffuse large B cell lymphoma. Br J Cancer. 2001;84:499-503.

19. Viardot A, Moller P, Hogel J, et al. Clinicopathologic correlations of genomic gains and losses in follicular lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2002;20:4523-4530.

20. Zhang X, Karnan S, Tagawa H, et al. Comparison of genetic aberrations in CD10+ diffused large B-cell lymphoma and follicular lymphoma by comparative genomic hybridization and tissue-fluorescence in situ hybridization. Cancer Sci. 2004;95:809-814.

21. Fitzgibbon J, Iqbal S, Davies A, et al. Genome-wide detection of recurring sites of uniparental disomy in follicular and transformed follicular lymphoma. Leukemia. 2007;21:1514-1520.

22. Ross CW, Ouillette PD, Saddler CM, Shedden KA, Malek SN. Comprehensive analysis of copy number and allele status identifies multiple chromosome defects underlying follicular lymphoma pathogenesis. Clin Cancer Res. 2007;13:4777-4785.

23. Mohamed AN, Palutke M, Eisenberg L, Al-Katib A. Chromosomal analyses of 52 cases of follicular lymphoma with t(14;18), including blastic/blastoid variant. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 2001;126:45-51.

24. Horsman DE, Connors JM, Pantzar T, Gascoyne RD. Analysis of secondary chromosomal alterations in 165 cases of follicular lymphoma with t(14;18). Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2001;30:375-382.

25. Cook JR, Shekhter-Levin S, Swerdlow SH. Utility of routine classical cytogenetic studies in the evaluation of suspected lymphomas: results of 279 consecutive lymph node/extranodal tissue biopsies. Am J Clin Pathol. 2004;121:826-835.

26. Hoglund M, Sehn L, Connors JM, et al. Identification of cytogenetic subgroups and karyotypic pathways of clonal evolution in follicular lymphomas. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2004;39:195-204.

27. Lestou VS, Gascoyne RD, Salski C, Connors JM, Horsman DE. Uncovering novel inter- and intrachromosomal chromosome 1 aberrations in follicular lymphomas by using an innovative multicolor banding technique. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2002;34:201-210.

28. Emanuel BS and Saitta SC. From microscopes to microarrays: dissecting recurrent chromosomal rearrangements. Nat Rev Genet. 2007;8:869-883.

29. Garnis C, Coe BP, Lam SL, MacAulay C, Lam WL. High-resolution array CGH increases heterogeneity tolerance in the analysis of clinical samples. Genomics. 2005;85:790-793.

30. Solal-Celigny P, Roy P, Colombat P, et al. Follicular lymphoma international prognostic index. Blood. 2004;104:1258-1265.

31. Harris NL, Jaffe ES, Diebold J, et al. The World Health Organization classification of neoplasms of the hematopoietic and lymphoid tissues: report of the Clinical Advisory Committee meeting--Airlie House, Virginia, November, 1997. Hematol J. 2000;1:53-66.

32. Henderson LJ, Okamoto I, Lestou VS, et al. Delineation of a minimal region of deletion at 6q16.3 in follicular lymphoma and construction of a bacterial artificial chromosome contig spanning a 6-megabase region of 6q16-q21. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2004;40:60-65.

33. Ishkanian AS, Malloff CA, Watson SK, et al. A tiling resolution DNA microarray with complete coverage of the human genome. Nat Genet. 2004;36:299-303.

34. de Leeuw RJ, Davies JJ, Rosenwald A, et al. Comprehensive whole genome array CGH profiling of mantle cell lymphoma model genomes. Hum Mol Genet. 2004;13:1827-1837.

35. Khojasteh M, Lam WL, Ward RK, MacAulay C. A stepwise framework for the normalization of array CGH data. BMC Bioinformatics. 2005;6:274.

36. Chi B, DeLeeuw RJ, Coe BP, MacAulay C, Lam WL. SeeGH--a software tool for visualization of whole genome array comparative genomic hybridization data. BMC Bioinformatics. 2004;5:13.

37. Shah SP, Xuan X, DeLeeuw RJ, et al. Integrating copy number polymorphisms into array CGH analysis using a robust HMM. Bioinformatics. 2006;22:e431-9.

38. Shah SP, Lam WL, Ng RT, Murphy KP. Modeling recurrent DNA copy number alterations in array CGH data. Bioinformatics. 2007;23:i450-8.

39. Lestou VS, Gascoyne RD, Sehn L, et al. Multicolour fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis of t(14;18)-positive follicular lymphoma and correlation with gene expression data and clinical outcome. Br J Haematol. 2003;122:745-759.

40. Rajgopal A, Carr IM, Leek JP, et al. Detection by fluorescence in situ hybridization of microdeletions at 1p36 in lymphomas, unidentified on cytogenetic analysis. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 2003;142:46-50.

41. Davies AJ, Rosenwald A, Wright G, et al. Transformation of follicular lymphoma to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma proceeds by distinct oncogenic mechanisms. Br J Haematol. 2007;136:286-293.

42. Lossos IS, Alizadeh AA, Diehn M, et al. Transformation of follicular lymphoma to diffuse large-cell lymphoma: alternative patterns with increased or decreased expression of c-myc and its regulated genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002;99:8886-8891.

43. Honma K, Tsuzuki S, Nakagawa M, et al. TNFAIP3 is the target gene of chromosome band 6q23.3-q24.1 loss in ocular adnexal marginal zone B cell lymphoma. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2008;47:1-7.

44. Kim WS, Honma K, Karnan S, et al. Genome-wide array-based comparative genomic hybridization of ocular marginal zone B cell lymphoma: comparison with pulmonary and nodal marginal zone B cell lymphoma. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2007;46:776-783.

45. Wertz IE, O'Rourke KM, Zhou H, et al. De-ubiquitination and ubiquitin ligase domains of A20 downregulate NF-kappaB signalling. Nature. 2004;430:694-699.

46. Panwalkar A, Verstovsek S, Giles F. Nuclear factor-kappaB modulation as a therapeutic approach in hematologic malignancies. Cancer. 2004;100:1578-1589.

47. Ihrie RA and Attardi LD. Perp-etrating p53-dependent apoptosis. Cell Cycle. 2004;3:267-269.

48. Wong KK, deLeeuw RJ, Dosanjh NS, et al. A comprehensive analysis of common copy-number variations in the human genome. Am J Hum Genet. 2007;80:91-104.

49. Redon R, Ishikawa S, Fitch KR, et al. Global variation in copy number in the human genome. Nature. 2006;444:444-454.

50. Siebert R, Gesk S, Harder S, et al. Deletions in the long arm of chromosome 10 in lymphomas with t(14;18): a pathogenetic role of the tumor supressor genes PTEN/MMAC1 and MXI1? Blood. 1998;92:4487-4489.

51. Davies AJ, Lee AM, Taylor C, et al. A limited role for TP53 mutation in the transformation of follicular lymphoma to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Leukemia. 2005;19:1459-1465.

52. Galteland E, Sivertsen EA, Svendsrud DH, et al. Translocation t(14;18) and gain of chromosome 18/BCL2: effects on BCL2 expression and apoptosis in B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. Leukemia. 2005;19:2313-2323.

53. Yang Y, Mahaffey CL, Berube N, Maddatu TP, Cox GA, Frankel WN. Complex seizure disorder caused by Brunol4 deficiency in mice. PLoS Genet. 2007;3:e124.

Table legends

Table 1. Patient characteristics of 106	FL specimens	acquired at	diagnosis
---	--------------	-------------	-----------

Clinical characteristics	n=106 (%)	Log rank p value for survival	Log rank p value for transformation
Median Age, years Male sex	53 59 (56)	0.4	0.7
Age>60 PS > 1 LDH > normal Extranodal sites > 1 Stage III/IV	33 (31) 13 (13) 24 (25) 13 (12) 74 (70)	0.2 0.003 0.008 0.4 0.01	0.5 0.3 0.1 0.6 0.1
IPI score: 0-1 2-3 4-5	66 (62) 34 (32) 6 (6)	0.003	0.02
Diagnostic Pathology: FOLL1 FOLL2 FOLL3A	63 (60) 33 (31) 9 (9)		
Primary therapy: Observation Rad alone Single agent chemo Multi-agent chemo +/- rad Multi-agent chemo + rituximab	26 (24) 15 (14) 12 (11) 41 (39) 12 (11)	0.7	0.7
Outcome: Transformation:	53 (50)	0.02	
Biopsy proven Clinical	34 (64) 19 (36)	0.6	
Death:	45 (42)		
Unrelated From transformation From progressive indolent FL	3 (7) 29 (64) 13 (29)		
Median follow up alive = 7.33 years			
Median overall survival = 10.83 years			
Median time to transformation = 6.61 vears			

Abbreviations: PS: ECOG performance status; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; IPI, international Prognostic Index; FOLL1 = follicular lymphoma grade 1, FOLL2 = grade 2, FOLL3A = grade 3A, rad = radiation, chemo = chemotherapy, FL = follicular lymphoma

Table 2. Detailed information on the 71 regional aberrations affecting ≥10% of FL

Lb Lawer La	12x. vival LIP L(p- transformation. (p-value) 0230 00000 NS NS NS	<u>Cox</u> transformation with IP L (p- value) 0.0060	Genes of interest
Ip- 1 1_120588 2511370 p9622-9638 R P 11-58702 R P 11-72412 46 4.946548 0.05 NS 14 2 H4,20621 H9,H0,173 g214-251 R P 11-72412 46 4.946548 0.05 NS 4 H7328.149 B5531077 g251-9317 g214-921 RP 11-7728 P105280 2228588 0.04 0.0580 NS 5 B220-1202 22537986 q312-441 RP 11-77211 61 7255971 0.12 0.0460 0.04 7 225571020 233387746 q42-8423 CTD-2030015 RP 11-73711 61 7255901 0.11 0.0400 0.01 9 246-12.097 25.0377.8 PS 153 RP 11-77418 RP 11-8304.65 11.491322 0.0 0.03 0.00 0.05 69+1 11 D1445 8.63300 P24-9232 RP 11-77418 P1199894.65 1.941922 0.0 0.03 0.00 NS 1.991224 RP 11-777418 RP 1199894.65<	0230 0.0040 NS NS NS	0.0060	1
iq. 2 2 H420221 H8 H8/79 Q21Hq251 PP InC284 PL 46 4 494549 OTB N 4 77318949 96521007 q251q311 PP In289 OG PP In274 PL 46 494549 OTB NS 5 552.0422 2557389 q42.94428 PP In280 A2 223 2383734 OTB NS 6 2556401 223387744 q42.94428 CTD -058305 PP IN2871 161 7255581 O.2 ODM60 OU 9 2564601 2444477402 q449-444 PP In2078 PP IN2874 D 238282.11 OD NS 9 25845601 2457475 P344 PP In40757 RP IN2870 B PI IN3840 B A 1941322 OD NS 9 25845601 245747 B PI H4200 B CTD -2495422 73 8064965 OC NS 2 591033 FD F4588 PI F4744 B PI F4744	NS NS NS		
B H9/H528 PT/255.89 PT/255.81 PP 1105810 PP 11722.8.2 DS DS PT/255.89 O.E N.S 4 4 7393349 B650107 q2554301 PT 1158200 PT 1158200 PT 115922 PT 1159321 2352568 O.T N.S 6 25571050 23383774 q44.9422 PT 11408005 PT 1179711 G1 7235398 O.Z OM400 O.D 7 25571050 2338774 q44.944 PT 1140205 PT 11505410 2 33821 O.D NS 59+ D 588387 255377 p 553 PT 142015 PT 1430540 3 1491322 O.D O.D000 O.D 59+ D 588387 259377 p 22-923 PT 142015 PT 1430540 32 14914322 O.D O.D O.D NS 59 51229 PT 1402015 PT 1430540 52 PT 1420200 PT 1494422 20 207.75 0.D NS D.D <t< td=""><td>NS NS NS</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	NS NS NS		
4 1793B349 B6531007 q25.1q311 RP 112380 CR P1107610 111 B2228583 0.14 0.0080 NK 6 25584705 22385137 q414q2 Z RP 114702 RP 11430A 23235588 0.17 NS 7 225571030 233387746 q429q42 RP 114201 RP 11430A 66 7553001 0.11 0.0400 0.04 8 236546201 244.47402 q43q44 RP 1142610 RP 1143840 66 7553001 0.11 0.0400 0.01 9 246.42287 24537478 q44 RP 1142704 RP 11438400 1491322 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 NS 1 NS 1491322 1.11 NS 1.11	NS NS		
b CE_CM_220 25.87/358 CH_220 27.87/358 CH_2000 CM_2000 CO_2000 OUV NS 6 225.57/300 23387/74 q42.8q.42 CTD_2035005 RP 15-5381 60 726.55/36 0.2 OD460 OD 8 2355/4500 244.47/422 q44 RP 11-027 RP 11-7340 96 7550,200 OD NS 5P+ D 588.867 2557/75 q42.842,32 RP 11-027 RP 11-0280 RP 11-7340 96 7550,200 OD NS 6P+ D 01455 66500 p23.82,23 RP 11-7340 RP 11-7340 80 1491,22 OD NS 12 9.910,23 50.6500 p23.82,23 RP 11-7204 RP 11-722442 22.074/725 OD NS 15 57.417/46 23.42,2551 p22.23 CTD-2300502 RP 11-72440 D 95.9569 0.00 NS 16 23.568019 37.425,222 RP 11-702402 CTD-2255.20 38 </td <td>10</td> <td></td> <td></td>	10		
0 1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	NS		
8 2365/46001 244,4257/02 q43-q44 RP IH257 RP IH2660 96 7550,901 0.11 0.0040 0.01 9 246,42,857 246,717.18 q44 RP IH2500 RP IH25600 1 1/41,822 0.00 NS 6p+ 0 598,387 22,5737 P F33 RP IH2700 RP IH35006 1/41,822 0.00 NS 2 910,233 5045,639 p23-p23 RP IH2700.0 RP IH36904 52 2,554,00 0.11 NS 8 5522,22 739,5937 p22-p23 RP IH2700.2 CTD -2305,02 RP IH470.0 D 956,483 0.00 NS 6 8,741746 244,251 9,023,35 p22-p23 RP IH270.02 CTD -2305,20 33 34,4507 0.14 NS 7 30,055,5 p21,24,22 RP IH270.14 RP IH276.0 RP IH276.0 RP IH370.0 RD 2,051,93 0.00 0.003 0.00 7 30,056,59 42,272,71 p2	.0410 NS		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$.0180 NS		
bp+ 0 583.87 2.05979 p.53.8 RP IH-72M IB RP IH-888A06 B 14/8122 0.00 0.000 0.000 6p+ 11 01/455 8.95300 p.243.p.253 RP IH-2400 IS RT IH-5884/2 25 5.56.00 0.11 NS 18 5.522.22 7.756.5897 p.223.923 RP IH-2400 IS RP IH-777.24 22 2.77.75 0.11 NS 4 8.82.551 0.969.355 p.223 RP IH-777.22 CTD -2305620 36 3.72.105 0.10 NS 6 2.358595 4.257.71 P.21.bp.21 RP IH-702.22 CTD -23056.20 38 3.430.52 0.11 NS 6 9.356595 4.257.71 P.21.bp.21 RP IH-710.14 RP IH-740.24 26 39.430.55 0.77 0.0080 0.00 20 0.4559.88 M.532.243 0.211 RP IH-710.14 RP IH-444.02 51 44.938.45 0.17 0.0080 0.00 20 0.4550.80 0.214	NS		
6p+ 11 D1/45 8,062,000 p243-p233 RP H32X D C TD -2HKX22 73 8004,965 0.12 NS 1 13 15,522,212 17,566,937 p223-p23 RP H32004 52 5155,000 0.11 NS 1 18 15,522,212 17,566,937 p223-p23 RD H32012 RP H44M20 D 0.05,648 0.10 NS 1 16 23,558,019 37,205,515 p212-p222 RP H304M5 RP H444C02 51 H318,457 0.14 NS 1 6 23,558,019 37,005,515 p212-p222 RP H344X10 CTD -3255,20 38 37,2105 0.01 NS 1 6 23,558,019 37,305,515 p212-p22,2 RP H342X12 RP H344C02 51 H318,457 0.14 NS 20 04,556,203 02,465,267 d2-q15,3 RP H37170 RP H344M18 323 34,052,064 0.17 0.00360 0.007 NS 21 14,6005,611	.0020 NS		
P 950233 504533 p239243 RP 112400 E RP 114240 E RP 114240 E Status NS 9 532222 77365397 p223, 22 RP 1142742 22 2074725 0.11 NS 5 5741745 2346251 p223, 22 RP 1147242 CTD-2305022 RP 114744 2462745 0.11 NS 6 23586018 42557,171 p212,p22 RP 1162816 RP 11464M20 D 905,469 0.0 NS 7 35055918 42557,171 p211,p2212 RP 11636523 RP 11647818 8 2051333 0.0 0.00500 NS 69 8 67585233 0248527 q24 153 RP 11637618 8 2.051333 0.0 0.0050 0.000 20 04595988 H5982243 q243q253 RP 1152767 CTD-22450 13 82 2.853730 0.16 0.0070 NS 79+ 22 764,75 9330,205 q213,928 P11497250 RP 1145722 426 43	0.0100	0.0230	
B 5.5.22.2 17.35937 pp2.23 RP 11-72/R24 17.72.44 2.2 2.10/47/c5 0.11 NS 5 5.741746 23,462,651 pp22.3 RP 11-702.122 CTD-23305.20 36 3721,05 0.00 NS 6 23,566,918 472.557,17 p21.4p21.2 RP 11-644.022 51 H/38,497 0.4 NS 7 350,65,918 42.527,71 p21.4p21.2 RP 11-6362.1 RP 11-644.022 51 H/38,497 0.4 NS 6q 6 67,656,203 02.465,577 q2.415.3 RP 11-562.21 RP 11-570.0 606 409,72555 0.7 0.0000 0.00 20 04,556,864 g21-24.2 RP 11-572.0 CTD-2245D B 52 286,772 0.2 NS 7p + 2.7 74,75 9.302,05 p213-p22.3 RP 11-720.02 CTD-2245D B 52 265,772 0.2 NS 23 11738,573 2.4112.28 p213 RP 11-7267.0 RP 114572.40 <t< td=""><td>0.0020</td><td>0.0090</td><td></td></t<>	0.0020	0.0090	
In Diraction Dirac	0.0020	0.0090	
16 23580/08 37,206515 p212-p222 RP 11648/02 151 H/38/467 0.14 NS 17 38,08536 42,27,171 p211-p212 RP 11648/06 CTD-225720 38 3430,252 0.11 NS 18 42,763,847 44,85780 p211 RP 11648/08 28 34625,000 0.00500 NS 20 D4,959,088 H/5302,243 q24,153 RP 11648/18 23 34625,004 0.07 0.0030 0.00 21 H6006611 58,842,343 q24,342.33 RP 11648/18 73 9,253,730 0.16 0.0070 NS 7p+ 23 117,33673 2,411,228 p213 RP 117200 14 CTD-22480 18 82 285,772 0.12 NS 24 3844318 57,852,447 p211-p212 RP 117200 14 CTD-22480 14 28 43,391,931 0.15 0.0070 NS 27 75,59,343 75,287,368 q211 RP 117500 10 RP 1145820 17 17,1769,025	0.0000	0.0010	
17 330365919 42527,71 p211p212 RP 11685F06 CTD-225F20 38 3430252 0.11 NS 64 42763347 4485780 p211 RP 1171C H RP 11778F8 18 2251333 0.10 0.0350 NS 20 04555688 M5532243 q214243 RP 11638221 RP 115740 46 4037255 0.17 0.0160 0.02 21 M5005611 158942383 q243q53 RP 1167207 CTD-22450 B E2 2585772 0.12 NS 21 M5005611 158942383 q2413q253 RP 1172207 CTD-224504 2 2585772 0.12 NS 24 1984318 5782684 p111p212 RP 11700001 RP 1145722 406 43591931 0.15 0.0490 NS 74+ 25 6583346 757262 q111 RP 1157070 RP 11458223 17 2768025 0.11 NS 23 84076554 897509 q211142113	0.0060	0.0090	
B 42,763,947 44,85,780 p211 RP II-71C H RP II-775 H R0 2051,933 0.00 0.00300 0.0030 6q B 67,856,203 D04,555,988 M45,932,243 Q214,823,233 Q24,3-Q214,243 RP II-5740 D 406 409,7255 0.77 0.0030 0.003 21 M6006,611 58,942,383 Q24,3-Q25.3 RP II-720 D CTD -2245D B 82 2,855,772 0.12 NS 23 II/736,75 9,320,205 p213,p223 RP II-700 D RP II-4572 Z 406 43,951931 0.16 0.0070 NS 24 B384,918 57,852,684 p111,p212 RP II-7050 D RP II-14572 Z 406 43,951931 0.15 0.0490 NS 7q+ 25 65,783,346 75,720,82 q111 RP II-7590 D RP II-8776 D RP II-8776 D 77 1938,25 0.11 NS 27 7559,848 97,287,358 q2111 RP II-7507 D RP II-8520 B 72 25,455 0.11 </td <td>0.0280</td> <td>0.0460</td> <td></td>	0.0280	0.0460	
6q- 10 67/565/203 102/465/267 q12-q633 RP 11-68K/23 RP 11-7240 0 406 409/72555 0.17 0.0030 0.0030 20 104/565/988 H65/362/243 q21-q243 RP 11-6380/21 RP 11-7240 0 406 409/72555 0.17 0.0160 0.030 21 H46006511 158/44/2383 q21-q243 RP 11-720024 RP 10-2246D 8 152 2.985/772 0.12 NS 23 11/733675 2.4411228 p213 RP 11-720024 CTD-2246D 24 12 677/549 0.011 0.0200 NS 24 18/894/918 57/825/89 p111-p212 RP 11-7269 10 RP 11-8672 10 10.908/76 0.11 NS 24 58/89/46 75/752/02 q121-q112 RP 11-7567 10 RP 11-8672 17 1768/02S 0.11 NS 1 1768/02S 0.11 NS 1 1 NS 1 1 168/04 168/04 1 NS 1 1 1 <td< td=""><td>NS 0.0080</td><td>0.0070</td><td></td></td<>	NS 0.0080	0.0070	
20 D4 559,588 HF 5322/43 q214243 RP IH-272E 07 CTD -22450 B B2 P2857,72 0.17 00160 0036 7p+ 22 76,475 9.330,205 p213.9223 RP IH-272E 07 CTD -22450 B B2 P285,772 0.12 NS 23 11,733,679 12,411,228 p213 RP IH-2700 24 CTD -20280 24 12 677,549 0.11 0.0210 NS 24 18,394,918 57,825,949 p111,9212 RP IH-7680 10 RP IH-478 22 408 43,959,1931 0.15 0.0490 NS 24 18,394,918 57,825,949 p111,9212 RP IH-579 10 RP IH-478 22 408 43,959,1931 0.15 0.0490 NS 25 65,163,346 75,729,368 q21.11 RP IH-579 10 RP IH-382 49 17 1,768,025 0.11 NS 26 77,559,343 59,259,518 q21.1142,118 RP IH-570 10 RP IH-383,013 2 12,64,65 0.11 NS 28	.0070 NS		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$.0380 0.0020	0.0010	
P/P 22 Ph/P3 S32223 P213/223 P214/923 P214/923 <td>NS NS</td> <td></td> <td></td>	NS NS		
Def Display Def Pick Pick <t< td=""><td>NS NS</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	NS NS		
7q+ 25 65,83,346 75,792,082 q1121q1123 RP 11-758P 10 RP 11-827P 19 17 17,89,025 0.11 NS 26 77,558,343 79,287,368 q21.11 RP 11-578 12 RP 11-827P 19 17 17,89,025 0.11 NS 27 75508,843 19,700,533 q21.11 RP 11-7580 05 RP 11-822P 19 17 1,789,025 0.11 NS 28 82,203,959 82,385,03 q21.11 RP 11-7507 10 RP 11-8520 03 2 82,4455 0.11 NS 30 89,067,084 95,907,510 q21.8,2213 RP 11-7507 10 RP 11-873,47 44 4,578,155 0.11 NS 31 97,342,20 95,957,50 q21.8,221 RP 11-974,01 RP 11-974,78 5 1940,525 0.10 NS 33 107,062,787 06,444,145 q22.3,q31.1 RP 11-674,21 2 25,6551 0.10 NS 34 114,602,302 115,391,679 q312 RP 11-634,01 RP 11-628,03 3 1,757,061 0.10 NS 35 15,770,526<	NS NS		
26 77,559,343 79,287,368 q21.11 RP 11-57F 12 RP 11-327 19 17 1,768,025 0.11 NS 27 79,508,881 81,700,553 q21.11 RP 11-57F 12 RP 11458203 77 2,251,72 0.11 NS 28 82,203,958 82,266,484 q21.11 RP 11-750F 10 RP 11458203 2 152,485 0.11 NS 20 84,075,554 88,755,50 q21.114,213 RP 11-734C 11 RP 114380,477 44 4,578,155 0.11 NS 30 86,067,094 95,907,510 q21.14,22.13 RP 11-734C 11 RP 11-891,20 57 6,740,426 0.11 NS 31 97,342,20 99,254,745 q21.3,422.1 RP 11-890,21 2 256,591 0.10 NS 32 101,700,08 102,065,700 q22.1 RP 11-9340,01 RP 11-372,06 2 137,538 0.10 NS 33 107,062,787 06,434,145 q22.2,4311 RP 11-903,401 RP 11-372,606 2 </td <td>NS</td> <td></td> <td></td>	NS		
27 79506,881 61,760,553 q21.11 RP 11-75M05 RP 11-45582.23 17 2,251,72 0.11 NS 28 62,203,959 62,366,484 q21.11 RP 11-058M07 RP 11-592003 2 152,465 0.11 NS 29 84,076,554 88,755,08 q2114,213 RP 11-750F 10 RP 11-893A17 44 4,676,155 0.11 NS 30 89,067,084 55,807,50 q2114,213 RP 11-754C 11 RP 11-89102 57 6,740,425 0.11 NS 31 97,34220 99,254,745 q213,q22.1 RP 11-89102 RP 11-87501 5 1940,525 0.00 NS 32 107,002,787 02,063,700 q22.1 RP 11-89102 RP 11-872,061 2 256,511 0.10 NS 34 114,802,302 115,391679 q312 RP 11-93A01 RP 11-923C30 6 789,377 0.10 NS 35 115,391679 q312,47313 RP 11-89102 RP 11-89208 39 4,	NS		
28 82/203/599 92/203/599 92/203/599 92/203/599 92/203/594 93/755,09 q211h q21.18 RP 1h/058/077 RP 1h/952/010 RP 1h/95	NS		
29 84/075/554 88/755/05 q2111q21:8 RP 11/505 10 RP 116384/7 44 44/576/55 0.11 NS 30 89/057/064 95/807/50 q2113q213 RP 11/300 10 RP 114941/20 57 6/740/25 0.11 NS 31 97/34/20 59/254/745 q213q221 RP 11/3600 21 RP 11/57/13 15 1940/525 0.10 NS 32 01/780/08 102/08/700 q22.1 RP 11/450/02 RP 11/57/031 2 256,591 0.10 NS 33 107/062/87 06,434,145 q22.3q311 RP 11/457/02 RP 11/37206 R 1371/358 0.10 NS 34 114,002,302 117527/957 q312-q3131 RP 11/651/21 R 789,377 0.10 NS 36 115,707,526 117527/957 q312-q3131 RP 11/581/2 RP 11/580/05 30 3,425,951 0.11 NS 37 124,779,872 282,06,833 q3134q31,33 RP 11-581/2 RP 11-5824/05 30	NS		
30 89.567,084 39.507,791 q211.9q21.3 RP II-734.11 RP II-757,413 57 6,740,4c5 0.11 NS 32 101780,05 102.056,700 q22.1 RP II-537,111 RP II-577,413 15 1940,525 0.10 NS 33 107,052,787 08,434,145 q22.3-q31.1 RP II-576,121 2 256,551 0.10 NS 34 114,802,202 115,391,679 q312 RP II-676,121 2 256,573 0.10 NS 35 115,770,526 117,727,587 q312,q313 RP II-631,23 RP II-690,01 5 1,757,061 0.10 NS 36 119,392,544 124,228,552 q313,q313 RP II-631,23 RP II-690,08 39 4,356,378 0.10 NS 37 124,779,872 28,206,833 q313,q32,q32.1 RP II-891,12 RP II-528,05 30 3,425,951 0.11 NS 38 195,950,444 400,073,445 q33-q34 RP II-91,118 RP II-528,05 30 3,425,951 0.11 NS 40 40,508,776 44,445	NS		CV0.51
31 37 37 37 36 37 24,779,872 282,06,833 q31,23,133 RP 114571,221 2 256,551 0.10 NS 33 107,062,787 08,434,145 q22.3,q31.1 RP 114576,021 Q 1371,358 0.10 NS 34 114,602,302 115,291,673 q312 RP 114576,021 RP 114578,273 6 789,377 0.10 NS 35 115,770,526 117,527,587 q312,q313 RP 11432,023 RP 114028,123 RP 11408,123 RP 114028,123 RP 114028,123 RP 114028,123 RP 114028,123 RP 114028,123 RP 114028,123 RP 114028,123 <td>NS</td> <td></td> <td>CTP51</td>	NS		CTP51
33 107/082/787 08/434/445 q22.3-q31.1 RP 11-467F.09 RP 11-3726.06 12 1371,358 00 NS 34 114,602,302 15,391,679 q312 RP 11-03A01 RP 11-028X12 6 789,377 00 NS 35 115,770,526 117,527,587 q312,4313 RP 11-03A01 RP 11-028X12 6 789,377 00 NS 36 115,527,547 q312,4313 RP 11-63C123 RP 11-60206 39 4,365,978 00 NS 37 124,773,872 28206,633 q313,432.1 RP 11-8917 RP 11-6812.2 50 4,754,915 0.11 NS 38 1912,7,474 05,972,389 q32,34,32 RP 11-9817 RP 11-818/22 50 4,754,915 0.11 NS 39 136,800,444 40,073,345 q34,934 RP 11-9817 RP 11-818/22 50 4,754,915 0.11 NS 40 40,0073,345 q34,935 R,722,8007 RP 11-40800,6 27 3,22,901	NS		
34 114,902,302 115,391,679 q312 RP 11-03A01 RP 11-02X23 6 789,377 0.0 NS 35 115,770,526 117,527,587 q312,4313 RP 11-63L23 RP 11-608N14 15 1,757,061 0.0 NS 36 118,932,154 124,228,332 q3131,q3133 RP 11-63612,3 RP 11-6360 39 4,266,578 0.0 NS 37 124,779,872 128,206,833 q3134,q313 RP 11-89117 RP 11-6360,03 34,256,581 0.11 NS 38 1912,7,474 125,597,289 q32,3-q33 RP 11-89117 RP 11-89105 30 34,255151 0.11 NS 40 400,073,345 q34,q35 CTD -2253007 RP 11-409006 27 32,12,001 0.11 NS 41 47,152,251 15,17,41,055 q36,436,31 RP 11-409007 41 4,007,335 0.12 NS 42 51,938,143 58,777,885 q36,1q36,33 RP 11-408006 27 6,839,742 0.12 NS	NS		
35 115/70528 117/527587 q312-q3131 RP 1H-39123 RP 1H-050N 14 15 1,757 061 0.0 NS 36 119,302,54 124,228,532 q313,43133 RP 1H-367A/7 RP 1H-6006 39 4,366,378 0.0 NS 37 124,779,872 128,206,833 q3133,4313 RP 1H-967A/7 RP 1H-9528(05 30 3,425,961 0.10 NS 38 13127,474 055,972,389 q32,3q33 RP 1H-981/12 RP 1H-91K22 50 4,754,915 0.11 NS 38 13127,474 055,972,389 q32,3q33 RP 1H-981/12 RP 1H-91K22 50 4,754,915 0.11 NS 40 140,508,716 144,516,051 q34,q35 CTD-2253007 RP 1H-91K206 20 43 4561,904 0.12 NS 41 147,52,251 15,174,055 q35-q36.1 RP 1H-964004 RP 1H-98003 57 6,839,742 0.12 NS 42 15138,143 158,777,885 q36,1q363 RP 1H-980203	NS		
36 119322_54 124,228,532 q31314g3133 RP 11-367A/7 RP 11-650.06 39 4,356,378 0.0 NS 37 124,779,872 128,206,833 q3134,q31.3 RP 11-861/2 RP 11-5623(05 30 3,4256,961 0.11 NS 38 1312/17,474 155,972,389 q32.3,q33 RP 11-891/7 RP 11-91K22 50 4,754,915 0.11 NS 38 156,860,444 140,073,345 q33,q34 RP 11-916L 13 RP 11-788006 27 32,22501 0.11 NS 40 140,580,716 144,516,051 q34,q35 C TD -2253007 RP 11-409M07 41 4,007,335 0.12 NS 41 147,152,251 15,174,055 q35,q36,1 RP 11-584004 RP 11-208,620 43 4561,804 0.12 NS 42 151398,143 158,777,885 q36,1q363 RP 11-4582,02 RP 11-049802 5 207,338 0.12 NS 8q+ 43 86,639,540 66,500,037 q21.2 <td< td=""><td>NS</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	NS		
37 724,779,872 728,206,833 q3132,q32.1 RP 11-88112 RP 11-88405 30 34,255,951 0.11 NS 38 3127,474 355,972,389 q32.3,q33 RP 11-83117 RP 11-88006 20 3,475,915 0.11 NS 39 3127,474 195,972,389 q32.3,q33 RP 11-83117 RP 11-811622 50 4,754,915 0.11 NS 40 140,508,716 144,516,051 q34-q35 CTD-22530.07 RP 11-3800.06 27 3,212,201 0.11 NS 41 147,52,251 151,714,055 q35-q36.1 RP 11-580,04 RP 11-2080,20 43 4,561,804 0.12 NS 42 151,938,143 158,777,885 q36,1q36.3 RP 11-4582,20 RP 110498102 5 207,338 0.12 NS 43 96,659,540 96,5900,037 q21.2 RP 11-4582,12 RP 11-049812 5 207,338 0.12 0.0460 0.02 44 127,557,538 144,65,332 q24,18,q243 <	NS		
38 39/27/474 365/27.389 qd2-24.33 KP IHE301/ KP IH311/2 SU 4/54.915 0.11 NS 39 396/360,444 140(073,345 q33.q34 RP IH580.06 27 3212.901 0.11 NS 40 140(508,76 144.56,051 q34.q55 CTD-2253007 RP IH409007 41 4007,335 0.12 NS 41 147,52.251 151,74,055 q35.q36.1 RP IH5640.04 RP IH2080.03 57 6,838,742 0.12 NS 42 151,398,143 158,777,885 q35.1q36.3 RP IH4521.20 RP IH048120 57 6,838,742 0.12 NS 44 127,557,538 144,515.332 q24.19,q24.3 RP IH480203 57 6,838,742 0.12 NS 44 127,557,538 144,515.332 q24.19,q24.3 RP IH480203 57 6,838,742 0.16 NS 10q- 45 83,519,320 109,743,759 q23.1q25.1 RP IH589H04 RP I3-789H24 238 26,224,439 </td <td>NS</td> <td></td> <td></td>	NS		
40 1402502776 144250276 144250276 144250276 14455027 177 10252 177 1	UU34U	NS	
41 147,152,251 151,74,055 q35-q36.1 RP 11-5640.04 RP 11-208G.20 43 4,561,804 0.12 NS 42 151,938,143 158,777,885 q36.1-q36.3 RP 11-452,120 RP 11-0680.03 57 6,839,742 0.12 NS 44 43 86,659,510 66,500,037 q212 RP 11-6862,24 RP 11-048122 5 207,338 0.12 0.0460 0.02 44 127,557,638 144,615,332 q24,18-q24.3 RP 11-435M05 RP 19-698122 5 207,338 0.16 NS 10q- 45 63,952.0 106,743,759 q23,1q25.1 RP 11-435M05 RP 19-698124 238 26,224,439 0.20 NS 12q+ 46 39,241,592 40,479,9448 q12 RP 11-425822 RP 11-808,PP 11-4 18 1238,256 0.10 NS	NS		
42 151338,143 158,777,885 q36,1q36.3 RP 11452,120 RP 110830.03 57 6,839,742 0.12 NS 8q+ 43 96,659,540 86,500,037 q212 RP 11-1962,24 RP 11-048422 5 207,338 0.12 0.0460 0.02 44 127,557,538 144,615,332 q24.13-q24.3 RP 11-395M05 RP 13-61802 140 17,047,894 0.16 NS 10q- 45 835,19,320 109,743,759 q23.1q25.1 RP 11-355H04 RP 11-759H24 238 26,224,439 0.20 NS 12q+ 46 39,241,582 40,479,848 q12 RP 11-432527 RP 11-80RP 11-4 13 1238,256 0.10 NS	NS		
Bq+ 43 96,659,540 96,500,037 q212 RP 11-5962 24 RP 11-048222 5 207,338 0.12 0.0460 0.02 44 127,557,538 144,615,332 q24.13-q24.3 RP 11-435M05 RP 13-61902 140 17,047,694 0.16 NS 10q- 45 835,59,320 109,743,759 q23.1q25.1 RP 11-535H04 RP 11-739H24 238 26,224,439 0.20 NS 12q+ 46 39,241,582 40,479,848 q12 RP 11-43027 RP 11-80RP 11-4 13 1238,256 0.10 NS	NS		
44 127,557,538 144,615,332 q.24.13-q.24.3 R.P.11-435M.05 R.P.13-61802 140 17,047,894 0.16 N.S 10q- 45 883,519,320 109,743,759 q.23.1q.25.1 R.P.11-535H.04 R.P.11-739H.24 238 26,224,439 0.20 N.S 12q+ 46 39,241,552 40,479,848 q.12 R.P.11-4302,27 R.P.11430R.P.11-4 13 1,238,256 0.10 N.S	.0200 NS		
10q- 45 83558,320 109,743,759 q23.1q25.1 R P II-535H04 R P II-759H24 238 26,224,439 0.20 NS 12q+ 46 39,241,532 40473,9448 q12 R P II-42522 R P II-80R P II-4 18 1238/256 0.10 NS	NS		KCNK9, NIBP, PTK2/FAK, PTF
12q+ 46 39/241592 40,479,848 q12 RP 1142522 RP 114810RP 114 13 1/238/256 0.10 NS	NS		PTEN
	NS		
47 41,647555 41,647555 42,431171 472 PD 11/22715 PD 11/22/PD 119 4 555.776 01 NS	NS		
49 45222971 47280459 g13:11 RP 11-755620 RP 11-8106 14 21 2.037/488 0.12 NS	NS		
50 47,315,713 48,862,941 q B.11-q B.8 RP 11-270,009 RP 11-41RP 11-4 15 1547,228 0.11 NS	NS		
51 49,766,28 53,769,742 q13.13-q13.2 RP 11-5736.09 RP 11-3210.04 39 4,003,614 0.21 NS	0.0380	NS	
52 54,124,235 71,253,084 q132-q21.1 RP 11-222A 5 RP 11-3496.03 170 17,128,799 0.17 NS	NS		MDM2
17p- 53 433,730 5,025,418 p132-p133 RP11-411607 CTD-2103L01 42 4,591,688 0.19 NS	NS		
54 5748205 657615 P15.1612 RP1328605 RP11417720 5 1557506 0.11 00210 002	.0210 NS		- 52
56 7,973722 8,172436 513.1 PD 1126107 PD 112623013 6 969,530 0.0 0050 000	NS NS		<i>p</i> 55
57 8633360 93109 p131 RP11476423 RP11659 7 7 657,849 0.11 NS	NS		
17q+ 58 28,335,370 34,341,092 q112-q12 RP 11-529C 08 RP 11-154N 09 49 6,005,722 0.12 0.0030 0.01	.0170 NS		
59 35,15,643 37,009,923 q12-q212 RP 11-610022 RP 11-6191/4 16 1,894,280 0.12 0.0030 0.01	.0170 NS		
60 40291911 49,011,357 q21.31-q22 RP 11-419E 16 RP 11-577113 89 8,719,446 0.13 0.0120 0.03	.0370 NS		
61 50,468,06 53,853,636 q22 RP 11-767L04 RP 11-520A23 33 3,385,530 0.12 NS	NS		ZNF 161
62 58325527 62,428,590 q232-q242 RP 11-579J02 RP 11-6225 22 48 4,103,063 0.13 0.0310 0.015	.0190 NS		
64 73.419.361 77.534.969 0.253 Rp 1L172/17 Pb 11-7/24/22 24 4.106.609 0.11 0.020 0.00	0070 NS		
180+ 65 35421 5060.997 b1121b1132 RP 1683L23 RP 1692N 7 112 5025576 0.23 NS	NS NS		1
18q + 66 16,793,577 59,23,838 q11.1q21.33 RP 11-063P 19 RP 11-796C 21 370 42,330,261 0.25 NS	NS		BCL2
67 60284518 61,729287 q22.1 RP 11-252H 14 RP 11-2840 06 11 1,444,769 0.10 NS	NS		
68 63,894,258 64,56,108 q22.1 RP 11-2551.11 RP 11-261M 15 2 261,850 0.10 NS	NS		
69 70,236,233 76,098,439 q22.3-q23 RP 11-277E 17 RP 11-5650 23 50 5,862,206 0.12 NS	NS		
19p- 70 8566514 8784732 p132 RP1H082E05 RP1H0H08 6 218278 0.13 NS	NS		

cases in intersection analysis (Data based on NCBI build 36.1)

Table 3. Detailed information on high-level amplicons in the 106 FL cohort (Databased on NCBI build 36.1).

Region	DNA coordinates	Genes of interest	# of incidents
18q12.2	33,040,900-33,600,413	BRUNOL4	7
1p11.2-p12	119,415,209-120,497,765	NOTCH2	2
1q21.1-q22	143,222,462-153,482,569	PIAS3, BCL9, MCL1, IL6R, ADAM15, TNFAIP8L2, mir-554, mir-190b, mir-92b	1
1q23.2-q23.3	158,419,998-161,795,584	PEX19, DDR2, UHMK1, mir-556	1
1q23.3	159,989,344-161,290,912	DDR2, UHMK1, mir-556	1
12p11.21-p12.3	18,053,500-31,175,376	RERGL, PIK3C2G, KRAS, RASSF8, SSPN, mir-920	1
12q13.3-q21.1	56,316,396-72,147,648	OS9, TSPAN31, CDK4, RASSF3, TBK1, WIF1, DYRK2, IL22, MDM2, RAP1B, YEATS4, FRS2, RAB21, mir-548c	1
18q21.1	42,698,818-42,831,630		1
Xp11.4	37,696,318-40,787,875		1
Xp11.3	42,402,004-42,902,505		1
Xp11.1	57,834,572-58,333,582		1

Figure legends

Figure 1. Composite frequency ideogram plot of genome-wide copy number alterations in 106 diagnostic FL cases based on intersection analysis. (A) The frequencies of aberrations, represented by green signals for losses and red signals for gains, in the autosomes were derived from intersection analysis, where the union was taken between calls made visually by a cytogenetic pathologist and those determined by CNA-HMMer v0.1. (B) Composite frequency ideogram plot showing only those aberrations affecting \geq 10% cases. The data were visualized using the SeeGH software. Genetic losses or gains are represented by green and red signals, respectively. The horizontal bar below each ideogram represents gain and loss frequencies of +0.25 and -0.25, respectively.

Figure 2. Correlation analysis of the 71 regional aberrations with clinical data. A) Kaplan-Meier survival of ID#1 [del(1)(p36.22-p36.33)] and #20 [del(6)(q21-q24.3)], and B) transformation graphs of ID#1 [del(1)(p36.22-p36.33)] and #20 [del(6)(q21-q24.3)]. Log-rank test was performed to assess significance ($p \le 0.05$).

Figure 3. **Array CGH and FISH correlation of 1p36.3 and 6q23.3**. A) FISH validation of the 1p36.22-p36.33 region (ID# 1) which presented significant correlation with clinical outcome. Array CGH (upper panel) and FISH (lower panel) demonstrating a case without deletion at 1p36.3 (normal), a case with heterozygous deletion at 1p36.3, and a case with homozygous deletion at 1p36.3. The 1p36.32 probe was labeled red while the control probe at 1q32 was labeled green. B) A composite array CGH ideogram

profile of 6q alterations affecting \geq 10% and \geq 15% of FL cases was generated by intersection analysis. The alteration indicated by the black box in the 15% ideogram corresponds to the 6q23.3 region targeted for FISH validation. C) FISH validation of the 6q23.3 region (ID# 20) which presented significant correlation with clinical outcome. Array CGH (upper panel) and FISH (lower panel) demonstrating a case with homozygous deletion and a case with homozygous deletion at 6q23.3 and proximal and distal heterozygous deletion. The 1p36.3 and 6q23.3 probes were labeled red while the control probe at 1q32.3 and 6p12.3 were labeled green. Green arrows in FISH indicate the presence of heterozygous deletion while yellow arrows indicate the presence of homozygous deletion. For array CGH, each dot represents a BAC clone and the light blue lines represent visual calling of aberrations. Loss is indicated by a shift to the left of center and gain by a shift to the right of center. Vertical lines are -1 and +1 scale bars of log₂ ratios. "Comp" refers to the HMM computational analysis of aberration frequency.

Figure 4. Cluster analysis of BAC array clones from the 71 regional aberrations in **106 cases.** The K-medoids algorithm (where K=5) was applied to cluster both 106 cases (x-axis) and 4,912 BAC clones derived from 71 regions of aberrations. Four distinct clusters, +1q, +6p and 6q-, +7, and +18, were identified. The rest exhibited no obvious pattern of aberrations.