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Abstract

Exploring an online conversation can be very difﬁcult for a user, especially when it becomes a long complex thread. We follow a human-centered design approach to tightly integrate text mining methods with interactive visualization techniques to support the users in fulﬁlling their information needs. The resulting visual text analytic system provides multifaceted exploration of asynchronous conversations. We discuss a number of open challenges and possible directions for further improvement including the integration of interactive human feedback in the text mining loop, applying more advanced text analysis methods with visualization techniques, and evaluating the system with real users.

1 Introduction

With the rapid adoption of Web-based social media, asynchronous online conversations are becoming extremely common for supporting communication and collaboration. An asynchronous conversation such as a blog may start with a news article or an editorial opinion, and later generate a long and complex thread as comments are added by the participants (Carenini et al., 2011). Consider a scenario, where a reader opens a blog conversation about Obama’s healthcare policy. The reader wants to know why people are supporting or opposing ObamaCare. However, since some related discussion topics like student loan and job recession are introduced, the reader ﬁnds it hard to keep track of the comments about ObamaCare, which end up being buried in the long discussion. This may lead to an information overload problem, where the reader gets overwhelmed, starts to skip comments, and eventually leaves the conversation without satisfying her information needs (Jones et al., 2004).

How can we support the user in performing this and similar information seeking tasks? Arguably, supporting this task requires tight integration between Natural Language Processing (NLP) and information visualization (InfoVis) techniques, but what speciﬁc text analysis methods should be applied? What metadata of the conversation could be useful to the user? How this data should be visualized to the user? And even more importantly, how NLP and InfoVis techniques should be effectively integrated? Our hypothesis is that to answer these questions effectively, we need to apply human-centered design methodologies originally devised for generic InfoVis (e.g., (Munzner, 2009; Sedlmair et al., 2012)). Starting from an analysis of user behaviours and needs in the target conversational domain, such methods help uncover useful task and data abstractions that can guide system design. On the one hand, task and data abstractions can characterize the type of information that needs to be extracted from the conversation; on the other hand, they can inform the design of the visual encodings and interaction techniques. More tellingly, as both the NLP and the InfoVis components of the resulting system refer to a common set of task and data abstractions, they are more likely to be consistent and synergistic.

We have explored this hypothesis in developing ConVis, a visual analytic system to support the interactive analysis of blog conversations. In the ﬁrst part of the paper, we describe the development of ConVis, from characterizing the domain of blogs, its users, tasks and data, to designing and implementing speciﬁc NLP and InfoVis techniques informed by our user-centered design. In the second part of the paper, starting from an informal evaluation of ConVis and a comprehensive literature review, we discuss several ideas on how ConVis (and similar systems) could be further improved and tested. These include the integration of interactive human feedback in the text mining techniques.
(which are based on Machine Learning), the coupling of even more advanced NLP methods with the InfoVis techniques, and the challenges in running evaluations of ConVis and similar interfaces.

2 Related Work

While in the last decade, NLP and InfoVis methods have been investigated to support the user in making sense of conversational data, most of this work has been limited in several ways.

For example, earlier works on visualizing asynchronous conversations primarily investigated how to reveal the thread structure of a conversation using tree visualization techniques, such as using a mixed-model visualization to show both chronological sequence and reply relationships (Venolia and Neustaedter, 2003), thumbnail metaphor using a sequence of rectangles (Wattenberg and Millen, 2003; Kerr, 2003), and radial tree layout (Pascual-Cid and Kaltenbrunner, 2009). However, such visualizations did not focus on analysing the actual content (i.e., the text) of the conversations, which is something that according to our user-centred design users are very interested in.

On the other hand, text mining approaches that perform content analysis of the conversations, such as finding primary themes (or topics) within conversations (Sack, 2000; Dave et al., 2004), or visualizing the content evolution over time (Wei et al., 2010; Viégas et al., 2006), often did not derive their visual encodings and interactive techniques on task and data abstractions based on a detailed analysis of specific user needs and requirements in the target domains.

Furthermore, more on the technical side, the text analysis methods employed by these approaches are not designed to exploit the specific characteristics of asynchronous conversations (e.g., use of quotation). Recently, (Joty et al., 2013b) has shown that topic segmentation and labeling models are more accurate when these specific characteristics are taken into account. The methods presented in (Joty et al., 2013b) are adopted in ConVis.

In general, to the best of our knowledge, no previous work has applied user-centred design to tightly integrate text mining methods with interactive visualization in the domain of asynchronous conversations.

3 Domains and User Activities

Conversational domains: The phenomenal adoption of novel Web-based social media has lead to the rise of textual conversations in many different modalities. While email remains a fundamental way of communicating for most people, other conversational modalities such as blogs, microblogs (e.g., Twitter) and discussion fora have quickly become widely popular. Since the nature of data and tasks may vary significantly from one domain to the other, rather than trying to build an one-size-fit-all interface, we follow a design methodology that is driven by modeling the tasks and usage characteristics in a specific domain.

In this work, we focus on blogs, where people can express their thoughts and engage in online discussions. Due to the large number of comments with complex thread structure (Joty et al., 2013b), mining and visualizing blog conversations can become a challenging problem. However, the visualization can be effective for other threaded discussions (e.g., news story, Youtube video).

Users: As shown in Table 1, blog users can be categorized into two groups based on their activities: (a) participants who already contributed to the conversations, and (b) non-participants who wish to join the conversations or analyze the conversations. Depending on different user groups the tasks might vary as well, something that needs to be taken into account in the design process.

For example, imagine a participant who has expressed her opinion about a major political issue. After some time, she may become interested to know what comments were made supporting or opposing her opinion, and whether those comments require a reply right away. On the contrary, a non-participant, who is interested in joining the ongoing conversation on that particular political issue, may want to decide whether and how she should contribute by quickly skimming through a long thread of blog comments. Another group of users may include the analysts, a policy maker for instance, who does not wish to join the conversation, but may want to make an informed decision based on a summary of arguments used to support or oppose the political issue.

Once the conversation becomes inactive (i.e., no further comments are added), still a distinction may remain between the activities of participants and non-participants on tasks (see Table 1). In our work, we have initially concentrated on supporting
Table 1: User categorization for asynchronous conversation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User Types</th>
<th>Ongoing Conversation</th>
<th>Inactive/Past Conversation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participant</td>
<td>Already joined the conversation (wants to get updated and possibly make new comments)</td>
<td>Wants to delve into the past conversations and re-examine what was discussed, what she commented on, what other people replied, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-participant</td>
<td>Potential participant (wants to join the conversation) Analyst (wants to analyze the ongoing conversation, but does not intend to join)</td>
<td>Wants to analyze and gain insight about the past conversation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The non-participant’s activity on an inactive conversation (as opposed to an ongoing conversation).

4 Designing ConVis: From Tasks to NLP and InfoVis Techniques

We now briefly describe our design approach for integrating text mining techniques with interactive visualization in ConVis. We first characterize the domain of blogs and perform the data and tasks abstraction according to the nested model of design study (Munzner, 2009). We then mine the data as appeared to be essential from that data and task analysis, followed by iteratively refining the design of ConVis that aims to effectively support the identified blog reading tasks (A more detailed analysis of the task abstractions and visual design is provided in (Hoque and Carenini, 2014)).

4.1 Tasks

To understand the blog reading tasks, we reviewed the literature focusing on why and how people read blogs. From the analysis, we found that the primary goals of reading blogs include information seeking, fact checking, guidance/opinion seeking, and political surveillance (Kaye, 2005). People may also read blogs to connect to their communities of interest (Dave et al., 2004; Mishne, 2006), or just for fun/enjoyment (Baumer et al., 2008; Kaye, 2005).

Some studies have also revealed interesting behavioural patterns of blog readers. For example, people often look for variety of opinions and have tendencies to switch from one topic to another quickly (Singh et al., 2010; Munson and Resnick, 2010). In addition, they often exhibit exploratory behaviour, i.e., they quickly skim through a few posts about a topic before delving deeper into its details (Zinman, 2011). Therefore, the interface should facilitate open-ended exploration, by providing navigational cues that help the user to seek interesting comments.

From the analyses of primary goals of blog reading, we compile a list of tasks and the associated data variables that one would wish to visualize for these tasks. These tasks can be framed as a set of questions, for instance, ‘what do people say about topic X?’, ‘how other people’s viewpoints differ from my current viewpoint on topic X?’, ‘what are some interesting/funny comments to read?’ We then identify the primary data variables involved in these tasks and their abstract types. For instance, most of these questions involve topics discussed and sentiments expressed in the conversation. Note that some questions may additionally require to know people-centric information and relate such information to the visualization design. We also identify a set of metadata to be useful cues for navigating a conversation (the position of the comments, thread structure, and comment length) (Narayan and Cheshire, 2010; Baumer et al., 2008). We choose to encode the position of the comments (ordinal) as opposed to their timestamps (quantitative); since the exact timestamp of a comment is less important to users than its chronological position with respect to the other comments (Baumer et al., 2008).

4.2 Text Analysis

Since most of the blog reading tasks we identified involved topics and sentiments expressed in the conversation, we applied both topic modeling and sentiment analysis on a given conversation.

In topic modeling, we group the sentences of a blog conversation into a number of topical clusters and label each cluster by assigning a short informative topic descriptor (i.e., a keyphrase). To find the topical clusters and their associated labels, we apply the topic segmentation and labeling models recently proposed by (Joty et al., 2013b) for asynchronous conversations, and successfully evaluated on email and blog datasets. More specifically, for topic segmentation, we use their best unsupervised topic segmentation model LCSeg+FQG, which extends the generic lexical cohesion based topic segmenter (LCSeg) (Galley...
et al., 2003) to consider a fine-grain conversational structure of the conversation, i.e., the Fragment Quotation Graph (FQG) (Carenini et al., 2007). The FQG captures the reply relations between text fragments, which are extracted by analyzing the actual body of the comments, thus provides a finer representation of the conversation than the reply-to structure. Similarly, the topic labels are found by using their best unsupervised graph-based ranking model (i.e., BiasedCorank) that extracts representative keyphrases for each topical segment by combining informative clues from initial sentences of the segment and the fine-grain conversational structure, i.e., the FQG.

For sentiment analysis, we apply the Semantic Orientation CALculator (SO-CAL) (Taboada et al., 2011), which is a lexicon-based approach (i.e., unsupervised) for determining sentiment of a text. Its performance is consistent across various domains and on completely unseen data, thus making a suitable tool for our purpose. We define five different polarity intervals (-2 to +2), and for each comment we count how many sentences fall in any of these polarity intervals to compute the polarity distribution for that comment.

While designing and implementing ConVis, we have been mainly working with blog conversations from two different sources: Slashdot1—a technology related blog site, and Daily Kos2—a political analysis blog site.

4.3 Designing Interactive Visualization

Upon identifying the tasks and data variables, we design the visual encoding and user interactions. Figure 1 shows an initial prototype of ConVis. It is designed as an overview + details interface, since it has been found to be more effective for text comprehension tasks than other approaches such as zooming and focus+context (Cockburn et al., 2008). The overview consists of what was discussed by whom (i.e., topics and authors) and a visual summary of the whole conversation (i.e., the Thread Overview), while the detailed view represents the actual conversation. The Thread Overview visually represents each comment of the discussion as a horizontal stacked bar, where each stacked bar encodes three different metadata (comment length, position of the comment in the thread, and depth of the comment within the thread). To express the sentiment distribution within a comment, the number of sentences that belong to a particular sentiment orientation is indicated by the width of each cell within a stacked bar. A set of five diverging colors was used to visualize this distribution in a perceptually meaningful order, ranging from purple (highly negative) to orange (highly positive). Thus, the distribution of colors in the Thread Overview can help the user to perceive the kind of conversation they are going to deal with. For example, if the Thread Overview is

---

1http://slashdot.org
2http://www.dailykos.com

---

3https://www.cs.ubc.ca/cs-research/lci/research-groups/natural-language-processing/ConVis.html
mostly in strong purple color, then the conversation has many negative comments.

The primary facets of the conversations, namely topics and authors are presented in a circular layout around the Thread Overview. Both topics and authors are positioned according to their chronological order in the conversation starting from the top, allowing the user to understand how the conversation evolves as the discussion progresses. The font size of facet items helps the user to quickly identify what are the mostly discussed themes and who are the most dominant participants within a conversation. Finally, the facet elements are connected to their corresponding comments in the Thread Overview via subtle curved links indicating topic-comment-author relationships. While a common way to relate various elements in multiple views is synchronized visual highlighting, we choose visual links to connect related entities. This was motivated by the findings that users can locate visually linked elements in complex visualizations more quickly and with greater subjective satisfaction than plain highlighting (Steinberger et al., 2011). Finally, the Conversation View displays the actual text of the comments in the discussion as a scrollable list. At the left side of each comment, the following metadata are presented: title, author name, photo, and a stacked bar representing the sentiment distribution (mirrored from Thread Overview).

Exploring Conversations: ConVis supports multi-faceted exploration of conversations through a set of lightweight interactions (Lam, 2008) that can be easily triggered without causing drastic modifications to the visual encoding. The user can explore interesting topics/authors by hovering the mouse on them, which highlights the connecting curved links and related comments in the Thread Overview (see Figure 1). As such, one can quickly understand how multiple facet elements are related, which is useful for the tasks that require the user to interpret the relationships between facets. If the reader becomes further interested in specific topic/author, she can subsequently click on it, resulting in drawing a thick vertical outline next to the corresponding comments in the Thread Overview. Such outlines are also mirrored in the Conversation View. Moreover, the user can select multiple facet items (for instance a topic and an author) to quickly understand who said about what topics.

Besides exploring by the topics/authors, the reader can browse individual comments by hovering and clicking on them in the Thread Overview, that causes to highlight its topic and scrolling to the relevant comment in the Conversation View. Thus, the user can easily locate the comments that belong to a particular topic and/or author. Moreover, the keyphrases of the relevant topic and sentiments are highlighted in the Conversation View upon selection, providing more details on demand about what makes a particular comment positive/negative or how it is related to a particular topic.

5 Further Challenges and Directions

After implementing the prototype, we ran an informal evaluation (Lam et al., 2012) with five target users (age range 18 to 24, 2 female) to evaluate the higher levels of the nested model (Munzner, 2009), where the aim was to collect anecdotal evidence that the system met its design goals. The participants’ feedback from our evaluation suggests that ConVis can help the user to identify the topics and opinions expressed in the conversation; supporting the user in exploring comments of interest, even if they are buried near the end of the thread. We also identified further challenges from the observations and participants feedback. Based on our experience and literature review, we provide potential directions to address these challenges as we describe below.

5.1 Human in the Loop: Interactive Topic Revision

Although the topic modeling method we applied enhances the accuracy over traditional methods for non-conversational text, the informal evaluation reveals that still the extracted topics may not always match user’s information need. In some cases, the results of topic modeling can mismatch with the reference set of topics/concepts described by human (Chuang et al., 2013). Even the interpretations of topics can vary among people according to expertise and the current task in hand. In fact, during topic annotations by human experts, there was considerable disagreement on the number of topics and on the assignment of sentences to topic clusters (Joty et al., 2013b). Depending on user’s mental model and current tasks, the topic modeling results may require to be more specific in some cases, and more generic in other cases. As such, the topic model needs to be revised based
on user feedback to better support her analysis tasks. Thus, our goal is to support a human-in-the-loop topic modeling for asynchronous conversations via interactive visualization.

There have been some recent works for incorporating user supervision in probabilistic topic models (e.g., Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA)) by adding constraints in the form of must-link and cannot-link (Andrzejewski et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2011), or in the form of a one-to-one mapping between LDA’s latent topics and user tags (Ramage et al., 2009). Injection of users feedback has been also supported through visualizations, that steers a semi-supervised topic modeling method (Choo et al., 2013).

In contrast to the above-mentioned methods that are designed for generic documents, we are focusing on how our topic modeling approach that is specific to asynchronous conversations, can be steered by the end-users. We are planning to combine a visual interface for expressing the user’s intention via a set of actions, and a semi-supervised version of the topic model that can be iteratively refined from such user actions.

A set of possible topic revision operations are shown in Figure 2. Splitting a topic into further sub-topics can be useful when the user wants to explore the conversation at a finer-topic granularity (Figure 2(a)). A merging operation serves the opposite purpose, i.e., when the user wants to analyze the conversation at a coarser topic granularity (Figure 2(b)). Together, these two operations are intended to help the user in dynamically changing the granularity levels of different topics.

Since each topic is currently represented by a set of keyphrases, they can also be effectively used to revise the topic model. Consider an example, where the sentences related to two different keyphrases, namely ‘Obama health policy’ and ‘job recession’ are grouped together under the same topic. The user may realize that the sentences related to ‘job recession’ should have been separated from its original topic into a new one (Figure 2(c)). Finally, topic assignment modification can be performed, when the domain expert believes that a group of sentences are wrongly grouped/clustered (Figure 2(d)) by the system.

In order to design the interactive visualization and algorithms for incorporating user feedback, a number of open questions need to be answered. Some of these questions are related to the user requirement analysis of the problem domain, e.g., what are the tasks for exploring asynchronous conversation that require the introduction of user feedback to refine the topic model? What data should be shown to the user to help her decide what topic refinement actions are appropriate?

In terms of designing the set of interaction techniques, the aim is to define a minimum set of model refinement operations, and allowing the user to express these operations from the visual interface in a way that enhances the ability to provide feedback. A domain expert could possibly express these operations through the direct manipulation method (e.g., dragging a topic node over another). A related open question is: how can we minimize the cognitive load associated with interpreting the modeling results and deciding the next round of topic revision operations?

From the algorithmic perspective, the most crucial challenge seems to be devising an efficient semi-supervised method in the current graph-based topic segmentation and labeling framework (Joty et al., 2013b). It needs to be fast enough to respond to the user refinement actions and update results in an acceptable period of time. In addition, determining the number of topics is a challenging problem when running the initial model and when splitting a topic further.

5.2 Coupling Advanced NLP Methods with Interactive Visualizations

In light of the informal evaluation, we also investigate how current NLP methods are supporting the tasks we identified and what additional methods could be incorporated? For example, one of the crucial data variable in most of the tasks is opin-
ion. However, during the evaluation two users did not find the current sentiment analysis sufficient enough in revealing whether a comment is supporting/ opposing a preceding one. It seems that opinion seeking tasks (e.g., ‘why people were supporting or opposing an opinion?’) would require the reader to know the argumentation flow within the conversation, namely the rhetorical structure of each comment (Joty et al., 2013a) and how these structures are linked to each other.

An early work (Yee and Hearst, 2005) attempted to organize the comments using a treemap like layout, where the parent comment is placed on top as a text block and the space below the parent node is divided between supporting and opposing statements. We plan to follow this idea in ConVis, but incorporating a higher level discourse relation analysis of the conversations and detecting controversial topics.

Incorporating additional complex text analysis results into the visualization may require us to revisit some of the higher levels of the nested model, i.e., data abstraction and visual encoding. It may impose further tradeoffs for visual encoding; for instance how can we visually represent the argumentation structure within a conversation? How can we represent such structure, while preserving the data already found to be useful such as topic and thread structure? How can we represent that a topic is controversial? Besides text analysis results, some additional facets can become more useful to the participants (e.g., moderation scores, named entities), while an existing facet being less useful. In such cases, allowing the user to dynamically change the facets of interest can be useful.

5.3 Evaluation in the Wild

While controlled experiments allow us to measure the user performance on specific tasks for the given interface, they may not accurately capture real world uses scenario (Lam et al., 2012). In this context, an ecologically valid evaluation of ConVis would be to allow the users to use the system to read their own conversations of interest over an extended period of time. Such longitudinal study would provide valuable insights regarding the utility of the interface.

Evaluating the topic refinement approach for asynchronous conversation can be even more challenging. An initial approach could be to formulate some quantitative evaluation metrics, that help us understand whether the iterative feedback from the user would improve the resultant topic model in terms of agreement with the reference set of topics described by human annotators. However, such approach would not capture the subjective differences of the users in interpreting the topic model. It would be more interesting to see, how much users would actually care about providing the feedback to refine the model in a real world scenario? What refinement operations would be performed more often? Would these operations eventually support the user to perform some analysis tasks more effectively?

6 Conclusions

Understanding the user behaviours, needs, and requirements in the target domain is critical in effectively combining NLP and InfoVis techniques. In this paper, we apply a visualization design method (Munzner, 2009) to identify what information should be mined from the conversation as well as how the visual encoding and interaction techniques should be designed. We claim that the NLP and the InfoVis components of the resulting system, ConVis, are more consistent and better integrated, because they refer to a common set of task and data abstractions. In future work, we aim to explore a set of open challenges that were motivated by an initial informal evaluation of ConVis.
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