

Included with the assignment is incomplete C++ code for simulating gravitational  $n$ -body problems. It currently has code to integrate the equations with a simple Verlet method, a fixed time step, and the brute force  $O(n^2)$  evaluation of gravitational forces. By default  $n$  bodies are placed randomly in an ellipse, with a velocity that would match circular orbits in the two body case.

- (1) Replace the Verlet integrator with classic 4th order Runge-Kutta. Define a simple test case, with  $n$  reasonably small, the initial conditions fixed, and a particular end-time. Verify numerically that you achieve 4th order convergence by running with some large  $\Delta t$ , then  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta t$ , then  $\frac{1}{4}\Delta t$ , then  $\frac{1}{8}\Delta t$ , etc. and assuming that the smallest timestep is close to exact to estimate the error for each step size.
- (2) Compare the efficiency of 4th order Runge-Kutta to 4th order Adams-Bashforth: implement AB4 and, for your test case, determine a step size for AB4 that leads to roughly the same error as RK4 at  $\Delta t$ . Which is faster?
- (3) The code also provides a class which constructs a kd-tree suitable for Barnes-Hut. However, it is missing the actual fast recursive gravity approximation: fill this in. Verify that the Barnes-Hut accelerations are accurate compared to the brute force accelerations.
- (4) (pure theory) Determine the monotonicity condition for the following implicit second order Runge-Kutta method:

$$\begin{aligned}y_{n+1/2} &= y_n + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t f(y_{n+1}, t_{n+1}) \\ y_{n+1} &= y_n + \Delta t f(y_{n+1/2}, t_{n+1/2})\end{aligned}$$

That is, when you plug in the test equation with  $\lambda$  a real negative number, how small must  $\Delta t$  be to ensure the numerical solution monotonically decays to zero?