

Notes

- Errors in last lecture - missing density in viscosity terms:

- Incompressible Navier-Stokes is

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = g + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \mu (\nabla u + \nabla u^T)$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0$$

- With constant viscosity, momentum equation is

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = g + \frac{\mu}{\rho} \nabla^2 u$$

- Often (particularly if density is constant) take parameter $\nu = \mu/\rho$ to get

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = g + \nu \nabla^2 u$$

Nondimensional parameters

- $Re = UL/\nu$ is the Reynold's number
 - The smaller it is, the more viscosity plays a role in the flow
 - High Reynold's numbers are hard to simulate
- $Fr = U/\sqrt{|g|L}$ is the Froude number
 - The smaller it is, the more gravity plays a role in the flow
 - Note: often can ignore gravity (pressure gradient cancels it out)

Nondimensionalization

- Actually go even further
 - Select a characteristic length L
 - e.g. the width of the domain,
 - And a typical velocity U
 - e.g. the speed of the incoming flow
 - Rescale terms
 - $x' = x/L$, $u' = u/U$, $t' = tU/L$, $p' = p/\rho U^2$
so they all are dimensionless
- $$u'_t + u' \cdot \nabla u' + \nabla p' = \frac{Lg}{U^2} + \frac{\nu}{UL} \nabla^2 u'$$

Vorticity

- Last class: irrotational flow
 - And simplification to potential flow
- How do we measure rotation?
 - Vorticity of a vector field (velocity) is: $\omega = \nabla \times u$
 - Proportional (but not equal) to angular velocity of a rigid body - off by a factor of 2
- Visualization of potential flow is fairly boring
 - It's as smooth as possible, laminar
 - Vorticity is what makes flow look cool
 - (Or free surfaces...)

Vorticity equation

- Start with N-S, constant viscosity and density

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = g + \nu \nabla^2 u$$

- Take curl of whole equation

$$\nabla \times u_t + \nabla \times (u \cdot \nabla u) + \nabla \times \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = \nabla \times g + \nabla \times (\nu \nabla^2 u)$$

- Lots of terms are zero:

- g is constant (or the potential of some field)
- With constant density, pressure term too

$$\nabla \times u_t + \nabla \times (u \cdot \nabla u) = \nu \nabla \times \nabla^2 u$$

- Then use vector identities to simplify...

$$\nabla \times u_t + \nabla \times ((\nabla \times u) \times u + \frac{1}{2} \nabla u^2) = \nu \nabla^2 (\nabla \times u)$$

$$\omega_t + \nabla \times (\omega \times u) = \nu \nabla^2 \omega$$

Potential in time

- Use vector identity $u \cdot \nabla u = (\nabla \times u) \times u + \nabla (|u|^2/2)$
- Assume
 - incompressible ($\nabla \cdot u = 0$), inviscid, irrotational ($\nabla \times u = 0$)
 - constant density
 - thus potential flow ($u = \nabla \phi$, $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$)
- Then momentum equation simplifies (using $G = -gy$ for gravitational potential)

$$u_t + (\nabla \times u) \times u + \frac{1}{2} \nabla |u|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = g$$

$$\nabla \phi_t + \frac{1}{2} \nabla |u|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = -\nabla G$$

Vorticity equation continued

- Simplify with more vector identities, and assume incompressible to get:

$$\frac{D\omega}{Dt} = \omega \cdot \nabla u + \nu \nabla^2 \omega$$

- Important result: Kelvin Circulation Theorem
 - Roughly speaking: if $\omega = 0$ initially, and there's no viscosity, $\omega = 0$ forever after (following a chunk of fluid)
- If fluid starts off irrotational, it will stay that way (in many circumstances)
- So potential flow is reasonable

Bernoulli's equation

- Every term in the simplified momentum equation is a gradient: integrate to get

$$\phi_t + \frac{1}{2} u^2 + \frac{p}{\rho} = -G$$

- (Remember Bernoulli's law for pressure?)
- This tells us how the potential should evolve in time

Water waves

- For small waves (no breaking), can reduce geometry of water to 2D heightfield
- Can reduce the physics to 2D also
 - How do surface waves propagate?
- Plan of attack
 - Start with potential flow, Bernoulli's equation
 - Write down boundary conditions at water surface
 - Simplify 3D structure to 2D

Set up

- We'll take $y=0$ as the height of the water at rest
- H is the depth ($y=-H$ is the sea bottom)
- h is the current height of the water at (x,z)
- Simplification: velocities very small (small amplitude waves)

Boundaries

- At sea floor ($y=-H$), $v=0$ $\phi_y = 0$
- At sea surface ($y=h \approx 0$), $v=h_t$
 - Note again - assuming very small horizontal motion $\phi_y = h_t$
- At sea surface ($y=h \approx 0$), $p=0$
 - Or atmospheric pressure, but we only care about pressure differences
 - Use Bernoulli's equation, throw out small velocity squared term, use $p=0$,
 $\phi_t = -gh$

Finding a wave solution

- Plug in $\phi=f(y)\sin(K \cdot (x,z)-\omega t)$
 - In other words, do a Fourier analysis in horizontal component, assume nothing much happens in vertical
 - Solving $\nabla^2 \phi=0$ with boundary conditions on ϕ_y gives
- Pressure boundary condition then gives (with k the magnitude of K)

$$\phi = A \frac{\omega}{|K|} \frac{\cosh(|K|(y+H))}{\sinh(|K|H)} \sin(K \cdot (x,z) - \omega t)$$

$$\omega = \sqrt{gk \tanh kH}$$

Dispersion relation

- So the wave speed c is

$$c = \frac{\omega}{k} = \sqrt{\frac{g}{k} \tanh kH}$$

- Notice that waves of different wave-numbers k have different speeds
 - Separate or disperse in time
- For deep water (H big, k reasonable -- not tidal waves!) $\tanh(kH) \approx 1$

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{g}{k}}$$

Energy spectrum

- Fourier decomposition of height field:

$$h(x, z, t) = \sum_{i,j} \hat{h}(i, j, t) e^{\sqrt{-1}(i,j) \cdot (x,z)}$$

- “Energy” in $K=(i,j)$ is $S(K) = |\hat{h}(K)|^2$
- Oceanographic measurements have found models for expected value of $S(K)$ (statistical description)

Simulating the ocean

- So far from land, a reasonable thing to do is
 - Do Fourier decomposition of initial surface height
 - Evolve each wave according to given wave speed (dispersion relation)
 - Update phase, use FFT to evaluate
- How do we get the initial spectrum?
 - Measure it! (oceanography)

Phillips Spectrum

- For a “fully developed” sea
 - wind has been blowing a long time over a large area, statistical distribution of spectrum has stabilized
- The Phillips spectrum is: [Tessendorf...]

$$S(K) = A \frac{1}{k^4} \exp\left(\frac{-1}{(kL)^2} - (kl)^2\right) \left(\frac{|K \cdot W|}{|K||W|}\right)^2$$

- A is an arbitrary amplitude
- $L=|W|^2/g$ is largest size of waves due to wind velocity W and gravity g
- Little l is the smallest length scale you want to model

Other spectra

- More complex models such as JONSWAP
 - Sea is never fully developed, need to take into account how far from land you are
- Or make up your own

Time evolution

- Dispersion relation gives us $\omega(K)$
- At time t , want
$$h(x,t) = \sum_{K=(i,j)} \hat{h}(K,0) e^{\sqrt{-1}(K \cdot x - \omega t)}$$
$$= \sum_{K=(i,j)} \hat{h}(K,0) e^{-\sqrt{-1}\omega t} e^{\sqrt{-1}K \cdot x}$$
- So then coefficients at time t are
 - For $j \geq 0$: $\hat{h}(i,j,t) = \hat{h}(i,j,0) e^{-\sqrt{-1}\omega t}$
 - Others: figure out from conjugacy condition (or leave it up to real-valued FFT to fill them in)

Fourier synthesis

- From the prescribed $S(K)$, generate actual Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{h}(K,0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_1 + X_2 \sqrt{-1}) \sqrt{S(K)}$$

- X_i is a random number with mean 0, standard deviation 1 (Gaussian)
- Uniform numbers from unit circles aren't terrible either
- Want real-valued h , so must have
$$\hat{h}(K) = \hat{h}(-K)^*$$
- Or give only half the coefficients to FFT routine and specify you want real output

Picking parameters

- Need to fix grid for Fourier synthesis (e.g. 1024x1024 height field grid)
- Grid spacing shouldn't be less than e.g. 2cm (smaller than that - surface tension, nonlinear wave terms, etc. take over)
 - Take little l (cut-off) a few times larger
- Total grid size should be greater than but still comparable to L in Phillips spectrum (depends on wind speed and gravity)
- Amplitude A shouldn't be too large
 - Assumed waves weren't very steep

Note on FFT output

- FFT takes grid of coefficients, outputs grid of heights
- It's up to you to map that grid (0...n-1, 0...n-1) to world-space coordinates
- In practice: scale by something like L/n
 - Adjust scale factor, amplitude, etc. until it looks nice
- Alternatively: look up exactly what your FFT routines computes, figure out the "true" scale factor to get world-space coordinates

Choppy waves

- See Tessendorf for more explanation
- Nonlinearities cause real waves to have sharper peaks and flatter troughs than linear Fourier synthesis gives
- Can manipulate height field to give this effect
 - Distort grid with $(x,z) \rightarrow (x,z) + \lambda D(x,z,t)$

$$D(x,t) = \sum_K -\sqrt{-1} \frac{K}{|K|} \hat{h}(K,t) e^{\sqrt{-1}K \cdot x}$$

Tiling issues

- Resulting grid of waves can be tiled in x and z
- Handy, except people will notice if they can see more than a couple of tiles
- Simple trick: add a second grid with a non-rational multiple of the size
 - Golden mean $(1+\sqrt{5})/2=1.61803\dots$ works well [why?]
 - The sum is no longer periodic, but still can be evaluated anywhere in space and time easily enough

Choppiness problems

- The distorted grid can actually tangle up (Jacobian has negative determinant - not 1-1 anymore)
 - Can detect this, do stuff (add particles for foam, spray?)
- Can't easily use superposition of two grids to defeat periodicity... (but with a big enough grid and camera position chosen well, not an issue)

Issues with Fourier method

- Can't easily handle objects in water
 - E.g. boat wakes, splashes
- One solution: cover up problem with foam
- While dispersion relation works in shallow water too, can't handle beaches...
- Next class: shallow water equations (PDE's)