# CPSC 502 - Fall 2013 <br> Assignment 3 <br> Solution 

## Question 1

Solution (a), (b) seehttp://www.cs.ubc.ca/~poole/cs502/2013/as3/plumbing.xmlfor an AIspace representation.
(c) Give some examples of the interesting things you can do!
(d) there are many more arcs, in particular there are arcs between any pair of nodes $X$ and $Y$ in the new ordering if there were arcs in the original network or if they have common ancestors in the original (causal) belief network that are lower in the total ordering than both $X$ and $Y$ or where there are common descendents (in the original graph) of $X$ and $Y$ that are also parents in the new graph. [This is a convoluted way of using D-separation in the original causal network to determine parents in the inverse network.] You just need to give some examples.

## Question 2

## Solution

(a) To compute $P(e)$, you can sum out $D$ and $F$, and the factors created are just 1's (that is why they can be pruned). I'll ignore these factors.

You start off with the factors $f_{0}(A), f_{1}(B), f_{2}(A, B, C), f_{3}(C, E)$.
Eliminating $A$, you multiply $f_{0}$ and $f_{2}$, and sum out $A$, creating a factor $f_{4}(B, C)$.
Eliminating $B$, you multiply $f_{1}$ and $f_{4}$, sum out $B$, and create a factor $f_{5}(C)$.
Eliminating $C$, you multiply $f_{5}$ and $f_{3}$, sum out $C$, and the resulting factor $f_{6}(E)$ represents $P(E)$.
(b) To compute $P(e \mid \neg f)$, you create a factor (lets call it $f_{7}$ to avoid confusion with the previous part) $f_{7}(C)$. You can prune $D$. Eliminating $A$ and $B$ acts exactly as before, creating the same factors.
Eliminating $C$, you multiply $f_{5}, f_{3}$ and $f_{7}$, sum out $C$, with the resulting factor $f_{8}(E)$. To get the answer, you need to divide each element of $f_{8}$ by $\sum_{E} f_{8}(E)$. This creates $f_{9}(E)$ which represents $P(E \mid \neg f)$.
(c) Everything can be pruned! Or there are lots of tables with just ones, and a constant factor. $P(a \mid d)=$ $P(a)$.
(d) $E$ and $D$ can be pruned.
(e) What I wanted you to notice here is that $D$ is not irrelevant when $F$ is also observed.
(f) When $C, E$ or $F$ are observed and $B$ isn't observed.

## Question 3

Solution In all of these I assume you remove $E$ is it is irrelevant.
(a) Rejection sampling: Sample $B$, then sample $D$, then reject the sample if it doesn't assign $D=$ false. Then sample the rest of the variables. Return the proportion of the non-rejected samples where $F=$ true.
(b) Importance sampling: Sample $B$. Weight those samples where $B=$ true by 0.9 and those samples with $B=$ false by 0.2 . [You can actually do something smarter if you don't sample $B$ by its prior, but use a different proposal distribution.]. Then sample the other variables. Return the weighted average of the samples with $F=$ true.
(c) Particle filtering: create lots of samples (here I will use 1000 as an example). Sample B 1000 times. Weight each sample using $D$ as in importance sampling. Now generate a new 1000 samples, choosing each sample with probability proportional to its weight. Sample the rest of the variables with these new samples, and return the proportion of the samples where $F=$ true .

## Question 4

Solution a) \& b) see http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~poole/cs502/2013/as3/cab.xml
c) One solution is: http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~poole/cs502/2013/as3/cab_collusion. xml
d) one solution is: http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~poole/cs502/2013/as3/cab_accident. xml The rate of accidents was not given and cannot be inferred from the description. I arbitrarily chose $P($ accident $)=0.1$.

## Question 5

Solution There is no right answer for this.

## Question 6

Solution See the wiki for solutions.

```
http://wiki.ubc.ca/Course:CPSC:Artificial_Intelligence
```


## Question 7

