

**CPSC 536N Randomized Algorithms (Term 2, 2012)**  
**Assignment 2**

**Due:** Thursday, March 1st, in class.

---

**Question 1:** In class we discussed the bipartite matching problem. We defined a matrix  $A$  containing variables such that  $\det A$  is identically zero if and only if  $G$  has no perfect matching. Using this fact we gave a randomized algorithm, based on polynomial identity testing, to decide if  $G$  has a perfect matching. The algorithm needs to compute the determinant of an  $n \times n$  numeric matrix, which can be done in  $O(n^{2.38})$  time.

However, that algorithm only says “yes” or “no”; it does not actually produce a perfect matching! Give a randomized algorithm with running time  $O(n^{4.38})$  which can construct a perfect matching if one exists.

---

**Question 2:** Consider adapting the randomized minimum cut algorithm to the problem of finding a minimum  $s$ - $t$  cut in an undirected graph. In this problem, we are given an undirected graph  $G$  together with two distinguished vertices  $s$  and  $t$ . An  $s$ - $t$  cut is a set of edges whose removal from  $G$  disconnects  $s$  and  $t$ ; we seek an  $s$ - $t$  cut of minimum cardinality. As the algorithm proceeds, the vertex  $s$  may get amalgamated into a new vertex as a result of an edge being contracted; we call this vertex the  $s$ -vertex. (Initially the  $s$ -vertex is  $s$  itself.) Similarly, we have a  $t$ -vertex. As we run the contraction algorithm, we ensure that we never contract an edge between the  $s$ -vertex and the  $t$ -vertex.

Show that there are graphs (or multigraphs) in which the probability that this algorithm finds a minimum  $s$ - $t$  cut is exponentially small.

---

**Question 3:** Let  $c \geq 2$  be arbitrary. Let  $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_m$  be events. Let  $k_1, \dots, k_m$  be positive integers such that  $\Pr[\mathcal{E}_i] \leq c^{-3k_i}$  for every  $i$ , and  $|\{i : k_i \leq x\}| \leq c^x$  for all real numbers  $x \geq 1$ . Prove that  $\Pr[\mathcal{E}_1 \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{E}_m] \leq 1/2$ .

---

**Question 4:** When you buy a box of cereal it comes with a randomly chosen toy. Suppose there are  $m$  different types of toys, and the toy in each cereal box is independently and uniformly chosen. In vague terms, the question we’d like to answer is: how many boxes of cereal must you buy in order to collect at least one toy of each type?

More formally, let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent random variables, each uniformly distributed in  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ . We would like to prove that, for some constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , if  $t \geq c_1 m \log m$  then the random set  $\{X_1, \dots, X_t\}$  contains all numbers  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  with probability at least  $1 - m^{-c_2}$ .

Prove this using the **Ahlsvede-Winter** inequality.