

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CPSC 425: Computer Vision



Image Credit: <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corner_detection</u>

(unless otherwise stated slides are taken or adopted from **Bob Woodham, Jim Little** and **Fred Tung**)

Lecture 14: Corner Detection (cont)

Menu for Today (October 9, 2020)

Topics:

- Autocorrelation
- Harris Corner Detector

Redings:

- Today's Lecture: Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) 5.3.0 5.3.1
- Next Lecture: Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) 6.1, 6.3

Reminders:

- No class on **Monday** (it's Thanksgiving Have Fun!)



— Assignment 2: Face Detection in a Scaled Representation is October 14th





Image Credit: Akiyosha Kitoaka







Image Credit: Akiyosha Kitoaka

- Some people see a white and gold dress.
- Some people see a blue and black dress.
- Some people see one interpretation and then switch to the other

https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2015/02/28/science/white-or-blue-dress.html





- Some people see a white and gold dress.
- Some people see a blue and black dress.
- Some people see one interpretation and then switch to the other



https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2015/02/28/science/white-or-blue-dress.html

The basic pattern of the dress







IS THE DRESS IN SHADOW?

If you think the dress is in shadow, your brain may remove the blue cast and perceive the dress as being white and gold.

THE DRESS IN THE PHOTO

If the photograph showed more of the room, or if skin tones were visible, there might have been more clues about the ambient light.



https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2015/02/28/science/white-or-blue-dress.html



IS THE DRESS IN BRIGHT LIGHT?

If you think the dress is being washed out by bright light, your brain may perceive the dress as a darker blue and black.





https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2015/02/28/science/white-or-blue-dress.html

Lecture 13: Re-cap Good Local Features

Local: features are local, robust to occlusion and clutter **Accurate:** precise localization **Robust**: noise, blur, compression, etc. do not have a big impact on the feature. **Distinctive:** individual features can be easily matched **Efficient**: close to real-time performance

A corner can be **localized reliably**.

Thought experiment:

10

- A corner can be **localized reliably**.
- Thought experiment:
- Place a small window over a patch of constant image value.



"flat" region:



- A corner can be **localized reliably**.
- Thought experiment:

 Place a small window over a patch of constant image value. If you slide the window in any direction, the image in the window will not change.



"flat" region: no change in all directions



- A corner can be **localized reliably**.
- Thought experiment:

- Place a small window over a patch of constant image value. If you slide the window in any direction, the image in the window will not change.

Place a small window over an edge.



"edge":



- A corner can be **localized reliably**.
- Thought experiment:
- Place a small window over a patch of constant image value. If you slide the window in any direction, the image in the window will not change.
- the edge, the image in the window will not change



"edge": no change along the edge direction

- Place a small window over an edge. If you slide the window in the direction of

 \rightarrow Cannot estimate location along an edge (a.k.a., **aperture** problem)















- A corner can be **localized reliably**.
- Thought experiment:
- Place a small window over a patch of constant image value. If you slide the window in any direction, the image in the window will not change.
- the edge, the image in the window will not change
- Place a small window over a corner.



"corner":

- Place a small window over an edge. If you slide the window in the direction of

 \rightarrow Cannot estimate location along an edge (a.k.a., **aperture** problem)



- A corner can be **localized reliably**.
- Thought experiment:
- Place a small window over a patch of constant image value. If you slide the window in any direction, the image in the window will not change.
- the edge, the image in the window will not change
- the image in the window changes.



"corner": significant change in all directions

- Place a small window over an edge. If you slide the window in the direction of

 \rightarrow Cannot estimate location along an edge (a.k.a., **aperture** problem)

- Place a small window over a corner. If you slide the window in any direction,



















Corner Detection

Edge detectors perform poorly at corners

Observations:

- The gradient is ill defined exactly at a corner
- Near a corner, the gradient has two (or more) distinct values

t a corner o (or more) distinct values

How do you find a corner?



Shifting the window should give large change in intensity

Easily recognized by looking through a small window

Autocorrelation is the correlation of the image with itself.

slowly in the direction along the edge and rapidly in the direction across (perpendicular to) the edge.

rapidly in all directions.

- Windows centered on an edge point will have autocorrelation that falls off
- Windows centered on a corner point will have autocorrelation that falls of



































100







Autocorrelation is the correlation of the image with itself.

slowly in the direction along the edge and rapidly in the direction across (perpendicular to) the edge.

rapidly in all directions.

- Windows centered on an edge point will have autocorrelation that falls off
- Windows centered on a corner point will have autocorrelation that falls of

Harris Corner Detection

- 1.Compute image gradients of small region
- 2.Compute the covariance matrix
- 3.Compute eigenvectors and eigenvalues
- 4.Use threshold on eigenvalues to detect corners

$$I_x = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \qquad I_y = \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}$$

over
$$\Box = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i$$





1. Compute image gradients over a small region (not just a single pixel)









array of y gradients

$$I_y = \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}$$





Visualization of Gradients



image

X derivative

Y derivative









$$I_{y} = \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}$$
$$I_{x} = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}$$























How do we quantify the orientation and magnitude?

2. Compute the covariance matrix (a.k.a. 2nd moment matrix)





2. Compute the covariance matrix (a.k.a. 2nd moment matrix)

Sum over small region around the corner





2. Compute the covariance matrix (a.k.a. 2nd moment matrix)

Sum over small region around the corner



Gradient with respect to x, times gradient with respect to y

 $C = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_y \\ \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_y \end{bmatrix}$


2. Compute the covariance matrix (a.k.a. 2nd moment matrix)

Sum over small region around the corner



 $\sum I_x I_y = \text{SUM}($ $p \in P$

Gradient with respect to x, times gradient with respect to y

$$\begin{array}{cc} {}_{x}I_{x} & \sum\limits_{p \in P} I_{x}I_{y} \ {}_{y}I_{x} & \sum\limits_{p \in P} I_{y}I_{y} \ {}_{p \in P} \end{array}$$

*



array of x gradients



array of y gradients



2. Compute the covariance matrix (a.k.a. 2nd moment matrix)

Sum over small region around the corner

Matrix is **symmetric**

Gradient with respect to x, times gradient with respect to y

 $C = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_y \\ \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_y \end{bmatrix}$



2. Compute the covariance matrix (a.k.a. 2nd moment matrix)

By computing the gradient covariance matrix ...

 $C = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_y \\ \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_y \end{bmatrix}$

we are fitting a quadratic to the gradients over a small image region





Local Image Patch

 $C = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_y \\ \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_y \end{bmatrix} = ?$

40



Local Image Patch

 $C = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_y \\ \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_y \end{bmatrix} = ?$





Local Image Patch

high value along vertical strip of pixels and 0 elsewhere

 $C = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_y \\ \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_y \end{bmatrix} = ?$





Local Image Patch

high value along vertical strip of pixels and 0 elsewhere

 $\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_y \\ p \in P & p \in P \end{bmatrix}$ $C = \left[\sum_{p \in P} I_y I_x \quad \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_y \right] = \mathbf{I}$



high value along horizontal strip of pixels and 0 elsewhere



Local Image Patch

high value along vertical strip of pixels and 0 elsewhere

 $\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_y \end{bmatrix}$ $C = \left[\sum_{p \in P} I_y I_x \quad \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_y \right] = \left[\sum_{p \in P} I_y I_y \right]$



high value along horizontal strip of pixels and 0 elsewhere

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

General Case

It can be shown that since every C is symmetric:



 $C = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_x \\ \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_x \end{bmatrix}$

... so general case is like a **rotated** version of the simple one

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_y \\ x & \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_y \end{bmatrix} = R^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} R$$

Quick Eigenvalue/Eigenvector Review

a nonzero vector v that satisfies

The eigenvalues of A are obtained by solving

- Given a square matrix A, a scalar λ is called an **eigenvalue** of A if there exists
 - $Av = \lambda v$
- The vector v is called an **eigenvector** for A corresponding to the eigenvalue λ .

 - $\det(\mathbf{A} \lambda I) = 0$

eigenvalue $Ce = \lambda e$ RZ eigenvector

$(C - \lambda I)e = 0$

eigenvalue $Ce = \lambda e$ RZ eigenvector

1. Compute the determinant of (returns a polynomial)

$(C - \lambda I)e = 0$

 $C - \lambda I$

eigenvalue $Ce = \lambda e$ R 7 eigenvector

1. Compute the determinant of (returns a polynomial)

2. Find the roots of polynomial (returns eigenvalues)

$(C - \lambda I)e = 0$



eigenvalue $\int Ce = \lambda e$ igenvector

1. Compute the determinant of (returns a polynomial)

2. Find the roots of polynomial (returns eigenvalues)

3. For each eigenvalue, solve (returns eigenvectors)

$(C - \lambda I)e = 0$



$C = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{array} \right]$

1. Compute the determinant (returns a polyn

2. Find the roots of polynon (returns eigenv

3. For each eigenvalue, solv (returns eigenved

nt of nomial)	$C-\lambda I$
nial alues)	$\det(C - \lambda I) = 0$
ve ctors)	$(C - \lambda I)e = 0$

 $C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\det \left(\left[\begin{array}{c} 2-\lambda \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \right)$$

1. Compute the determinar (returns a polyr

2. Find the roots of polynon (returns eigenv

3. For each eigenvalue, solv (returns eigenved

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2-\lambda \end{pmatrix}$

nt of nomial)	$C - \lambda I$
nial alues)	$\det(C - \lambda I) = 0$
ve ctors)	$(C - \lambda I)e = 0$

$C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 - \lambda \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ $(2-\lambda)(2-\lambda)$ -

1. Compute the determinant (returns a polyn

2. Find the roots of polynon (returns eigenv

3. For each eigenvalue, solv (returns eigenved

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$
)
- $(1)(1)$

nt of nomial)	$C - \lambda I$
nial alues)	$\det(C - \lambda I) = 0$
ve ctors)	$(C - \lambda I)e = 0$

$C = \left| \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{array} \right| \qquad \det \left(\left| \begin{array}{ccc} 2 - \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 2 - \lambda \end{array} \right| \right)$ $(2 - \lambda)(2 - \lambda) - (1)(1)$

1. Compute the determinar (returns a polyr

2. Find the roots of polynor (returns eigenv

3. For each eigenvalue, sol (returns eigenved



$(2 - \lambda)(2 - \lambda) - (1)(1) = 0$

nt of nomial)	$C - \lambda I$
nial values)	$\det(C - \lambda I) = 0$
ve ctors)	$(C - \lambda I)e = 0$



$C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 - \lambda \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + (2 - \lambda) + (2 -$

1. Compute the determinar (returns a polyr

2. Find the roots of polynor (returns eigenv

3. For each eigenvalue, solo (returns eigenved

$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 - \lambda \end{array} \right) $ $ - (1)(1) $	$(2 - \lambda)(2 - \lambda) - (1)(1)$ $\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 3 = 0$ $(\lambda - 3)(\lambda - 1) =$ $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 3$) =
nt of nomial)	$C - \lambda I$	
mial values)	$\det(C - \lambda I) = 0$	
lve ctors)	$(C - \lambda I)e = 0$	

Slide Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)



 $\left(\right)$

MU)

Visualization as **Quadratic**

can be written in matrix form like this...

 $f(x,y) = \left[\begin{array}{c} x \end{array} \right]$

 $f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$

$$\left[egin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight] \left[egin{array}{ccc} x \ y \end{array}
ight]$$

Visualization as **Quadratic**

can be written in matrix form like this...

 $f(x,y) = \left[\begin{array}{c} x \end{array} \right]$

Result of Computing **Eigenvalues** and **Eigenvectors** (using SVD)

eigenvectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0$$

axis of the 'ellipse slice'

 $f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$

$$\left[egin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight] \left[egin{array}{ccc} x \ y \end{array}
ight]$$



Visualization as **Ellipse**

We can visualize C as an ellipse with axis lengths determined by the eigenvalues and orientation determined by R

Ellipse equation:

$$f(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{con}$$

Since *C* is symmetric, we have $C = R^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{vmatrix} R$

ist





Visualization as **Ellipse**

C = Since C is symmetric, we have

We can visualize C as an ellipse with axis lengths determined by the eigenvalues and orientation determined by R

Ellipse equation:

$$f(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{con}$$

$$= R^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} R$$



ıst





 $\lambda_1 \sim 0$

 $\lambda_2\sim 0$

What kind of image patch does each region represent?

59











4. Threshold on Eigenvalues to Detect Corners



Think of a function to score 'cornerness'



Think of a function to score 'cornerness'



Use the smallest eigenvalue as the response function

$\min(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$



$\lambda_1\lambda_2 - \kappa(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2$



$\lambda_1\lambda_2 - \kappa(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2$ $\det(C) - \kappa \operatorname{trace}^2(C)$ (more efficient)

4. Threshold on Eigenvalues to Detect Corners (a function of) $\det(M) - \kappa \operatorname{trace}^2(M) < 0$

corner

 $\det(M) - \kappa \operatorname{trace}^2(M) > 0$

 $\det(M) - \kappa \operatorname{trace}^2(M) \ll 0$

 λ_{2}

$$\det(M) - \kappa \operatorname{trace}^2(M) < 0$$

$\lambda_1\lambda_2 - \kappa(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2$ $\det(C) - \kappa \operatorname{trace}^2(C)$ (more efficient)
4. Threshold on Eigenvalues to Detect Corners (a function of)

Harris & Stephens (1988)

 $\det(C) - \kappa \operatorname{trace}^2(C)$

Kanade & Tomasi (1994)

 $\min(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$

Nobel (1998) $\det(C)$ $\operatorname{trace}(C) + \epsilon$

Harris Corner Detection Review

- Filter image with **Gaussian**
- Compute magnitude of the x and y gradients at each pixel
- Construct C in a window around each pixel Harris uses a Gaussian window
- Solve for product of the λ 's
- have a corner
 - Harris also checks that ratio of λs is not too high

- If λ 's both are big (product reaches local maximum above threshold) then we

Compute the **Covariance Matrix**

Sum can be implemented as an (unnormalized) box filter with

Harris uses a **Gaussian** weighting instead

 $C = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_y \\ \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_y \end{bmatrix}$

Compute the **Covariance**

Sum can be implemented as an (unnormalized) box filter with

Harris uses a **Gaussian** weighting instead

(has to do with bilinear Taylor expansion of 2D function that measures change of intensity for small shifts ... remember AutoCorrelation)

Covariance Matrix
mplemented as an
ed) box filter with
$$E(u,v) = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) [I(x+u,y+v) - I(y)]$$

$$\sum_{\text{Error Window Shifted intensity}} V(x,y) = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) [I(x+u,y+v) - I(y)]$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_x I_y \\ \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_x & \sum_{p \in P} I_y I_y \end{bmatrix}$$



Harris Corner Detection Review

- Filter image with **Gaussian**
- Compute magnitude of the x and y gradients at each pixel
- Construct C in a window around each pixel Harris uses a Gaussian window
- Solve for product of the λ 's
- have a corner
 - Harris also checks that ratio of λs is not too high

Harris & Stephens (1988) $\det(C) - \kappa \operatorname{trace}^2(C)$

- If λ 's both are big (product reaches local maximum above threshold) then we



0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0

0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0

0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0

	-					
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0

000
$$-11$$
10 -11 00 -11 000 -11 00 -11 00 -11 00 -11 0

$$I_x = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}$$

	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	-1	1	
	0	0	1	0	
	0	0	1	0	
1	0	0	1	0	
1	0	0	1	0	
1	0	0	1	0	
1	0	0	1	0	

	-					
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0

$$I_x = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}$$





Lets compute a measure of "corner-ness" for the green pixel:

0	0	0	0	0	0	0			\sum
0	1	0	0	0	1	0			
0	1	1	1	1	0	0			
0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0	-1	1	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0	-1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0	-1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	-1	0

$$I_x = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}$$

0

0

0

-1

_1

-1

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 3$$





-1

0

0

0

0

0

Lets compute a measure of "corner-ness" for the green pixel:

	-					
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1			0	0

 $\mathbf{C} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{array} \right]$

0	0	0	
-1	1	0	
-1	0	0	
-1	0	0	
0	-1	0	
0	-1	0	
0	-1	0	
0	-1	0	

$$I_x = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}$$

-1 -1 \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} -1 -1 -1 -1 \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} $\mathbf{\cap}$ U $= \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}$



	-					
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1			0	0

 $\mathbf{C} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{array} \right]$

0	0	0	
-1	1	0	
-1	0	0	
-1	0	0	
0	-1	0	
0	-1	0	
0	-1	0	
0	-1	0	

$$I_x = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{vmatrix} => \lambda_1 = 1.4384; \lambda_2 = 5.5616$$





	-					
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1			0	0

 $\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

0	0	0	
-1	1	0	
-1	0	0	
-1	0	0	
0	-1	0	
0	-1	0	
0	-1	0	
0	-1	0	

$$I_x = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{vmatrix} => \lambda_1 = 1.4384; \lambda_2 = 5.5616$$
$$\det(\mathbf{C}) - 0.04 \operatorname{trace}^2(\mathbf{C}) = 6.04$$





0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0

 $\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

0	0	0
-1	1	0
-1	0	0
-1	0	0
0	-1	0
0	-1	0
0	-1	0
0	-1	0

$$I_x = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0 \end{bmatrix} => \lambda_1 = 3; \lambda_2 = 0$$
$$\det(\mathbf{C}) - 0.04 \operatorname{trace}^2(\mathbf{C}) = -0.36$$







Lets compute a measure of "corner-ness" for the green pixel:

0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0

 $\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

0	0	0
-1	1	0
-1	0	0
-1	0	0
0	-1	0
0	-1	0
0	-1	0
0	-1	0

 $I_x = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\2 \end{bmatrix} => \lambda_1 = 3; \lambda_2 = 2$$
$$\det(\mathbf{C}) - 0.04 \operatorname{trace}^2(\mathbf{C}) = 5$$





-1

-1

Harris Corner Detection Review

- Filter image with **Gaussian**
- Compute magnitude of the x and y gradients at each pixel
- Construct C in a window around each pixel Harris uses a Gaussian window
- Solve for product of the λ 's
- have a corner
 - Harris also checks that ratio of λs is not too high

- If λ 's both are big (product reaches local maximum above threshold) then we

Corner response is **invariant** to image rotation

Ellipse rotates but its shape (eigenvalues) remains the same





Properties: Rotational Invariance

Properties: (partial) Invariance to Intensity Shifts and Scaling

Only derivatives are used -> Invariance to intensity shifts

Intensity scale could effect performance



x (image coordinate)



x (image coordinate)



Properties: NOT Invariant to Scale Changes



corner!



Intuitively ...





Intuitively ...

Find local maxima in both **position** and **scale**





Example 1:



Example 2: Wagon Wheel (Harris Results)











 $\sigma = 1$ (219 points) $\sigma = 2$ (155 points) $\sigma = 3$ (110 points) $\sigma = 4$ (87 points)



Example 3: Crash Test Dummy (Harris Result)



corner response image

Original Image Credit: John Shakespeare, Sydney Morning Herald

www.johnshakespeare.com.au



$\sigma = 1$ (175 points)

94

Summary Table

Summary of what we have seen so far:

Representation	Result is	Approach	Technique
intensity	dense	template matching	(normalized) correlation
edge	relatively sparse	derivatives	$\bigtriangledown^2 G$, Canny
corner	sparse	locally distinct features	Harris