

#### THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

# **CPSC 425: Computer Vision**



**Lecture 24:** Neural Nets and CNNs (putting it all together)

Menu for Today (April 7, 2020)

#### **Reminders:**

- Assignment 6: Deep Learning due Tuesday, April 7th
- On-line quiz due end of the day today
- Will post Final Prep office hours today/tomorrow

— Material for Final Prep will is available on Canvas (will post Quizzes, Midterm)



# Please fill out **Student Evaluations** (on Canvas)



• Network structure: number and types of layers, forms of activation functions, dimensionality of each layer and connections (defines computational graph)



generally kept fixed, requires some knowledge of the problem and NN to sensibly set

• **Network structure:** number and types of layers, forms of activation functions, dimensionality of each layer and connections (defines computational graph)



generally kept fixed, requires some knowledge of the problem and NN to sensibly set

• **Network structure:** number and types of layers, forms of activation functions, dimensionality of each layer and connections (defines computational graph)

deeper = better



generally kept fixed, requires some knowledge of the problem and NN to sensibly set

• **Network structure:** number and types of layers, forms of activation functions, dimensionality of each layer and connections (defines computational graph)

deeper = better

• Loss function: objective function being optimized (softmax, cross entropy, etc.)



generally kept fixed, requires some knowledge of the problem and NN to sensibly set

requires knowledge of the nature of the problem

• **Network structure:** number and types of layers, forms of activation functions, dimensionality of each layer and connections (defines computational graph)

deeper = better

• Loss function: objective function being optimized (softmax, cross entropy, etc.)



generally kept fixed, requires some knowledge of the problem and NN to sensibly set

requires knowledge of the nature of the problem

linear/fc layers, parameters of the activation functions, etc.

• **Network structure:** number and types of layers, forms of activation functions, dimensionality of each layer and connections (defines computational graph)

deeper = better

• Loss function: objective function being optimized (softmax, cross entropy, etc.)

• **Parameters:** trainable parameters of the network, including weights/biases of



generally kept fixed, requires some knowledge of the problem and NN to sensibly set

requires knowledge of the nature of the problem

• **Network structure:** number and types of layers, forms of activation functions, dimensionality of each layer and connections (defines computational graph)

deeper = better

• Loss function: objective function being optimized (softmax, cross entropy, etc.)

• Parameters: trainable parameters of the network, including weights/biases of linear/fc layers, parameters of the activation functions, etc. optimized using SGD or variants





generally kept fixed, requires some knowledge of the problem and NN to sensibly set

requires knowledge of the nature of the problem

- directly as part of training (e.g., learning rate, batch size, drop-out rate)

• **Network structure:** number and types of layers, forms of activation functions, dimensionality of each layer and connections (defines computational graph)

deeper = better

• Loss function: objective function being optimized (softmax, cross entropy, etc.)

• Parameters: trainable parameters of the network, including weights/biases of linear/fc layers, parameters of the activation functions, etc. optimized using SGD or variants

• Hyper-parameters: parameters, including for optimization, that are not optimized







• **Network structure:** number and types of layers, forms of activation functions, dimensionality of each layer and connections (defines computational graph)

generally kept fixed, requires some knowledge of the problem and NN to sensibly set

• Loss function: objective function being optimized (softmax, cross entropy, etc.)

- Parameters: trainable parameters of the network, including weights/biases of linear/fc layers, parameters of the activation functions, etc. optimized using SGD or variants
- Hyper-parameters: parameters, including for optimization, that are not optimized directly as part of training (e.g., learning rate, batch size, drop-out rate) grid search

deeper = better

requires knowledge of the nature of the problem





Input: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with **sigmoid** activations:  $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) \leq \mathbf{1}$ with **Tanh** activations:  $-1 \le f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) \le 1$ with **ReLU** activations:  $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)$ 

- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with **sigmoid** activations:  $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) \leq \mathbf{1}$ with **Tanh** activations:  $-1 \le f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) \le 1$ with **ReLU** activations:  $\mathbf{0} < f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)$ 

Neural Network (output): linear layer

 $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = g(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{W}f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) + \mathbf{b} : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^m$ 

- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with **sigmoid** activations:  $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) \leq \mathbf{1}$ with **Tanh** activations:  $-1 \le f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) \le 1$ with **ReLU** activations:  $\mathbf{0} < f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)$ 

Neural Network (output): linear layer



- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$ 

  - $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = g(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{W}f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) + \mathbf{b} : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^m$ 
    - $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}) = ||\mathbf{y} \hat{\mathbf{y}}||^2$

#### **Input**: feature vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

# with **sigmoid** activations: $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) \leq \mathbf{1}$

- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with **sigmoid** activations:  $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) \leq \mathbf{1}$ 

- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$
- **Neural Network** (output): threshold hidden output (which is a sigmoid)  $\hat{y} = 1[f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) > 0.5]$

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with **sigmoid** activations:  $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) \leq \mathbf{1}$ 

#### **Problem:** Not differentiable, probabilistic interpretation maybe desirable

- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$
- **Neural Network** (output): threshold hidden output (which is a sigmoid)  $\hat{y} = 1[f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) > 0.5]$

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with **sigmoid** activations:  $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) \leq \mathbf{1}$ 

**Neural Network** (output): interpret sigmoid output as probability

## can interpret the score as the log-odds of y = 1 (a.k.a. the logits)

- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ 

  - $p(y = 1) = f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)$

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with **sigmoid** activations:  $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) \leq \mathbf{1}$ 

**Neural Network** (output): interpret sigmoid output as probability

## can interpret the score as the log-odds of y = 1 (a.k.a. the logits)

**Loss:** similarity between two distributions

- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ 

  - $p(y = 1) = f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)$

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with **sigmoid** activations:  $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) \leq \mathbf{1}$ 

**Neural Network** (output): interpret sigmoid output as probability

Loss:

## can interpret the score as the log-odds of y = 1 (a.k.a. the logits)

$$\mathcal{L}(y, \hat{y}) = -y \log[f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)] - (1 - y) \log[1 - f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)]$$

- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ 

  - $p(y = 1) = f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)$

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with **sigmoid** activations:  $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) \leq \mathbf{1}$ 

**Neural Network** (output): interpret sigmoid output as probability

## can interpret the score as the log-odds of y = 1 (a.k.a. the logits)

$$\mathcal{L}(y, \hat{y}) = \begin{cases} -log[1 - f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)] & y = 0\\ -log[f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)] & y = 1 \end{cases}$$



- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ 

  - $p(y = 1) = f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)$

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with **sigmoid** activations:  $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) \leq \mathbf{1}$ 

**Neural Network** (output): interpret sigmoid output as probability

## Minimizing this loss is the same as maximizing log likelihood of data

$$\mathcal{L}(y, \hat{y}) = \begin{cases} -log[1 - f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)] & y = 0\\ -log[f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)] & y = 1 \end{cases}$$



- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ 

  - $p(y = 1) = f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)$

#### **Input**: feature vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

with **ReLU** activations:

- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$ 
  - $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)$

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with **ReLU** activations:

- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$ 
  - $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)$
- **Neural Network** (output): linear layer with one neuron and sigmoid activation

# Multiclass Classification (e.g, ImageNet)

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 



# Multiclass Classification (e.g, ImageNet)

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with **ReLU** activations:

- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ 
  - $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)$



# **Multiclass** Classification (e.g., ImageNet)

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with **ReLU** activations:

$$p(\mathbf{y}_k = 1) = \frac{\mathbf{f}_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{C}}$$

- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ 
  - $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)$
- Neural Network (output): softmax function, where probability of class k is:
  - $\frac{\exp\left[f(\mathbf{x};\Theta)_{i}\right]}{\sum_{i=1}^{C}\exp\left[f(\mathbf{x};\Theta)_{j}\right]}$



# **Multiclass** Classification (e.g., ImageNet)

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with **ReLU** activations:

Loss:

$$p(\mathbf{y}_k = 1) = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{C}}$$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}) = H(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}) = -\sum \mathbf{y}_i \log \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i$ 

- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ 
  - $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)$
- **Neural Network** (output): **softmax** function, where probability of class k is:
  - $\frac{\exp\left[f(\mathbf{x};\Theta)_{i}\right]}{C} = 1 \exp\left[f(\mathbf{x};\Theta)_{j}\right]$





# **Multiclass** Classification (e.g, ImageNet)

**Input**: feature vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with **ReLU** activations:

Loss:

$$p(\mathbf{y}_k = 1) = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{C}}$$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}) = H(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}) = -$ 

**Output:** muticlass label  $\mathbf{y} \in \{0, 1\}^m$ (**one-hot** encoding)

- **Neural Network** (input + intermediate hidden layers)  $f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ 
  - $\mathbf{0} \leq f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta)$
- **Neural Network** (output): **softmax** function, where probability of class k is:
  - $\frac{\exp\left[f(\mathbf{x};\Theta)_{i}\right]}{C} \exp\left[f(\mathbf{x};\Theta)_{j}\right]$

$$\sum_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i} \log \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{i} = -\log \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{i}$$
Special case

se for multi-class single label





generally kept fixed, requires some knowledge of the problem and NN to sensibly set

requires knowledge of the nature of the problem

## Specification of neural architecture will define a computational graph.

• **Network structure:** number and types of layers, forms of activation functions, dimensionality of each layer and connections (defines computational graph)

deeper = better

• Loss function: objective function being optimized (softmax, cross entropy, etc.)

# Training

**Initialize** parameters of all layers

For a fixed number of iterations or until convergence

- Form mini-batch of examples (randomly chosen from a training dataset)
- computational graph)
- Update parameters of all layers, by taking a step in the negative average gradient direction (computed over all examples in the mini-batch)

Compute forward pass to make predictions for every example and

compute the loss (this involves recursively calling forward() for each intermediate layer along

## Compute backwards pass to compute the gradient of the loss with

respect to each parameter for each example (involves traversing computational graph in reverse order calling backward() on intermediate nodes and composing intermediate gradients — chain rule)



# Inference / Prediction

## Compute forward pass with optimized parameters on test examples

# Monitoring Learning: Visualizing the (training) loss



\* slide from Li, Karpathy, Johnson's CS231n at Stanford

# Monitoring Learning: Visualizing the (training) loss



Big gap = overfitting

Solution: increase regularization

No gap = undercutting

Solution: increase model capacity

Small gap = ideal

\* slide from Li, Karpathy, Johnson's CS231n at Stanford

# DN




# Convolutional Layer: Closer Look at Spatial Dimensions

#### 32 x 32 x 3 **image**





#### activation map



### Convolutional Layer: 1x1 convolutions

#### 56 x 56 x 64 **image**



# **Convolutional** Neural Network (ConvNet)







Accepts a volume of size:  $W_i \times H_i \times D_i$ 

- Accepts a volume of size:  $W_i \times H_i \times D_i$ Requires hyperparameters:

  - Number of filters: K (for typical networks  $K \in \{32, 64, 128, 256, 512\}$ ) - Spatial extent of filters: F (for a typical networks  $F \in \{1, 3, 5, ...\}$ ) - Stride of application: S (for a typical network  $S \in \{1, 2\}$ ) - Zero padding: P (for a typical network  $P \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ )

- Accepts a volume of size:  $W_i \times H_i \times D_i$ Requires hyperparameters:

  - Number of filters: K (for typical networks  $K \in \{32, 64, 128, 256, 512\}$ ) - Spatial extent of filters: F (for a typical networks  $F \in \{1, 3, 5, ...\}$ ) - Stride of application: S (for a typical network  $S \in \{1, 2\}$ ) - Zero padding: P (for a typical network  $P \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ )
- Produces a volume of size:  $W_o \times H_o \times D_o$

- Accepts a volume of size:  $W_i \times H_i \times D_i$ Requires hyperparameters:

  - Number of filters: K (for typical networks  $K \in \{32, 64, 128, 256, 512\}$ ) - Spatial extent of filters: F (for a typical networks  $F \in \{1, 3, 5, ...\}$ ) - Stride of application: S (for a typical network  $S \in \{1, 2\}$ ) - Zero padding: P (for a typical network  $P \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ )
- Produces a volume of size:  $W_o \times H_o \times D_o$ 
  - $W_o = (W_i F + 2P)/S + 1$   $H_o = (H_i F + 2P)/S + 1$

 $D_{o} = K$ 

- Accepts a volume of size:  $W_i \times H_i \times D_i$ Requires hyperparameters:

  - Number of filters: K (for typical networks  $K \in \{32, 64, 128, 256, 512\}$ ) - Spatial extent of filters: F (for a typical networks  $F \in \{1, 3, 5, ...\}$ ) - Stride of application: S (for a typical network  $S \in \{1, 2\}$ ) - Zero padding: P (for a typical network  $P \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ )
- Produces a volume of size:  $W_o \times H_o \times D_o$

$$W_o = (W_i - F + 2P)/S + 1$$

Number of total learnable parameters:  $(F \times F \times D_i) \times K + K$ 

- $H_o = (H_i F + 2P)/S + 1$  $D_0 = K$





# **CNNs**: Reminder Fully Connected Layers

#### Input

#### 3072

(32 x 32 x 3 image -> stretches to 3072 x 1)











# Pooling Layer

- Makes representation smaller, more manageable and spatially invariant
- Operates over each activation map independently



# e manageable and spatially invariant independently



# Pooling Layer

- Makes representation smaller, more manageable and spatially invariant
- Operates over each activation map independently



# e manageable and spatially invariant independently



\* slide from Fei-Dei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, cs231n Stanford

How many **parameters**?

# Pooling Layer

- Makes representation smaller, more manageable and spatially invariant
- Operates over each activation map independently



# e manageable and spatially invariant independently



# Max **Pooling**

#### activation map





#### max pool with 2 x 2 filter and stride of 2

6 8 3 4

## Average **Pooling**

#### activation map





#### avg pool with 2 x 2 filter and stride of 2

3.25 5.25 2 2

# Pooling Layer Receptive Field

If convolutional filters have size KxK and stride 1, and pooling layer has pools of size PxP, then each unit in the pooling layer depends upon a patch (at the input of the preceding conv. layer) of size: **(P+K-1)x(P+K-1)** 



\* slide from Marc'Aurelio Renzato

# Pooling Layer Receptive Field

If convolutional filters have size KxK and stride 1, and pooling layer has pools of size PxP, then each unit in the pooling layer depends upon a patch (at the input of the preceding conv. layer) of size: (P+K-1)x(P+K-1)



\* slide from Marc'Aurelio Renzato

# Pooling Layer Summary

Accepts a volume of size:  $W_i \times H_i \times D_i$ Requires hyperparameters: - Spatial extent of filters: K- Stride of application: FProduces a volume of size:  $W_o \times H_o \times D_o$  $W_o = (W_i - F)/S + 1$   $H_o = (H_i - F)/S + 1$ 

Number of total learnable parameters: 0

# $D_o = D_i$



#### Categorization



#### Categorization



Horse Multi-class: Church Toothbrush Person IM GENET

#### Categorization



Multi-class: Horse Church Toothbrush Person IM GENET

Multi-label: Horse

Church Toothbrush Person

#### Categorization

#### Detection





Horse Multi-**class:** Church Toothbrush Person **M** GENET

Multi-label: Horse

Church Toothbrush Person

Horse (x, y, w, h) Horse (x, y, w, h) Person (x, y, w, h) Person (x, y, w, h)





#### Categorization

#### Detection





Multi-**class:** Horse Church Toothbrush Person **IM** GENET

Multi-label: Horse

Church Toothbrush Person

Horse (x, y, w, h) Horse (x, y, w, h) Person (x, y, w, h) Person (x, y, w, h)



#### Segmentation

Horse Person



#### Categorization

#### Detection





Multi-class: Horse Church Toothbrush Person **IM** GENET

Multi-label: Horse

Church Toothbrush Person

Horse (x, y, w, h) Horse (x, y, w, h) Person (x, y, w, h) Person (x, y, w, h)



#### Segmentation Instance Segmentation



Horse Person



Horse1 Horse<sub>2</sub> Person1 Person2



#### Categorization



Multi-class: Horse Church Toothbrush Person IM GENET

Multi-label: Horse

Church Toothbrush Person



#### Problem: For each image predict which category it belongs to out of a fixed set









	Category	Predictio
	Dog	No
	Cat	No
	Couch	No
	Flowers	No
	Leopard	Yes

**Problem:** For each image predict which category it belongs to out of a fixed set













**Problem:** For each image predict which category it belongs to out of a fixed set





 $\mathbf{x}^t$ 



# Comparing **Complexity**



#### An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.


## Computer Vision Problems (no language for now)

### Categorization

### Detection





Multi-class: Horse Church Toothbrush Person **IM** GENET

Multi-label: Horse

Church Toothbrush Person

Horse (x, y, w, h) Horse (x, y, w, h) Person (x, y, w, h) Person (x, y, w, h)





### Segmentation

Horse Person



### Instance Segmentation

Horse1 Horse<sub>2</sub> Person1 Person2



## Computer Vision Problems (no language for now)



### Segmentation



Horse Person



## Semantic Segmentation

### Label every pixel with a category label (without differentiating instances)







Sky





## Semantic Segmentation: Sliding Window

Extract **patches** 



[Farabet et al, TPAMI 2013] [Pinheiro et al, ICML 2014]

Classify center pixel with CNN







## Semantic Segmentation: Sliding Window

Extract **patches** 



### **Problem:** VERY inefficient, no reuse of computations for overlapping patches

[Farabet et al, TPAMI 2013] <sup>•</sup> Pinheiro et al, ICML 2014 ]

Classify center pixel with CNN





Design a network as a number of convolutional layers to make predictions for all pixels at once!



### **Problem:** Convolutions at the original image scale will be very expensive

Design a network as a number of convolutional layers to make predictions for all pixels at once!



Input **Image** 

 $3 \times H \times W$ 



 $D_1 \times H/2 \times W/2$ 

Design a network as a number of convolutional layers with downsampling and upsampling inside the network!



**Predicted** Labels

HxW

[Long et al, CVPR 2015] [Noh et al, ICCV 2015]





Input **Image** 

 $3 \times H \times W$ 

High-res:  $D_1 \times H/2 \times W/2$ 

**Downsampling** = Pooling

Design a network as a number of convolutional layers with downsampling and upsampling inside the network!





**Predicted** Labels

HxW

### **Upsampling** = ???

[Long et al, CVPR 2015] [Noh et al, ICCV 2015]



## Computer Vision Problems (no language for now)

### Categorization

### Detection





Multi-class: Horse Church Toothbrush Person **IM** GENET

Multi-label: Horse

Church Toothbrush Person

Horse (x, y, w, h) Horse (x, y, w, h) Person (x, y, w, h) Person (x, y, w, h)





### Segmentation

Horse Person



### Instance Segmentation

Horse1 Horse<sub>2</sub> Person1 Person2



## Computer Vision Problems (no language for now)

### Detection



Horse (x, y, w, h) Horse (x, y, w, h) Person (x, y, w, h) Person (x, y, w, h)





## Object **Detection** as Regression Problem





## Object **Detection** as Regression Problem









## Object **Detection** as Regression Problem





### Problem: each image needs a different number of outputs











### Apply CNN to many different crops in the image and (classification) CNN classifies each patch as object or background





### Apply CNN to many different crops in the image and (classification) CNN classifies each patch as object or background





### Apply CNN to many different crops in the image and (classification) CNN classifies each patch as object or background



### Apply CNN to many different crops in the image and (classification) CNN classifies each patch as object or background







Apply CNN to many different crops in the image and (classification) CNN classifies each patch as object or background



Apply CNN to many different crops in the image and (classification) CNN classifies each patch as object or background

**Problem:** Need to apply CNN to **many** patches in each image



## **Region Proposals** (older idea in vision)

### Find image regions that are likely contain objects (any object at all)



[ Alexe et al, TPAMI 2012 ] [Uijkings et al, IJCV 2013] [Cheng et al, CVPR 2014] [Zitnick and Dollar, ECCV 2014]

- typically works by looking at histogram distributions, region aspect ratio, closed contours, coherent color

Relatively fast to run (Selective Search gives 1000 region proposals in a few seconds on a CPU)



### **Goal:** Get "true" object regions to be in as few top K proposals as possible



[Girshick et al, CVPR 2014]





[Girshick et al, CVPR 2014]





[Girshick et al, CVPR 2014]

### Warped image regions

Regions of Interest from a proposal method (~2k)





[Girshick et al, CVPR 2014]

### Forward each region through a CNN

### Warped image regions

Regions of Interest from a proposal method (~2k)





[Girshick et al, CVPR 2014]

### **Classify** regions with SVM

Forward each region through a CNN

### Warped image regions

Regions of Interest from a proposal method (~2k)



### **Linear Regression** for bounding box offsets



[Girshick et al, CVPR 2014]

**Classify** regions with SVM

Forward each region through a **CNN** 

### Warped image regions

Regions of Interest from a proposal method (~2k)



R-CNN (Regions with CNN features) algorithm:

- Extract promising candidate regions using an object proposals algorithm
- Resize each proposal window to the size of the input layer of a trained convolutional neural network
- Input each resized image patch to the convolutional neural network

**Implementation detail:** Instead of using the classification scores of the input feature to a trained support vector machine (SVM)

network directly, the output of the final fully-connected layer can be used as an

## Summary

Common types of layers:

- 1. Convolutional Layer - Parameters define a set of learnable filters
- 2. **Pooling** Layer - Performs a downsampling along the spatial dimensions
- 3. Fully-Connected Layer As in a regular neural network

Each layer accepts an input 3D volume and transforms it to an output 3D volume through a differentiable function

## Summary

The parameters of a neural network are learned using **backpropagation**, which computes gradients via recursive application of the chain rule

the network architecture to reduce the number of parameters

A convolutional layer applies a set of learnable filters

A **pooling layer** performs spatial downsampling

A fully-connected layer is the same as in a regular neural network

- A convolutional neural network assumes inputs are images, and constrains
- Convolutional neural networks can be seen as learning a hierarchy of filters

# Thank you!