Lecture 18: Classification (cont)
Menu for Today (March 16, 2020)

Topics:
- Classification
- kNN, SVMs
- Bag of Words Representation
- Scene Classification

Readings:
- **Today’s** Lecture: Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) 16.1.3, 16.1.4, 16.1.9
- **Next** Lecture: Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) 17.1–17.2

Reminders:
- **Assignment 4**: RANSAC and Panoramas is due **tonight**
- **Assignment 5**: Scene Recognition with Bag of Words is will be out **tonight**
Additional Logistics: The new “normal”

— **Lectures**: Will be on-line using Collaborate Ultra (6 more lectures)
— **Office hours**: Will be held using Collaborate Ultra (at regular time)
— **TA office hours**: Will try to hold these using Collaborate Ultra as well
— **Assignments**: No expected changes in number / due dates / scope
— **Quizzes**: Leading possibility is 1 or 2 more using Canvas
— **Final**: No details yet on how this would work.
Today’s “fun” Example:

Audio-Visual Scene Analysis with Self-Supervised Multisensory Features
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Lecture 17: Re-cap

- Collect a database of images with labels
- Use ML to train an image classifier
- Evaluate the classifier on test images

Example training set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Feature vector computed from the image</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dog</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mug</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let $c$ be the class label and let $x$ be the measurement (i.e., evidence).

\[
P(c|x) = \frac{P(x|c)p(c)}{P(x)}
\]
Example: 2D Bayes Classifier

- 17 samples
- 15 samples

Image Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)
Example: 2D Bayes Classifier

These could be (g,b) pixel value of an image patch with grass

These could be (g,b) pixel value of an image patch with sky

Given a (g,b) pixel value from a new patch is it more likely to be grass or sky?

Image Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)
Example: 2D Bayes Classifier

- 17 samples
- 15 samples

\[ p(\text{blue}) = \frac{17}{17 + 15} \]
\[ p(\text{green}) = \frac{15}{17 + 15} \]
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**Example: 2D Bayes Classifier**

- 17 samples
- 15 samples

\[
p(\text{blue}) = \frac{17}{17 + 15}
\]

\[
p(\text{green}) = \frac{15}{17 + 15}
\]

\[
p(\cdot | \text{green}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\text{green}}, \Sigma_{\text{green}})
\]

\[
p(\cdot | \text{blue}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\text{blue}}, \Sigma_{\text{blue}})
\]
Example: 2D Bayes Classifier

\[ p(green|\Delta) \propto \mathcal{N}(\Delta; \mu_{green}, \Sigma_{green}) p(green) \]

\[ p(blue|\Delta) \propto \mathcal{N}(\Delta; \mu_{blue}, \Sigma_{blue}) p(blue) \]

- 17 samples
- 15 samples

\[ p(blue) = \frac{17}{17 + 15} \]

\[ p(green) = \frac{15}{17 + 15} \]
Loss Functions and Classifiers

Loss

- Some errors may be more expensive than others
  **Example**: A fatal disease that is easily cured by a cheap medicine with no side-effects. Here, false positives in diagnosis are better than false negatives

- We discuss two class classification:
  \( L(1 \rightarrow 2) \) is the loss caused by calling 1 a 2

**Total risk** of using classifier \( s \) is

\[
R(s) = \Pr\{1 \rightarrow 2 \mid \text{using } s\} \ L(1 \rightarrow 2) + \Pr\{2 \rightarrow 1 \mid \text{using } s\} \ L(2 \rightarrow 1)
\]
Two Class Classification

Generally, we should classify as 1 if the expected loss of classifying as 1 is less than for 2

Classify $x$ as

1 if $p(1|x) \cdot L(1 \rightarrow 2) > p(2|x) \cdot L(2 \rightarrow 1)$

2 if $p(1|x) \cdot L(1 \rightarrow 2) < p(2|x) \cdot L(2 \rightarrow 1)$

Decision boundary: points where the loss is the same for either class.
Training Error, Testing Error, and Overfitting

Training error is the error a classifier makes on the training set.

We want to minimize the testing error – the error the classifier makes on an unseen testing set.

Classifiers that have small training error may not necessarily have small testing error.

The phenomenon that causes testing error to be worse than training error is called overfitting.
Training Error, Testing Error, and **Overfitting**

**Underfitting**: model is too simple to represent all the relevant class characteristics
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Cross-Validation

We cannot reliably estimate the error rate of the classifier using the training set. An alternative is to split some training data to form a validation set, then train the classifier on the rest of the data and evaluate on the validation set.
Cross-Validation

We cannot reliably estimate the error rate of the classifier using the training set.

An alternative is to split some training data to form a **validation** set, then train the classifier on the rest of the data and evaluate on the validation set.

---

**Trying out what hyperparameters work best on test set:**

Very bad idea. The test set is a proxy for the generalization performance!

Use only **VERY SPARINGLY**, at the end.
We cannot reliably estimate the error rate of the classifier using the training set. An alternative is to split some training data to form a validation set, then train the classifier on the rest of the data and evaluate on the validation set.
Cross-Validation

**Cross-validation** involves performing multiple splits and averaging the error over all splits.
Confusion Matrix

When evaluating a multi-class classifier, it may be useful to know how often certain classes are often misclassified as others.

A **confusion matrix** is a table whose \((i,j)\)th entry is the frequency (or proportion) an item of true class \(i\) was labelled as \(j\) by the classifier.

Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) Figure 15.3. Original credit: H. Zhang et al., 2006.
Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC)

**ROC curves** plot trade-off between false positives and false negatives

Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) Figure 15.4

Figure from M. J. Jones and J. Rehg, “Statistical color models with application to skin detection,” Proc. CVPR, 1999, IEEE
**Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC)**

What is a ROC curve for a perfect classifier?

**ROC curves** plot trade-off between false positives and false negatives.

Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) Figure 15.4

Figure from M. J. Jones and J. Rehg, “Statistical color models with application to skin detection,” Proc. CVPR, 1999, IEEE
ROC curves plot trade-off between false positives and false negatives.

Figure from M. J. Jones and J. Rehg, “Statistical color models with application to skin detection,” Proc. CVPR, 1999, IEEE.
Classification strategies fall under two broad types: **parametric** and **non-parametric**.
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Classification strategies fall under two broad types: parametric and non-parametric.

Parametric classifiers are model driven. The parameters of the model are learned from training examples. New data points are classified by the learned model.
— fast, compact
— flexibility and accuracy depend on model assumptions
Classification strategies fall under two broad types: **parametric** and **non-parametric**.

Parametric classifiers are **model driven**. The parameters of the model are learned from training examples. New data points are classified by the learned model.
- fast, compact
- flexibility and accuracy depend on model assumptions

Non-parametric classifiers are **data driven**. New data points are classified by comparing to the training examples directly. "The data is the model".
- slow
- highly flexible decision boundaries
Nearest Neighbor Classifier

Given a new data point, assign the label of nearest training example in feature space.

Image Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)
Nearest Neighbor Classifier

Given a new data point, assign the label of nearest training example in feature space.

*Image Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulkas (CMU)*
k-Nearest Neighbor (kNN) Classifier

We can gain some robustness to noise by voting over multiple neighbours.

Given a new data point, find the k nearest training examples. Assign the label by majority vote.

Simple method that works well if the distance measure correctly weights the various dimensions

For large data sets, as k increases kNN approaches optimality in terms of minimizing probability of error
**k-Nearest Neighbor (kNN) Classifier**

kNN decision boundaries respond to local clusters where one class dominates.

**Figure credit:** Hastie, Tibshirani & Friedman (2nd ed.)
Support Vector Machines (SVM)

**Idea**: Try to obtain the decision boundary directly

The decision boundary is parameterized as a *separating hyperplane* in feature space.
- e.g. a separating line in 2D

We choose the hyperplane that is as far as possible from each class - that maximizes the distance to the closest point from either class.
**Linear Classifier**

Defines a score function:

\[ f(x_i, W, b) = Wx_i + b \]
Linear Classifier

Example with an image with 4 pixels, and 3 classes (cat/dog/ship)

Image Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)
Support Vector Machines (SVM)

Learn the decision boundary

Image Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)
Support Vector Machines (SVM)

What's the best $w$?
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What's the best \( w \)?
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Image Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)
Support Vector Machines (SVM)

What’s the best $w$?
Support Vector Machines (SVM)

What's the best $w$?

Intuitively, the line that is the farthest from all interior points

Image Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)
Support Vector Machines (SVM)

What’s the best \( w \)?

Want a hyperplane that is far away from ‘inner points’
Support Vector Machines (SVM)

Find hyperplane $w$ such that …

the gap between parallel hyperplanes $\frac{2}{\|w\|}$ is maximized

$w \cdot x + b = 1$
$w \cdot x + b = 0$
$w \cdot x + b = -1$
Support Vector Machines (SVM)

Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) Figure 15.6
Example: Pedestrian Detection with SVM

![Example images](image)

**Figure credit:** Papageorgiou, Oren, and Poggio, 1998
Short **break** from machine learning and classification
Summary

A **classifier** accepts as input a set of features and outputs (predicts) a class label.

Classifiers need to take into account **“loss”** associated with each kind of classification error.

A Receiver Operating Characteristic (**ROC**) curve plots the trade-off between false negatives and false positives.

**Parametric** classifiers are model driven. The parameters of the model are learned from training examples.
- e.g. support vector machine, decision tree

**Non-parametric** classifiers are data driven. New data points are classified by comparing to the training examples directly.
- e.g. k-nearest neighbour