THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CPSC 425: Computer Vision



Lecture 4: Image Filtering (continued)

(unless otherwise stated slides are taken or adopted from **Bob Woodham, Jim Little** and **Fred Tung**)

Menu for Today (**January 15, 2019**)

Topics:

- **Gaussian** and **Pillbox** filters
- **Separability**
- The **Convolution Theorem**
- **Non-linear** filters

Redings:

- **Today's** Lecture: none
- **Next** Lecture: [Optional] Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) 4.4

Reminders:

- **Assignment 1:** Image Filtering and Hybrid Images due **January 25**th

Today's "**fun**" Example: Rolling Shutter

Today's "**fun**" Example: Rolling Shutter

Rolling shutter effect

Quiz 0 — Test Quiz

I am in class today:

A) True

B) False

Lecture 3: Re-cap

— The **correlation** of $F(X,Y)$ and $I(X,Y)$ is:

$$I'(X,Y) = \sum_{j=-k}^{k} \sum_{i=-k}^{k} F(I,J) I(X+i, Y+j)$$

output | filter | image (signal)

— **Visual interpretation**: Superimpose the filter F on the image I at (X,Y), perform an element-wise multiply, and sum up the values

— **Convolution** is like **correlation** except filter "flipped"

if $F(X,Y) = F(-X,-Y)$ then correlation = convolution.

Lecture 3: Re-cap

Ways to handle **boundaries**

– **Ignore/discard**. Make the computation undefined for top/bottom k rows and left/right-most k columns

– **Pad with zeros**. Return zero whenever a value of I is required beyond the image bounds

– **Assume periodicity.** Top row wraps around to the bottom row; leftmost column wraps around to rightmost column.

Simple **examples** of filtering:

— copy, shift, smoothing, sharpening

Linear filter **properties**:

— superposition, scaling, shift invariance

Characterization Theorem: Any linear, shift-invariant operation can be expressed as a convolution

Example 6: Smoothing with a Gaussian

Idea: Weight contributions of pixels by spatial proximity (nearness)

2D **Gaussian** (continuous case):

$$G_\sigma(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.)
Figure 4.2

Example 6: Smoothing with a Gaussian

Idea: Weight contributions of pixels by spatial proximity (nearness)

2D **Gaussian** (continuous case):

$$G_\sigma(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Standard Deviation



Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.)
Figure 4.2

Example 6: Smoothing with a Gaussian

Quantized an truncated **3x3 Gaussian** filter:

$G_\sigma(-1,1)$	$G_\sigma(0,1)$	$G_\sigma(1,1)$
$G_\sigma(-1,0)$	$G_\sigma(0,0)$	$G_\sigma(1,0)$
$G_\sigma(-1,-1)$	$G_\sigma(0,-1)$	$G_\sigma(1,-1)$

Example 6: Smoothing with a Gaussian

Quantized an truncated **3x3 Gaussian** filter:

$G_\sigma(-1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}$	$G_\sigma(1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$

Example 6: Smoothing with a Gaussian

Quantized an truncated **3x3 Gaussian** filter:

$G_\sigma(-1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}$	$G_\sigma(1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$

With $\sigma = 1$:

0.059	0.097	0.059
0.097	0.159	0.097
0.059	0.097	0.059

Example 6: Smoothing with a Gaussian

Quantized an truncated **3x3 Gaussian** filter:

$G_\sigma(-1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}$	$G_\sigma(1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$

With $\sigma = 1$:

0.059	0.097	0.059
0.097	0.159	0.097
0.059	0.097	0.059

What happens if σ is larger?

Example 6: Smoothing with a Gaussian

Quantized an truncated **3x3 Gaussian** filter:

$G_\sigma(-1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}$	$G_\sigma(1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$

With $\sigma = 1$:



What happens if σ is larger?

— **More** blur

Example 6: Smoothing with a Gaussian

Quantized an truncated **3x3 Gaussian** filter:

$G_\sigma(-1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}$	$G_\sigma(1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$

With $\sigma = 1$:

0.059	0.097	0.059
0.097	0.159	0.097
0.059	0.097	0.059

What happens if σ is larger?

What happens if σ is smaller?

Example 6: Smoothing with a Gaussian

Quantized an truncated **3x3 Gaussian** filter:

$G_\sigma(-1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}$	$G_\sigma(1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$

With $\sigma = 1$:



What happens if σ is larger?

What happens if σ is smaller?

— **Less** blur

Example 6: Smoothing with a Gaussian



Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) Figure 4.1 (left and right)

Box vs. **Gaussian** Filter



original



7x7 Gaussian



7x7 box

Slide Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Fun: How to get shadow effect?

University of British Columbia

Adopted from: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Fun: How to get shadow effect?

University of British Columbia

Blur with a Gaussian kernel, then compose the blurred image with the original (with some offset)

Adopted from: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Example 6: Smoothing with a Gaussian

Quantized an truncated **3x3 Gaussian** filter:

$G_\sigma(-1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}$	$G_\sigma(1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$

With $\sigma = 1$:

0.059	0.097	0.059
0.097	0.159	0.097
0.059	0.097	0.059

What is the problem with this filter?

Example 6: Smoothing with a Gaussian

Quantized an truncated **3x3 Gaussian** filter:

$G_\sigma(-1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}$	$G_\sigma(1,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$
$G_\sigma(-1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(0,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}}$	$G_\sigma(1,-1) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{2}{2\sigma^2}}$

With $\sigma = 1$:

0.059	0.097	0.059
0.097	0.159	0.097
0.059	0.097	0.059

What is the problem with this filter?

does not sum to 1

truncated too much

Gaussian: Area Under the Curve



Example 6: Smoothing with a Gaussian

With $\sigma = 1$:

0.059	0.097	0.059
0.097	0.159	0.097
0.059	0.097	0.059

Better version of the Gaussian filter:

- sums to 1 (normalized)
- captures $\pm 2\sigma$

$$\frac{1}{273}$$

1	4	7	4	1
4	16	26	16	4
7	26	41	26	7
4	16	26	16	4
1	4	7	4	1

In general, you want the Gaussian filter to capture $\pm 3\sigma$, for $\sigma = 1$ => 7x7 filter

Efficient Implementation: **Separability**

A 2D function of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ is **separable** if it can be written as the product of two functions, one a function only of $\mathbf{x}$ and the other a function only of $\mathbf{y}$

Both the 2D box filter and the 2D Gaussian filter are separable

Both can be implemented as two 1D convolutions:

— First, convolve each row with a 1D filter

— Then, convolve each column with a 1D filter

— Aside: or vice versa

The **2D Gaussian** is the only (non trivial) 2D function that is both separable and rotationally invariant.

Separability: Box Filter Example

Standard (3x3)

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	0	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

$$F(X,Y) = F(X)F(Y)$$

filter

$\frac{1}{9}$

1	1	1
1	1	1
1	1	1

	0	10	20	30	30	30	20	10	
	0	20	40	60	60	60	40	20	
	0	30	50	80	80	90	60	30	
	0	30	50	80	80	90	60	30	
	0	20	30	50	50	60	40	20	
	0	10	20	30	30	30	20	10	
	10	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	
	10	30	10	10	0	0	0	0	

Separable

image $I(X,Y)$

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	0	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	90	90	90	90	90	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

$F(X)$

filter

$\frac{1}{3}$

1	1	1

	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	30	60	90	90	90	60	30	
	0	30	60	90	90	90	60	30	
	0	30	30	60	60	90	60	30	
	0	30	60	90	90	90	60	30	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	30	30	30	30	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

$F(Y)$

filter

$\frac{1}{3}$

1
1
1

output $I'(X,Y)$

	0	10	20	30	30	30	20	10	
	0	20	40	60	60	60	40	20	
	0	30	50	80	80	90	60	30	
	0	30	50	80	80	90	60	30	
	0	20	30	50	50	60	40	20	
	0	10	20	30	30	30	20	10	
	10	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	
	10	30	10	10	0	0	0	0	

Efficient Implementation: **Separability**

For example, recall the 2D **Gaussian**:

$$G_\sigma(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

The 2D Gaussian can be expressed as a product of two functions, one a function of x and another a function of y

Efficient Implementation: **Separability**

For example, recall the 2D **Gaussian**:

$$G_\sigma(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}} \right)$$

function of x | function of y

The 2D Gaussian can be expressed as a product of two functions, one a function of x and another a function of y

Efficient Implementation: **Separability**

For example, recall the 2D **Gaussian**:

$$G_\sigma(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\exp^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\exp^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\exp^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}}\right)$$

function of x | function of y

The 2D Gaussian can be expressed as a product of two functions, one a function of x and another a function of y

In this case the two functions are (identical) 1D Gaussians

Efficient Implementation: **Separability**

Naive implementation of 2D **Gaussian**:

At each pixel, (X, Y), there are	$m \times m$ multiplications
There are	$n \times n$ pixels in (X, Y)
Total:	$m^2 \times n^2$ multiplications

Efficient Implementation: **Separability**

Naive implementation of 2D **Gaussian**:

At each pixel, (X, Y), there are	$m \times m$ multiplications
There are	$n \times n$ pixels in (X, Y)
Total:	$m^2 \times n^2$ multiplications

Separable 2D **Gaussian**:

Efficient Implementation: **Separability**

Naive implementation of 2D **Gaussian**:

At each pixel, (X, Y), there are	$m \times m$ multiplications
There are	$n \times n$ pixels in (X, Y)
Total:	$m^2 \times n^2$ multiplications

Separable 2D **Gaussian**:

At each pixel, (X, Y), there are	$2m$ multiplications
There are	$n \times n$ pixels in (X, Y)
Total:	$2m \times n^2$ multiplications

Example 7: Smoothing with a Pillbox

Let the radius (i.e., half diameter) of the filter be r

In a contentious domain, a 2D (circular) pillbox filter, $f(x, y)$, is defined as:

$$f(x,y) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \; x^2 + y^2 \leq r^2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



The scaling constant, $\frac{1}{\pi r^2}$, ensures that the area of the filter is one

Example 7: Smoothing with a Pillbox

Recall that the 2D Gaussian is the only (non trivial) 2D function that is both **separable** and **rotationally invariant**.

A **2D pillbox** is rotationally invariant but not separable.

There are occasions when we want to convolve an image with a 2D pillbox. Thus, it worth exploring possibilities for **efficient implementation**.

Example 7: Smoothing with a Pillbox

A 2D box filter can be expressed as the sum of a 2D pillbox and some “extra corner bits”



Example 7: Smoothing with a Pillbox

Therefore, a 2D pillbox filter can be expressed as the difference of a 2D box filter and those same “extra corner bits”

Example 7: Smoothing with a Pillbox

Implementing convolution with a 2D pillbox filter as the difference between convolution with a box filter and convolution with the “extra corner bits” filter allows us to take advantage of the separability of a box filter

Further, we can postpone scaling the output to a single, final step so that convolution involves filters containing all 0’s and 1’s
— This means the required convolutions can be implemented without any multiplication at all

Example 7: Smoothing with a Pillbox



Original



11 x 11 Pillbox

Speeding Up **Convolution** (The Convolution Theorem)

Let z be the product of two numbers, x and y, that is,

$$z = xy$$

Speeding Up **Convolution** (The Convolution Theorem)

Let z be the product of two numbers, x and y, that is,

$$z = xy$$

Taking logarithms of both sides, one obtains

$$\ln z = \ln x + \ln y$$

Speeding Up **Convolution** (The Convolution Theorem)

Let z be the product of two numbers, x and y, that is,

$$z = xy$$

Taking logarithms of both sides, one obtains

$$\ln z = \ln x + \ln y$$

Therefore.

$$z = \exp^{\ln z} = \exp^{(\ln x + \ln y)}$$

Speeding Up **Convolution** (The Convolution Theorem)

Let z be the product of two numbers, x and y, that is,

$$z = xy$$

Taking logarithms of both sides, one obtains

$$\ln z = \ln x + \ln y$$

Therefore.

$$z = \exp^{\ln z} = \exp^{(\ln x + \ln y)}$$

Interpretation: At the expense of two $\ln()$ and one $\exp()$ computations, multiplication is reduced to admission

Speeding Up **Rotation**

Another analogy: **2D rotation of a point by an angle** α about the origin

The standard approach, in Euclidean coordinates, involves a matrix multiplication

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\alpha & -\sin\alpha \\ \sin\alpha & \cos\alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we transform to polar coordinates

$$(x, y) \to (\rho, \theta) \to (\rho, \theta + \alpha) \to (x', y')$$

Rotation becomes addition, at expense of one polar coordinate transform and one inverse polar coordinate transform

Speeding Up **Convolution** (The Convolution Theorem)

Similarly, some image processing operations become cheaper in a transform domain



Gonzales & Woods (3rd ed.) Figure 2.39

Speeding Up **Convolution** (The Convolution Theorem)

Convolution **Theorem**:

Let $$i'(x,y) = f(x,y) \otimes i(x,y)$$

then $$\mathcal{I}'(w_x, w_y) = \mathcal{F}(w_x, w_y)\ \mathcal{I}(w_x, w_y)$$

where $\mathcal{I}'(w_x, w_y)$, $\mathcal{F}(w_x, w_y)$, and $\mathcal{I}(w_x, w_y)$ are Fourier transforms of $i'(x,y)$, $f(x,y)$ and $i(x,y)$

At the expense of two **Fourier** transforms and one inverse Fourier transform, convolution can be reduced to (complex) multiplication

What follows is for fun

(you will **NOT** be tested on this)

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)

Basic building block:

$$A\sin(\omega x + \phi)$$

Fourier's claim: Add enough of these to get any periodic signal you want!

Slide Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)

Basic building block:



Fourier's claim: Add enough of these to get <u>any</u> periodic signal you want!

Slide Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)

How would you generate this function?



= ? + ?

Slide Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)

How would you generate this function?



=



$\sin(2\pi x)$

+ ?

Slide Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)

How would you generate this function?



Slide Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)

How would you generate this function?



$$f(x) = \sin(2\pi x) + \frac{1}{3}\sin(2\pi 3x)$$

=



$$\sin(2\pi x)$$

+



$$\frac{1}{3}\sin(2\pi 3x)$$

Slide Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)

How would you generate this function?



square wave

$\approx$? + ?

Slide Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)

How would you generate this function?



square wave



Slide Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)

How would you generate this function?



square wave



Slide Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)

How would you generate this function?



square wave



Slide Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)

How would you generate this function?



square wave



How would you express this mathematically?

Slide Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)

How would you generate this function?



square wave

$$= A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sin(2\pi k x)$$

infinite sum of sine waves

Slide Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)

Basic building block:

$$A\sin(\omega x + \phi)$$

Fourier's claim: Add enough of these to get <u>any</u> periodic signal you want!

Slide Credit: Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)





Image from: Numerical Simulation and Fractal Analysis of Mesoscopic Scale Failure in Shale Using Digital Images

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)



amplitude phase

Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) Figure 4.6

Fourier Transform (you will **NOT** be tested on this)






cheetah phase with zebra amplitude






zebra phase with cheetah amplitude

amplitude phase

Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) Figure 4.6

What preceded was for fun

(you will **NOT** be tested on it)

Speeding Up **Convolution** (The Convolution Theorem)

Convolution **Theorem**:

Let $$i'(x,y) = f(x,y) \otimes i(x,y)$$

then $$\mathcal{I}'(w_x, w_y) = \mathcal{F}(w_x, w_y)\ \mathcal{I}(w_x, w_y)$$

where $\mathcal{I}'(w_x, w_y)$, $\mathcal{F}(w_x, w_y)$, and $\mathcal{I}(w_x, w_y)$ are Fourier transforms of $i'(x,y)$, $f(x,y)$ and $i(x,y)$

At the expense of two **Fourier** transforms and one inverse Fourier transform, convolution can be reduced to (complex) multiplication

Speeding Up **Convolution** (The Convolution Theorem)

General implementation of **convolution**:

At each pixel, (X, Y), there are	$m \times m$ multiplications
There are	$n \times n$ pixels in (X, Y)
Total:	$m^2 \times n^2$ multiplications

Convolution if FFT space:

Cost of FFT/IFFT for image: $\mathcal{O}(n^2 \log n)$

Cost of FFT/IFFT for filter: $\mathcal{O}(m^2 \log m)$

Cost of convolution: $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

Linear Filters: Properties (recall **Lecture 3**)

Let $\otimes$ denote convolution. Let $I(X, Y)$ be a digital image

Superposition: Let F_1 and F_2 be digital filters

$$(F_1 + F_2) \otimes I(X, Y) = F_1 \otimes I(X, Y) + F_2 \otimes I(X, Y)$$

Scaling: Let F be digital filter and let k be a scalar

$$(kF) \otimes I(X, Y) = F \otimes (kI(X, Y)) = k(F \otimes I(X, Y))$$

Shift Invariance: Output is local (i.e., no dependence on absolute position)

An operation is **linear** if it satisfies both **superposition** and **scaling**

Linear Filters: Additional Properties

Let $\otimes$ denote convolution. Let $I(X, Y)$ be a digital image. Let F and G be digital filters

— Convolution is **associative**. That is,

$$G \otimes (F \otimes I(X, Y)) = (G \otimes F) \otimes I(X, Y)$$

— Convolution is **symmetric**. That is,

$$(G \otimes F) \otimes I(X, Y) = (G \otimes F) \otimes I(X, Y)$$

Convolving $I(X, Y)$ with filter F and then convolving the result with filter G can be achieved in single step, namely convolving $I(X, Y)$ with filter $G \otimes F = F \otimes G$

Note: Correlation, in general, is **not associative**.

Example: Two Box Filters

filter = boxfilter(3)
signal.correlate2d(filter, filter,′ full′)



3x3 **Box** 3x3 **Box**

Example: Two Box Filters

Treat one filter as padded “image”



3x3 **Box**

3x3 **Box**

Output

Example: Two Box Filters

Treat one filter as padded “image”



3x3 **Box**

3x3 **Box**

Output

Example: Two Box Filters

Treat one filter as padded “image”



3x3 **Box**

3x3 **Box**

Output

Example: Two Box Filters

Treat one filter as padded “image”



3x3 **Box**

3x3 **Box**

Output

Example: Two Box Filters

Treat one filter as padded “image”



3x3 **Box**

3x3 **Box**

Output

Example: Two Box Filters

Treat one filter as padded “image”

$$\frac{1}{9}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \frac{1}{9}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{81}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 & 6 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

3x3 **Box**

3x3 **Box**

Output

Example: Two Box Filters

filter = boxfilter(3)
temp = signal.correlate2d(filter, filter,′ full′)
signal.correlate2d(filter, temp,′ full′)



Example: Separable Gaussian Filter

$$\frac{1}{16}\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \otimes \frac{1}{16}\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array} = \frac{1}{256}\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\ \hline 4 & 16 & 24 & 16 & 4 \\ \hline 6 & 24 & 36 & 24 & 6 \\ \hline 4 & 16 & 24 & 16 & 4 \\ \hline 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Example: Separable Gaussian Filter

$$\frac{1}{16}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \frac{1}{16}\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{256}\begin{bmatrix} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ 1 & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: Separable Gaussian Filter

$$\frac{1}{16}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \frac{1}{16}\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{256}\begin{bmatrix} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 16 & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: Separable Gaussian Filter

$$\frac{1}{16}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \frac{1}{16}\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{256}\begin{bmatrix} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 16 & 24 & 16 & 4 \\ 6 & 24 & 36 & 24 & 6 \\ 4 & 16 & 24 & 16 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: Separable Gaussian Filter

$$\frac{1}{16}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \frac{1}{16}\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{256}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 16 & 24 & 16 & 4 \\ 6 & 24 & 36 & 24 & 6 \\ 4 & 16 & 24 & 16 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Pre-Convolving Filters

Convolving two filters of size $m \times m$ and $n \times n$ results in filter of size:

$$\left(n + 2\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \times \left(n + 2\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor\right)$$

More broadly for a set of K filters of sizes $m_k \times m_k$ the resulting filter will have size:

$$\left(m_1 + 2\sum_{k=2}^{K}\left\lfloor \frac{m_k}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \times \left(m_1 + 2\sum_{k=2}^{K}\left\lfloor \frac{m_k}{2} \right\rfloor\right)$$

Gaussian: An Additional Property

Let $\otimes$ denote convolution. Let $G_{\sigma_1}(x)$ and $G_{\sigma_2}(x)$ be be two 1D Gaussians

$$G_{\sigma_1}(x) \otimes G_{\sigma_2}(x) = G_{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2+\sigma_2^2}}(x)$$

Convolution of two Gaussians is another Gaussian

Special case: Convolving with $G_{\sigma}(x)$ twice is equivalent to $G_{\sqrt{2}\sigma}(x)$

Summary

We covered two additional linear filters: **Gaussian**, **pillbox**

Separability (of a 2D filter) allows for more efficient implementation (as two 1D filters)

The Convolution Theorem: In **Fourier** space, convolution can be reduced to (complex) multiplication