

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CPSC 425: Computer Vision



(unless otherwise stated slides are taken or adopted from **Bob Woodham, Jim Little** and **Fred Tung**)

Lecture 11: Edge Detection (cont.)

Menu for Today (September 28, 2018)

Topics:

- Edge Detection
- Marr / Hildreth and Canny Edges

Redings:

- Today's Lecture: Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) 5.1 5.2
- Next Lecture: Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) 5.3.0 5.3.1

Reminders:



– Image Boundaries

— Assignment 2: Face Detection in a Scaled Representation is October 10th



Today's "fun" Example: Motion Illusion



Today's "fun" Example: Rotating Snakes Illusion



Lecture 10: Re-cap

Physical properties of a 3D scene cause "edges" in an image:

- depth discontinuity
- surface orientation discontinuity
- reflectance discontinuity
- illumination boundaries

Lecture 10: Re-cap

Edge: a location with high gradient (derivative) Need smoothing to reduce noise prior to taking derivative Need two derivatives, in x and y direction We can use **derivative of Gaussian** filters because differentiation is convolution, and - convolution is associative

Let \otimes denote convolution

 $D \otimes (G \otimes I(X,Y)) = (D \otimes G) \otimes I(X,Y)$







Lecture 10: Re-cap

The gradient of an image: $\nabla f = \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right|$

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla f = \nabla f = \nabla f$$

The gradient points in the direction of most rapid **increase of intensity**:

The gradient direction is given by: $\theta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}/\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)$

(how is this related to the direction of the edge?)

The edge strength is given by the **gradient magnitude**: $\|\nabla f\| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)^2}$

$$\nabla f = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right]$$

$$= \left[\mathbf{0}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right]$$



Sobel Edge Detector

1. Use **central differencing** to compute gradient image (instead of first forward differencing). This is more accurate.

2. Threshold to obtain edges





Original Image

Sobel Gradient

Thresholds are brittle, we can do better!



Sobel Edges

Two Generic Approaches for **Edge** Detection



Two generic approaches to edge point detection:

- (significant) local extrema of a first derivative operator
- zero crossings of a second derivative operator



A "zero crossings of a second derivative operator" approach

Design Criteria:

- 1. localization in space
- 2. localization in frequency
- 3. rotationally invariant

A "zero crossings of a second derivative operator" approach

Steps:

1. Gaussian for smoothing

2. Laplacian (∇^2) for differentiation where

$$\nabla^2 f(x,y) = \frac{\partial^2 f(x,y)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f(x,y)}{\partial y^2}$$

3. Locate zero-crossings in the Laplacian of the Gaussian ($\nabla^2 G$) where

$$\nabla^2 G(x,y) = \frac{-1}{2\pi\sigma^4}$$

$$\left[2 - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{\sigma^2}\right] \exp^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

11

Here's a 3D plot of the Laplacian of the Gaussian ($abla^2 G$)



... with its characteristic "Mexican hat" shape

1D Example: Continued

Lets consider a row of pixels in an image:



Where is the edge?

Zero-crossings of bottom graph

	5	x	5	LoG	filter
--	---	---	---	-----	--------

0	0	-1	0	0
0	-1	-2	-1	0
-1	-2	16	-2	-1
0	-1	-2	-1	0
0	0	-1	0	0

	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0
0	0	-1	-1	-1	-2	-3	-3	-3	-3	-3	-2	-1	-1	-1	0
0	0	-1	-1	-2	-3	-3	-3	-3	-3	-3	-3	-2	-1	-1	0
0	-1	-1	-2	-3	-3	-3	-2	-3	-2	-3	-3	-3	-2	-1	-1
0	-1	-2	-3	-3	-3	0	2	4	2	0	-3	-3	-3	-2	-1
-1	-1	-3	-3	-3	0	4	10	12	10	4	0	-3	-3	-3	-1
-1	-1	-3	-3	-2	2	10	18	21	18	10	2	-2	-3	-3	-1
-1	-1	-3	-3	-3	4	12	21	24	21	12	4	-3	-3	-3	-1
-1	-1	-3	-3	-2	2	10	18	21	18	10	2	-2	-3	-3	-1
-1	-1	-3	-3	-3	0	4	10	12	10	4	0	-3	-3	-3	-1
0	-1	-2	-3	-3	-3	0	2	4	2	0	-3	-3	-3	-2	-1
0	-1	-1	-2	-3	-3	-3	-2	-3	-2	-3	-3	-3	-2	-1	-1
0	-1	-1	-2	-3	-3	-3	-2	-3	-2	-3	-3	-3	-2	-1	-1
0	0	-1	-1	-1	-2	-3	-3	-3	-3	-3	-2	-1	-1	-1	0
0	0	0	0	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0

17 x 17 LoG filter

Scale (o)

ho



Original Image





LoG Filter



Zero Crossings



Scale (σ)

Image From: A. Campilho

Assignment 1: High Frequency Image





original



smoothed (5x5 Gaussian)

original - smoothed (scaled by 4, offset +128)



Assignment 1: High Frequency Image





original



smoothed (5x5 Gaussian)

smoothed - original (scaled by 4, offset +128)



Assignment 1: High Frequency Image





Canny Edge Detector

A "local extrema of a first derivative operator" approach

Design Criteria:

1. good detection

- low error rate for omissions (missed edges)
- low error rate for commissions (false positive)

2. good localization

3. one (single) response to a given edge
— (i.e., eliminate multiple responses to a single edge)

nissed edges) s (false positive)



Question: How many edges are there?Question: What is the position of each edge?

20



Question: How many edges are there?Question: What is the position of each edge?



Question: How many edges are there?Question: What is the position of each edge?

Canny Edge Detector

Steps:

- 1. Apply directional derivatives of Gaussian
- 2. Compute gradient magnitude and gradient direction
- 3. Non-maximum suppression — thin multi-pixel wide "ridges" down to single pixel width
- 4. Linking and thresholding
 - Low, high edge-strength thresholds
 - threshold

Accept all edges over low threshold that are connected to edge over high

Idea: suppress near-by similar detections to obtain one "true" result

Idea: suppress near-by similar detections to obtain one "true" result





Detected template



Correlation map

Slide Credit: Kristen Grauman

Idea: suppress near-by similar detections to obtain one "true" result





Detected template



Correlation map

Slide Credit: Kristen Grauman



Forsyth & Ponce (1st ed.) Figure 8.11



Select the image **maximum point** across the width of the edge

Value at q must be larger than interpolated values at p and r



Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) Figure 5.5 left

Value at q must be larger than interpolated values at p and r



Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) Figure 5.5 left

Example: Non-maxima Suppression



Original Image

Gradient Magnitude

courtesy of G. Loy

Non-maxima Suppression

Slide Credit: Christopher Rasmussen



Forsyth & Ponce (1st ed.) Figure 8.13 top





Forsyth & Ponce (1st ed.) Figure 8.13 top



Figure 8.13 bottom left Fine scale ($\sigma = 1$), high threshold



Forsyth & Ponce (1st ed.) Figure 8.13 top



Figure 8.13 bottom middle Fine scale ($\sigma = 4$), high threshold







Forsyth & Ponce (1st ed.) Figure 8.13 top



Figure 8.13 bottom right Fine scale ($\sigma = 4$), low threshold

Linking Edge Points



Forsyth & Ponce (2nd ed.) Figure 5.5 right

Assume the marked point is an **edge point**. Take the normal to the gradient at that point and use this to predict continuation points (either *r* or *s*)

Edge Hysteresis

- One way to deal with broken edge chains is to use hysteresis
- Hysteresis: A lag or momentum factor
- Idea: Maintain two thresholds \mathbf{k}_{high} and \mathbf{k}_{low} Use khigh to find strong edges to start edge chain
- Use klow to find weak edges which continue edge chain
- Typical ratio of thresholds is (roughly):

 \mathbf{k}_{h}

$$\frac{nigh}{2} = 2$$

nlow

Canny Edge Detector

Original Image









Strong + connected Weak Edges



courtesy of G. Loy

Weak Edges

5

Edges are a property of the 2D image.

It is interesting to ask: How closely do image edges correspond to boundaries that humans perceive to be salient or significant?



"Divide the image into some number of segments, where the segments represent 'things' or 'parts of things' in the scene. The number of segments is up to you, as it depends on the image. Something between 2 and 30 is likely to be appropriate. It is important that all of the segments have approximately equal importance."

(Martin et al. 2004)







Figure Credit: Martin et al. 2001

.



Figure Credit: Martin et al. 2001

.



Each image shows multiple (4-8) human-marked boundaries. Pixels are darker where more humans marked a boundary.

Figure Credit: Szeliski Fig. 4.31. Original: Martin et al. 2004



Boundary Detection

We can formulate **boundary detection** as a high-level recognition task - Try to learn, from sample human-annotated images, which visual features or cues are predictive of a salient/significant boundary

on a boundary

Many boundary detectors output a **probability or confidence** that a pixel is

Boundary Detection: Example Approach

- Consider circular windows cut in half by an oriented line through the middle
- Compare visual features on both sides of the cut line
- If features are very different on the two sides, the cut line probably corresponds to a boundary
- Notice this gives us an idea of the orientation of the boundary as well

Boundary Detection:

Features:

- Raw Intensity
- Orientation Energy
- Brightness Gradient
- Color Gradient
- Texture gradient



Figure Credit: Martin et al. 2004

Boundary Detection: Example Approach



Figure Credit: Szeliski Fig. 4.33. Original: Martin et al. 2004



Summary

Physical properties of a 3D scene cause "edges" in an image:

- depth discontinuity
- surface orientation discontinuity
- reflectance discontinuity
- illumination boundaries

Two generic approaches to edge detection:

- local extrema of a first derivative operator \rightarrow Canny
- zero crossings of a second derivative operator \rightarrow Marr/Hildreth

Many algorithms consider "boundary detection" as a high-level recognition task and output a probability or confidence that a pixel is on a human-perceived boundary