Introduction

Shortest Paths

Jonathan Backer backer@cs.ubc.ca

Department of Computer Science University of British Columbia



June 24, 2007

Reading:

- CLRS: "Single-Source Shortest Paths" 24 (except 24.4)
- ▶ GT: "Single-Source Shortest Paths" 7.1

Given a weighted graph, we define the cost of a path as the sum of weights between consecutive path vertices. We explore two different approaches to finding all of the shortest paths from a given source vertex.

The first algorithm is similar to Prim's algorithm and is greedy. The second algorithm uses dynamic programming algorithm (our next topic).

Directed graphs

Edges of a directed graph have direction and can only be traversed one way.

- An edge $(u, v) \in E$ from u to v is an ordered pair.
- ▶ In particular, $(u, v) \neq (v, u)$.

A path from *u* to *v* is a sequence of vertices $u = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_t = v$ where $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E$, for $1 \le i \le t$.

Example



Vertices are intersections and edges are one-way streets. The weight of an edge is the street length or the expected travel time.

What are the shortest paths from *s*?

Directed vs. undirected graphs

Every undirected graph has a directed counterpart.



Problems differ on directed graphs

- Cycle guarantees connectivity in directed graphs.
- > Tree guarantees connectivity in undirected graphs.

The solution to the directed counterpart is not necessarily a solution to the undirected original.



Single source shortest paths

Problem

Given a weighted (directed) graph G and a source vertex s, find the shortest paths from s to every other vertex of G.

Important properties:

- No vertex is visited twice on a shortest path.
- ▶ The prefix of a shortest path is a shortest path.

Outline of Dijkstra's algorithm:

- Grow a shortest path tree rooted at s and directed from s
- Track the cost of the shortest path to other vertices using just vertices in tree (plus the destination).
- Repeatedly add the vertex that is cheapest to reach from the tree.

Efficient cost update

How do we update the costs once we add a vertex to the shortest path tree?



Suppose u is added to get T(k).

- ► Is it cheaper to reach vertices outside of T(k - 1) by going through u?
- Update neighbours of *u* that aren't in *T*(k - 1) (e.g. v and w).

► Other vertices are unaffected (e.g. x and y).

To find *u* efficiently, we keep $V \setminus T(k-1)$ in a heap.

Dijkstra's example



vertex	s	а	b	С	d	Tree
costs	0	∞	∞	∞	∞	Ø
	-	10,s	∞	30,s	100,s	{ <i>s</i> }
	-	-	∞	30,s	20,a	$\{s,a\}$
	-	-	40,d	30,s	-	$\{s, a, d\}$
	-	-	35,c	-	-	$\{s, a, c, d\}$

Initialization

- cost[v] is the cost of the shortest path from s to v.
- prev[v] is used for path recovery it indicates what edge was used to get the minimum cost[v].

```
Algorithm Dijkstra(V, E, s)

for v \in V do

tree[v] \leftarrow false

if (v = s) then

cost[v] = 0

else

cost[v] = \infty

Q.insert(v, cost[v])

prev[v] = \emptyset
```

Dijkstra's algorithm: greedy loop

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{for } k \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } |V| \text{ do} \\ v \leftarrow Q.\text{deleteMin()} \\ tree[v] \leftarrow true \\ \text{if } v = s \text{ then} \\ T \leftarrow \emptyset \\ \text{else} \\ T \leftarrow T \cup \{(v, prev[v])\} \\ \text{for each } (v, w) \in E \text{ do} \\ \text{ if } tree[w] = false \text{ and} \\ cost[w] > cost[v] + w((v, w)) \\ \text{ then} \\ prev[w] \leftarrow v \\ cost[w] \leftarrow cost[v] + w((v, w)) \\ Q.\text{updatePriority}(w, cost[w]) \end{array}$

Another example

Find the shortest paths from d.



Run-time complexity

We count the priority queue operations because they are inside each loop and are the only non-constant time operations.

- Adding vertices to the queue: $|V| \times O(\log |V|) = O(|V| \log |V|)$
- Removing the minimum vertex from the queue: $|V| \times O(\log |V|) = O(|V| \log |V|)$
- Updating the priority of a vertex in the queue (at most once for each edge):

 $|E| \times O(\log |V|) = O(|E| \log |V|)$

Total cost: $O([|V| + |E|] \log |V|)$

Notation

Let T(k) be the shortest path tree with k vertices built after k adding k vertices.

Let SP(T, v) be the shortest cost path from s to v in the subgraph T of G.

- We explicitly allow $v \notin T$.
- In this case, we include the edges of G from T to v.



Correctness

Proof

We inductively prove the following about *cost* after k iterations.

$$cost[v] = \left\{ egin{array}{c} SP(G,v) & ext{if } v \in T(k) \\ SP(T(k),v) & ext{otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$

Base case (k=1): Result of initialization

$$cost[v] = \left\{ egin{array}{c} 0 &= SP(G,v) & ext{if } v = s \ \infty &= SP(T(k),v) & ext{otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$

Induction step:

Assume that the hypothesis holds after k iterations. Suppose u is added on the (k + 1)th iteration. We now look at cost[v] for every $v \in V$ after the updates.

Correctness (cont'd)

Proof (cont'd)

Let $s = x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i = u$ be a shortest s, u-path in G. Consider the smallest j such that $x_i \notin T(k)$. If j = i, then SP(T(k), u) = SP(G, u).



So suppose $i \neq j$. Then by the greedy choice of u instead of x_i

 $SP(T(k), u) \leq SP(T(k), x_j)$ = SP(G, x_i). But $SP(G, x_i) \leq SP(G, u)$.

Hence $SP(T(k), u) \leq SP(G, u)$ by transitivity.

Correctness (cont'd)

Proof (cont'd)

1. $v \in T(k)$

After the *k*th iteration, cost[v] = SP(G, v). It is unchanged by the (k+1)th iteration.

2. $v \notin T(k)$ and $v \neq u$

If $(u, v) \in E$, then cost[v] is properly updated and equals SP(T(k+1), v). Otherwise cost[v] is not updated, which is correct because SP(T(k), v) = SP(T(k+1), v).

3. $v \notin T(k)$ and v = u

Then cost[u] is not updated, so it suffices to show that SP(T(k), u) = SP(G, u).

Bellman-Ford algorithm

- Works with negative edge weights!
- Our first dynamic programming algorithm.
 - Divide-and-conquer breaks problems into subproblems (top-down).
 - Dynamic programming combines subproblems into problems (bottom-up).
- ▶ If there is an negative cost cycle, there is no shortest path.
- ▶ If no negative cost cycles, a shortest path visits each vertex.
 - Each shortest path uses at most |V| 1 edges.
- Bellman-Ford iteratively finds shortest paths using at most $1, 2, 3, \ldots, |V| - 1$ edges.

Pseudo-code

```
Algorithm Bellman-Ford(V, E, s)
   for v \in V do
       if (v = s) then cost[v] = 0
       else cost[v] = \infty
       prev[v] = \emptyset
   for k \leftarrow 1 to |V| - 1 do
       for (u, v) \in E do
           if cost[u] \neq \infty and
               cost[v] > cost[u] + w((u, v))
           then
               cost[v] \leftarrow cost[u] + w((u, v))
               prev[v] \leftarrow u
   for (u, v) \in E do
       if cost[u] \neq \infty and
           cost[v] > cost[u] + w((u, v))
       then throw new Exception("negative cycle!")
```

Correctness

Proof

Clearly the algorithm finds paths and calculates their costs correctly.

To prove correctness, we argue inductively that after the kth iteration of the "for k" loop that

 cost[v] is no larger than the length of the shortest s, v-path that has at most k edges.

Base case (k=0): We can only reach *s*. Property holds by initialization.

Inductive step: Assume that it holds for k. The shortest s, v-path using at most (k + 1) edges must have been a shortest s, u-path using at most k edges plus a shortest u, v-path using 1 edge, for some u. After considering every edge, we have found u and updated cost[v] and prev[v] accordingly.