

# Computing Mixed Nash Equilibria

ISCI 330 Lecture 7

January 31, 2007

# Lecture Overview

- 1 Recap
- 2 Computing Mixed Nash Equilibria
- 3 Fun Game

# What are solution concepts?

- **Solution concept:** a subset of the outcomes in the game that are somehow interesting.
- There is an implicit computational problem of finding these outcomes given a particular game.
- Depending on the concept, existence can be an issue.

Solution concepts we've seen so far:

# What are solution concepts?

- **Solution concept**: a subset of the outcomes in the game that are somehow interesting.
- There is an implicit computational problem of finding these outcomes given a particular game.
- Depending on the concept, existence can be an issue.

Solution concepts we've seen so far:

- Pareto-optimal outcome
- Pure-strategy Nash equilibrium
- Mixed-strategy Nash equilibrium
- Other Nash variants:
  - **weak** Nash equilibrium
  - **strict** Nash equilibrium

# Mixed Strategies

- It would be a pretty bad idea to play any deterministic strategy in matching pennies
- Idea: confuse the opponent by playing **randomly**
- Define a **strategy**  $s_i$  for agent  $i$  as any probability distribution over the actions  $A_i$ .
  - **pure strategy**: only one action is played with positive probability
  - **mixed strategy**: more than one action is played with positive probability
    - these actions are called the **support** of the mixed strategy
- Let the set of **all strategies** for  $i$  be  $S_i$
- Let the set of **all strategy profiles** be  $S = S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$ .

# Utility under Mixed Strategies

- What is your **payoff** if all the players follow mixed strategy profile  $s \in S$ ?
  - We can't just read this number from the game matrix anymore: we won't always end up in the same cell
- Instead, use the idea of **expected utility** from decision theory:

$$u_i(s) = \sum_{a \in A} u_i(a) Pr(a|s)$$

$$Pr(a|s) = \prod_{j \in N} s_j(a_j)$$

# Best Response and Nash Equilibrium

Our definitions of best response and Nash equilibrium generalize from actions to strategies.

- **Best response:**

- $s_i^* \in BR(s_{-i})$  iff  $\forall s_i \in S_i, u_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}) \geq u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$

- **Nash equilibrium:**

- $s = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle$  is a Nash equilibrium iff  $\forall i, s_i \in BR(s_{-i})$

- **Every finite game has a Nash equilibrium!** [Nash, 1950]

- e.g., matching pennies: both players play heads/tails 50%/50%

# Lecture Overview

- 1 Recap
- 2 Computing Mixed Nash Equilibria
- 3 Fun Game

# Computing Mixed Nash Equilibria: Battle of the Sexes

	B	F
B	2, 1	0, 0
F	0, 0	1, 2

- It's hard in general to compute Nash equilibria, but it's easy when you can guess the **support**
- For BoS, let's look for an equilibrium where all actions are part of the support

# Computing Mixed Nash Equilibria: Battle of the Sexes

	B	F
B	2, 1	0, 0
F	0, 0	1, 2

- Let player 2 play  $B$  with  $p$ ,  $F$  with  $1 - p$ .
- If player 1 best-responds with a mixed strategy, player 2 must make him indifferent between  $F$  and  $B$  (why?)

# Computing Mixed Nash Equilibria: Battle of the Sexes

	B	F
B	2, 1	0, 0
F	0, 0	1, 2

- Let player 2 play  $B$  with  $p$ ,  $F$  with  $1 - p$ .
- If player 1 best-responds with a mixed strategy, player 2 must make him indifferent between  $F$  and  $B$  (why?)

$$\begin{aligned}u_1(B) &= u_1(F) \\2p + 0(1 - p) &= 0p + 1(1 - p) \\p &= \frac{1}{3}\end{aligned}$$

# Computing Mixed Nash Equilibria: Battle of the Sexes

	B	F
B	2, 1	0, 0
F	0, 0	1, 2

- Likewise, player 1 must randomize to make player 2 indifferent.
  - Why is player 1 willing to randomize?

# Computing Mixed Nash Equilibria: Battle of the Sexes

	B	F
B	2, 1	0, 0
F	0, 0	1, 2

- Likewise, player 1 must randomize to make player 2 indifferent.
  - Why is player 1 willing to randomize?
- Let player 1 play  $B$  with  $q$ ,  $F$  with  $1 - q$ .

$$u_2(B) = u_2(F)$$

$$q + 0(1 - q) = 0q + 2(1 - q)$$

$$q = \frac{2}{3}$$

- Thus the mixed strategies  $(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ ,  $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$  are a Nash equilibrium.

# Interpreting Mixed Strategy Equilibria

What does it mean to play a mixed strategy? Different interpretations:

- Randomize to **confuse** your opponent
  - consider the matching pennies example
- Players randomize when they are **uncertain** about the other's action
  - consider battle of the sexes
- Mixed strategies are a concise description of what might happen in **repeated play**: count of pure strategies in the limit
- Mixed strategies describe **population dynamics**: 2 agents chosen from a population, all having deterministic strategies. MS is the probability of getting an agent who will play one PS or another.

# Lecture Overview

- 1 Recap
- 2 Computing Mixed Nash Equilibria
- 3 Fun Game**

# Fun Game!

	<i>L</i>	<i>R</i>
<i>T</i>	80, 40	40, 80
<i>B</i>	40, 80	80, 40

- Play once as each player, recording the strategy you follow.

# Fun Game!

	$L$	$R$
$T$	320, 40	40, 80
$B$	40, 80	80, 40

- Play once as each player, recording the strategy you follow.

# Fun Game!

	<i>L</i>	<i>R</i>
<i>T</i>	44, 40	40, 80
<i>B</i>	40, 80	80, 40

- Play once as each player, recording the strategy you follow.

# Fun Game!

	$L$	$R$
$T$	80, 40; <b>320, 40; 44, 40</b>	40, 80
$B$	40, 80	80, 40

- Play once as each player, recording the strategy you follow.
- What does row player do in equilibrium of this game?

# Fun Game!

	<i>L</i>	<i>R</i>
<i>T</i>	80, 40; <b>320, 40; 44, 40</b>	40, 80
<i>B</i>	40, 80	80, 40

- Play once as each player, recording the strategy you follow.
- What does row player do in equilibrium of this game?
  - row player randomizes 50-50 all the time
  - that's what it takes to make column player indifferent

# Fun Game!

	$L$	$R$
$T$	80, 40; <b>320, 40; 44, 40</b>	40, 80
$B$	40, 80	80, 40

- Play once as each player, recording the strategy you follow.
- What does row player do in equilibrium of this game?
  - row player randomizes 50-50 all the time
  - that's what it takes to make column player indifferent
- What happens when people play this game?

# Fun Game!

	<i>L</i>	<i>R</i>
<i>T</i>	80, 40; <b>320, 40; 44, 40</b>	40, 80
<i>B</i>	40, 80	80, 40

- Play once as each player, recording the strategy you follow.
- What does row player do in equilibrium of this game?
  - row player randomizes 50-50 all the time
  - that's what it takes to make column player indifferent
- What happens when people play this game?
  - with payoff of 320, row player goes up essentially all the time
  - with payoff of 44, row player goes down essentially all the time