#### Recap

# Analyzing Games: Nash Equilibrium Recap

#### ISCI 330 Lecture 6

January 25, 2007

Analyzing Games: Nash Equilibrium Recap

ISCI 330 Lecture 6, Slide 1

白 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

#### Lecture Overview



Interpreting Mixed Strategies

Analyzing Games: Nash Equilibrium Recap

ISCI 330 Lecture 6, Slide 2

3

- < ≣ →

● ▶ < ミ ▶

#### What are solution concepts?

- Solution concept: a subset of the outcomes in the game that are somehow interesting.
- There is an implicit computational problem of finding these outcomes given a particular game.
- Depending on the concept, existence can be an issue.

Solution concepts we've seen so far:

• E • • E •

## What are solution concepts?

- Solution concept: a subset of the outcomes in the game that are somehow interesting.
- There is an implicit computational problem of finding these outcomes given a particular game.
- Depending on the concept, existence can be an issue.

Solution concepts we've seen so far:

- Pareto-optimal outcome
- Pure-strategy Nash equilibrium
- Mixed-strategy Nash equilibrium
- Other Nash variants:
  - weak Nash equilibrium
  - strict Nash equilibrium

#### **Mixed Strategies**

- It would be a pretty bad idea to play any deterministic strategy in matching pennies
- Idea: confuse the opponent by playing randomly
- Define a strategy  $s_i$  for agent i as any probability distribution over the actions  $A_i$ .
  - pure strategy: only one action is played with positive probability
  - mixed strategy: more than one action is played with positive probability
    - these actions are called the support of the mixed strategy
- Let the set of all strategies for i be  $S_i$
- Let the set of all strategy profiles be  $S = S_1 \times \ldots \times S_n$ .

★御▶ ★理▶ ★理▶ 二臣

## Utility under Mixed Strategies

- What is your payoff if all the players follow mixed strategy profile s ∈ S?
  - We can't just read this number from the game matrix anymore: we won't always end up in the same cell
- Instead, use the idea of expected utility from decision theory:

$$u_i(s) = \sum_{a \in A} u_i(a) Pr(a|s)$$
$$Pr(a|s) = \prod_{j \in N} s_j(a_j)$$

Analyzing Games: Nash Equilibrium Recap

## Best Response and Nash Equilibrium

Our definitions of best response and Nash equilibrium generalize from actions to strategies.

- Best response:
  - $s_i^* \in BR(s_{-i})$  iff  $\forall s_i \in S_i, u_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}) \ge u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$
- Nash equilibrium:
  - $s = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n \rangle$  is a Nash equilibrium iff  $\forall i, s_i \in BR(s_{-i})$
- Every finite game has a Nash equilibrium! [Nash, 1950]
  e.g., matching pennies: both players play heads/tails 50%/50%

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ─ 臣

#### Lecture Overview





Analyzing Games: Nash Equilibrium Recap

< ∃⇒

A ■

# Interpreting Mixed Strategy Equilibria

What does it mean to play a mixed strategy? Different interpretations:

- Randomize to confuse your opponent
  - consider the matching pennies example
- Players randomize when they are uncertain about the other's action
  - consider battle of the sexes
- Mixed strategies are a concise description of what might happen in repeated play: count of pure strategies in the limit
- Mixed strategies describe population dynamics: 2 agents chosen from a population, all having deterministic strategies. MS is the probability of getting an agent who will play one PS or another.

白 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …