Your name: _____

Author's name: _____

1. The paper **has a clear thesis** and motivates the problem being addressed.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly disagree				neutral					strongly agree

2. The paper shows an understanding of **existing work in the literature** and presents this work in the context of the author's thesis.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly disagree				neutral					strongly agree

3. The paper had **intellectual depth**. Technical ideas were non-obvious and appeared correct; arguments made about existing work showed insight; a survey paper was not overly narrow; etc.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly disagree				neutral					strongly agree

4. The paper **represents a contribution** beyond the existing work described. Some examples of such contributions: a synthesis of different papers into a clear survey; new theoretical results; experimental evaluation of theoretical claims; new arguments for or against claims made in the literature; attempts to either reconcile or contrast different approaches, etc.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly disagree				neutral					strongly agree

5. The paper was **clear**; technical ideas and arguments were easy to follow.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly disagree				neutral					strongly agree

6. The paper was **well-organized**. General claims were made before specific details were given. A reader who quickly skimmed the paper would understand the general ideas.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly disagree				neutral					strongly agree

7. The **quality of the writing** (e.g., use of language, grammar, etc.) was high.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly disagree				neutral					strongly agree

8. My **overall impression** was…

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly negative				neutral					strongly positive

Please write comments on the back of this sheet, below the section containing your name.