Recap	LP	Computing	Domination	Fun Game	Iterated Removal
	(Computing	Minmax; C	ominance	
_					
		CPSC	532A Lectur	e 5	

Computing Minmax; Dominance

CPSC 532A Lecture 5, Slide 1

くしゃ (四)・(日)・(日)・(日)・

Recap	LP	Computing	Domination	Fun Game	Iterated Removal
Lecture	Overv	view			



- 2 Linear Programming
- 3 Computational Problems Involving Maxmin
- 4 Domination
- 5 Fun Game
- 6 Iterated Removal of Dominated Strategies

(4回) (4回) (4回)

 Recap
 LP
 Computing
 Domination
 Fun Game
 Iterated Removal

 What are solution concepts?
 Image: Solution concepts in the solutin concept in the solution concept in the solution concept in th

- Solution concept: a subset of the outcomes in the game that are somehow interesting.
- There is an implicit computational problem of finding these outcomes given a particular game.
- Depending on the concept, existence can be an issue.

Solution concepts we've seen so far:

글 에 에 글 어

 Recap
 LP
 Computing
 Domination
 Fun Game
 Iterated Removal

 What are solution concepts?
 Image: Solution concepts in the solutin concept in the solution concept in the solution concept in th

- Solution concept: a subset of the outcomes in the game that are somehow interesting.
- There is an implicit computational problem of finding these outcomes given a particular game.
- Depending on the concept, existence can be an issue.

Solution concepts we've seen so far:

- Pareto-optimal outcome
- Pure-strategy Nash equilibrium
- Mixed-strategy Nash equilibrium
- Other Nash variants:
 - weak Nash equilibrium
 - strict Nash equilibrium
- maxmin strategy profile
- minmax strategy profile



- Define a strategy s_i for agent i as any probability distribution over the actions A_i .
 - pure strategy: only one action is played with positive probability
 - mixed strategy: more than one action is played with positive probability
 - these actions are called the support of the mixed strategy
- Let the set of all strategies for i be S_i
- Let the set of all strategy profiles be $S = S_1 \times \ldots \times S_n$.

・日・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

 Recap
 LP
 Computing
 Domination
 Fun Game
 Iterated Removal

 Best Response and Nash Equilibrium

Our definitions of best response and Nash equilibrium generalize from actions to strategies.

• Best response:

•
$$s_i^* \in BR(s_{-i})$$
 iff $\forall s_i \in S_i, u_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}) \ge u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$

• Nash equilibrium:

• $s = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n \rangle$ is a Nash equilibrium iff $\forall i, s_i \in BR(s_{-i})$

• Every finite game has a Nash equilibrium! [Nash, 1950]

Recap	LP	Computing	Domination	Fun Game	Iterated Removal
Maxmin	and N	Ainmax			

Definition (Maxmin)

The maxmin strategy for player *i* is $\arg \max_{s_i} \min_{s_{-i}} u_i(s_1, s_2)$, and the maxmin value for player *i* is $\max_{s_i} \min_{s_{-i}} u_i(s_1, s_2)$.

Definition (Minmax, 2-player)

In a two-player game, the minmax strategy for player i against player -i is $\arg\min_{s_i}\max_{s_{-i}}u_{-i}(s_i,s_{-i})$, and player -i's minmax value is $\min_{s_i}\max_{s_{-i}}u_{-i}(s_i,s_{-i})$.

We can also generalize minmax to n players.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Theorem (Minimax theorem (von Neumann, 1928))

In any finite, two-player, zero-sum game, in any Nash equilibrium each player receives a payoff that is equal to both his maxmin value and his minmax value.

- Each player's maxmin value is equal to his minmax value. By convention, the maxmin value for player 1 is called the value of the game.
- For both players, the set of maxmin strategies coincides with the set of minmax strategies.
- Any maxmin strategy profile (or, equivalently, minmax strategy profile) is a Nash equilibrium. Furthermore, these are all the Nash equilibria. Consequently, all Nash equilibria have the same payoff vector (namely, those in which player 1 gets the value of the game).

イロン イ部ン イヨン イヨン 三日

 Recap
 LP
 Computing
 Domination
 Fun Game
 Iterated Removal

 Saddle Point:
 Matching Pennies
 Image: Computing Pennies
 Image: Computer Pennies
 Image: Comput



Э

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Recap	LP	Computing	Domination	Fun Game	Iterated Removal
Lecture	Overv	iew			

1 Recap

2 Linear Programming

3 Computational Problems Involving Maxmin

④ Domination

5 Fun Game

6 Iterated Removal of Dominated Strategies

• 3 >

A ■



- A linear program is defined by:
 - a set of real-valued variables
 - a linear objective function
 - a weighted sum of the variables
 - a set of linear constraints
 - the requirement that a weighted sum of the variables must be greater than or equal to some constant



Given n variables and m constraints, variables x and constants w, a and b:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} x_i \leq b_j \\ & x_i \in \{0,1\} \end{array} \qquad \forall j = 1 \dots m \\ & \forall i = 1 \dots n \end{array}$$

- These problems can be solved in polynomial time using interior point methods.
 - Interestingly, the (worst-case exponential) simplex method is often faster in practice.

(4回) (4回) (4回)

Recap	LP	Computing	Domination	Fun Game	Iterated Removal
Lecture	Overv	view			

1 Recap

2 Linear Programming

3 Computational Problems Involving Maxmin

4 Domination

5 Fun Game

6 Iterated Removal of Dominated Strategies

Computing Minmax; Dominance

CPSC 532A Lecture 5, Slide 12

A B K A B K

< 🗇 🕨

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{minimize} & U_1^* \\ \mbox{subject to} & \displaystyle \sum_{a_2 \in A_2} u_1(a_1,a_2) \cdot s_2^{a_2} \leq U_1^* & \quad \forall a_1 \in A_1 \\ & \displaystyle \sum_{a_2 \in A_2} s_2^{a_2} = 1 \\ & s_2^{a_2} \geq 0 & \quad \forall a_2 \in A_2 \end{array}$$

variables:

- U_1^* is the expected utility for player 1
- $s_2^{a_2}$ is player 2's probability of playing action a_2 under his mixed strategy

• each
$$u_1(a_1, a_2)$$
 is a constant.

白 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{minimize} & U_1^* \\ \mbox{subject to} & \sum_{a_2 \in A_2} u_1(a_1,a_2) \cdot s_2^{a_2} \leq U_1^* & \forall a_1 \in A_1 \\ & \sum_{a_2 \in A_2} s_2^{a_2} = 1 \\ & s_2^{a_2} \geq 0 & \forall a_2 \in A_2 \end{array}$$

• s_2 is a valid probability distribution.

 Recap
 LP
 Computing
 Domination
 Fun Game
 Iterated Removal

 Computing equilibria of zero-sum games

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{minimize} & U_1^*\\ \mbox{subject to} & \sum_{a_2 \in A_2} u_1(a_1,a_2) \cdot s_2^{a_2} \leq U_1^* \qquad \forall a_1 \in A_1\\ & \sum_{a_2 \in A_2} s_2^{a_2} = 1\\ & s_2^{a_2} \geq 0 \qquad \qquad \forall a_2 \in A_2 \end{array}$$

• U_1^* is as small as possible.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & U_1^*\\ \text{subject to} & \displaystyle\sum_{a_2 \in A_2} u_1(a_1,a_2) \cdot s_2^{a_2} \leq U_1^* \qquad \forall a_1 \in A_1\\ & \displaystyle\sum_{a_2 \in A_2} s_2^{a_2} = 1\\ & s_2^{a_2} \geq 0 \qquad \qquad \forall a_2 \in A_2 \end{array}$$

- Player 1's expected utility for playing each of his actions under player 2's mixed strategy is no more than U_1^* .
 - Because U_1^* is minimized, this constraint will be tight for some actions: the support of player 1's mixed strategy.

• E • • E • •

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{minimize} & U_1^*\\ \mbox{subject to} & \sum_{a_2 \in A_2} u_1(a_1,a_2) \cdot s_2^{a_2} \leq U_1^* \qquad \forall a_1 \in A_1\\ & \sum_{a_2 \in A_2} s_2^{a_2} = 1\\ & s_2^{a_2} \geq 0 \qquad \qquad \forall a_2 \in A_2 \end{array}$$

- This formulation gives us the minmax strategy for player 2.
- To get the minmax strategy for player 1, we need to solve a second (analogous) LP.



Let's say we want to compute a maxmin strategy for player 1 in an arbitrary 2-player game G.

Let's say we want to compute a maxmin strategy for player 1 in an arbitrary 2-player game G.

- Create a new game G' where player 2's payoffs are just the negatives of player 1's payoffs.
- The maxmin strategy for player 1 in ${\cal G}$ does not depend on player 2's payoffs
 - $\bullet\,$ Thus, the maxmin strategy for player 1 in G is the same as the maxmin strategy for player 1 in G'
- By the minmax theorem, equilibrium strategies for player 1 in G' are equivalent to a maxmin strategies
- Thus, to find a maxmin strategy for G, find an equilibrium strategy for G'.

(승규) (승규) 등

Recap	LP	Computing	Domination	Fun Game	Iterated Removal
Lecture	Overv	view			

1 Recap

- 2 Linear Programming
- 3 Computational Problems Involving Maxmin



5 Fun Game

6 Iterated Removal of Dominated Strategies

★ 문 → < 문 →</p>

< 🗗 🕨



• Let s_i and s'_i be two strategies for player i, and let S_{-i} be is the set of all possible strategy profiles for the other players

Definition

 s_i strictly dominates s'_i if $\forall s_{-i} \in S_{-i}$, $u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) > u_i(s'_i, s_{-i})$

Definition

 s_i weakly dominates s'_i if $\forall s_{-i} \in S_{-i}$, $u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) \ge u_i(s'_i, s_{-i})$ and $\exists s_{-i} \in S_{-i}$, $u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) > u_i(s'_i, s_{-i})$

Definition

 s_i very weakly dominates s'_i if $\forall s_{-i} \in S_{-i}$, $u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) \ge u_i(s'_i, s_{-i})$

Computing Minmax; Dominance

イロン イ部ン イヨン イヨン 三日



- If one strategy dominates all others, we say it is dominant.
- A strategy profile consisting of dominant strategies for every player must be a Nash equilibrium.
 - An equilibrium in strictly dominant strategies must be unique.

→ Ξ →



- If one strategy dominates all others, we say it is dominant.
- A strategy profile consisting of dominant strategies for every player must be a Nash equilibrium.
 - An equilibrium in strictly dominant strategies must be unique.
- Consider Prisoner's Dilemma again
 - not only is the only equilibrium the only non-Pareto-optimal outcome, but it's also an equilibrium in strictly dominant strategies!

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Recap	LP	Computing	Domination	Fun Game	Iterated Removal
Lecture	Overv	iew			

1 Recap

- 2 Linear Programming
- 3 Computational Problems Involving Maxmin

④ Domination

5 Fun Game

6 Iterated Removal of Dominated Strategies

Computing Minmax; Dominance

★ E > < E >

< 🗗 🕨

Two travelers purchase identical African masks while on a tropical vacation. Their luggage is lost on the return trip, and the airline asks them to make independent claims for compensation. In anticipation of excessive claims, the airline representative announces: "We know that the bags have identical contents, and we will entertain any claim between \$180 and \$300, but you will each be reimbursed at an amount that equals the minimum of the two claims submitted. If the two claims differ, we will also pay a reward R to the person making the smaller claim and we will deduct a penalty R from the reimbursement to the person making the larger claim."

(E) < E)</p>



- Action: choose an integer between 180 and 300
- If both players pick the same number, they both get that amount as payoff
- If players pick a different number:
 - the low player gets his number (L) plus some constant R
 - the high player gets L R, R = 5.
- Play this game *once* with a partner; play with as many different partners as you like.

A 3 1 A 3 1



- Action: choose an integer between 180 and 300
- If both players pick the same number, they both get that amount as payoff
- If players pick a different number:
 - the low player gets his number (L) plus some constant R
 - the high player gets L R, R = 5.
- Play this game *once* with a partner; play with as many different partners as you like.
 - Now set $R=180, \, {\rm and} \, \, {\rm again} \, \, {\rm play} \, \, {\rm with} \, \, {\rm as} \, \, {\rm many} \, \, {\rm partners} \, \, {\rm as} \, \, {\rm you} \, \, {\rm like}.$

A B K A B K



• What is the equilibrium?

æ

★ 문 → < 문 →</p>

A B >
 A B >
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A



- What is the equilibrium?
 - (180, 180) is the only equilibrium, for all $R \ge 2$.

★ 문 ► ★ 문 ►

< 67 ►



- What is the equilibrium?
 - (180, 180) is the only equilibrium, for all $R \ge 2$.
- What happens?

★ 문 → < 문 →</p>

< 🗇 >



- What is the equilibrium?
 - (180, 180) is the only equilibrium, for all $R \ge 2$.
- What happens?
 - with R = 5 most people choose 295–300
 - with R = 180 most people choose 180

Recap	LP	Computing	Domination	Fun Game	Iterated Removal
Lecture	Overv	iew			

1 Recap

- 2 Linear Programming
- 3 Computational Problems Involving Maxmin

④ Domination

5 Fun Game

6 Iterated Removal of Dominated Strategies

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

< 🗇 🕨



- No equilibrium can involve a strictly dominated strategy
 - Thus we can remove it, and end up with a strategically equivalent game
 - This might allow us to remove another strategy that wasn't dominated before
 - Running this process to termination is called iterated removal of dominated strategies.

(3)



Computing Minmax; Dominance

→ < ≣ → < ≣ → E → Q (CPSC 532A Lecture 5, Slide 24



• R is dominated by L.



Computing Minmax; Dominance

→ < ≣ → < ≣ → E → Q (CPSC 532A Lecture 5, Slide 25





• *M* is dominated by the mixed strategy that selects *U* and *D* with equal probability.



Computing Minmax; Dominance

→ < ≣ → < ≣ → E → Q (CPSC 532A Lecture 5, Slide 26





• No other strategies are dominated.



- This process preserves Nash equilibria.
 - strict dominance: all equilibria preserved.
 - weak or very weak dominance: at least one equilibrium preserved.
- Thus, it can be used as a preprocessing step before computing an equilibrium
 - Some games are solvable using this technique.
 - Example: Traveler's Dilemma!
- What about the order of removal when there are multiple dominated strategies?
 - strict dominance: doesn't matter.
 - weak or very weak dominance: can affect which equilibria are preserved.

★ E ► ★ E ►