Mixed Strategies; Maxmin

CPSC 532A Lecture 4

January 28, 2008

Mixed Strategies; Maxmin

CPSC 532A Lecture 4, Slide 1

æ

- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

Lecture Overview





CPSC 532A Lecture 4, Slide 2

æ

Example games

We saw a variety of example games:

- Zero-sum: matching pennies
- Pure cooperation: coordination
- General-sum: battle of the sexes; prisoner's dilemma

Recap	Mixed Strategies	Fun Game	Maxmin and Minmax
Pareto Opt	imality		

- Sometimes, one outcome o is at least as good for every agent as another outcome o', and there is some agent who strictly prefers o to o'
 - in this case, it seems reasonable to say that o is better than o'
 - we say that *o* Pareto-dominates *o*'.

• An outcome o^* is Pareto-optimal if there is no other outcome that Pareto-dominates it.

Best Response, Nash equilibrium

- If you knew what everyone else was going to do, it would be easy to pick your own action
- Let $a_{-i} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n \rangle$.
- Best response: $a_i^* \in BR(a_{-i})$ iff $\forall a_i \in A_i, u_i(a_i^*, a_{-i}) \ge u_i(a_i, a_{-i})$
- Nash equilibrium: stable action profiles.
- $a = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is a ("pure strategy") Nash equilibrium iff $\forall i, a_i \in BR(a_{-i})$.

Lecture Overview









★ 문 ► ★ 문 ► CPSC 532A Lecture 4, Slide 6

æ

< 🗗 🕨

Mixed Strategies; Maxmin

Recap	Mixed Strategies	Fun Game	Maxmin and Minmax
Mixed St	rategies		

- It would be a pretty bad idea to play any deterministic strategy in matching pennies
- Idea: confuse the opponent by playing randomly
- Define a strategy s_i for agent i as any probability distribution over the actions A_i .
 - pure strategy: only one action is played with positive probability
 - mixed strategy: more than one action is played with positive probability
 - these actions are called the support of the mixed strategy
- Let the set of all strategies for i be S_i
- Let the set of all strategy profiles be $S = S_1 \times \ldots \times S_n$.

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Recap	Mixed Strategies	Fun Game	Maxmin and Minmax
Utility und	er Mixed Strateg	ies	

- What is your payoff if all the players follow mixed strategy profile $s \in S$?
 - We can't just read this number from the game matrix anymore: we won't always end up in the same cell

Recap	Mixed Strategies	Fun Game	Maxmin and Minmax
Utility und	er Mixed Strate	vies	

- What is your payoff if all the players follow mixed strategy profile $s \in S$?
 - We can't just read this number from the game matrix anymore: we won't always end up in the same cell
- Instead, use the idea of expected utility from decision theory:

$$u_i(s) = \sum_{a \in A} u_i(a) Pr(a|s)$$
$$Pr(a|s) = \prod_{j \in N} s_j(a_j)$$

Best Response and Nash Equilibrium

Our definitions of best response and Nash equilibrium generalize from actions to strategies.

• Best response:

•
$$s_i^* \in BR(s_{-i})$$
 iff $\forall s_i \in S_i, u_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}) \ge u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$

★ 문 → < 문 →</p>

Best Response and Nash Equilibrium

Our definitions of best response and Nash equilibrium generalize from actions to strategies.

- Best response:
 - $s_i^* \in BR(s_{-i})$ iff $\forall s_i \in S_i, u_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}) \ge u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$
- Nash equilibrium:

• $s = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n \rangle$ is a Nash equilibrium iff $\forall i, s_i \in BR(s_{-i})$

・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ …

Best Response and Nash Equilibrium

Our definitions of best response and Nash equilibrium generalize from actions to strategies.

- Best response:
 - $s_i^* \in BR(s_{-i})$ iff $\forall s_i \in S_i, u_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}) \ge u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$
- Nash equilibrium:
 - $s = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n \rangle$ is a Nash equilibrium iff $\forall i, s_i \in BR(s_{-i})$
- Every finite game has a Nash equilibrium! [Nash, 1950]
 e.g., matching pennies: both players play heads/tails 50%/50%

- (回) (三) (=

Recap	Mix	ed Strateg	es		Fun (Game		Ma	xmin an	d Minm	ax
				 		_			_		

Computing Mixed Nash Equilibria: Battle of the Sexes

	В	F
В	2, 1	0,0
F	0,0	1, 2

- It's hard in general to compute Nash equilibria, but it's easy when you can guess the support
- For BoS, let's look for an equilibrium where all actions are part of the support

Recap	Mixed Strategies	Fun Game	Maxmin and Minmax
Computing	Mixed Nash	n Equilibria: Battle of	f the Sexes



- Let player 2 play B with p, F with 1 p.
- If player 1 best-responds with a mixed strategy, player 2 must make him indifferent between F and B (why?)

Recap	Mixed Strategies	Fun Game	Maxmin and Minmax
Computing	Mixed Nash	Equilibria: Battle of	the Sexes

	В	F
В	2, 1	0,0
F	0,0	1,2

- Let player 2 play B with p, F with 1 p.
- If player 1 best-responds with a mixed strategy, player 2 must make him indifferent between F and B (why?)

$$u_1(B) = u_1(F)$$

 $2p + 0(1-p) = 0p + 1(1-p)$
 $p = \frac{1}{3}$

Recap	Mixed Strategies	Fun Game	Maxmin and Minmax
Computing	Mixed Nash	Equilibria: Battle	of the Sexes



- Likewise, player 1 must randomize to make player 2 indifferent.
 - Why is player 1 willing to randomize?

-

Recap	Mixed Strategies	Fun Game	Maxmin and Minmax

Computing Mixed Nash Equilibria: Battle of the Sexes



- Likewise, player 1 must randomize to make player 2 indifferent.
 - Why is player 1 willing to randomize?
- Let player 1 play B with q, F with 1-q.

$$u_2(B) = u_2(F)$$

$$q + 0(1 - q) = 0q + 2(1 - q)$$

$$q = \frac{2}{3}$$
• Thus the mixed strategies $(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}), (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ are a Nash equilibrium.

Interpreting Mixed Strategy Equilibria

What does it mean to play a mixed strategy? Different interpretations:

- Randomize to confuse your opponent
 - consider the matching pennies example
- Players randomize when they are uncertain about the other's action
 - consider battle of the sexes
- Mixed strategies are a concise description of what might happen in repeated play: count of pure strategies in the limit
- Mixed strategies describe population dynamics: 2 agents chosen from a population, all having deterministic strategies. MS is the probability of getting an agent who will play one PS or another.

・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Lecture Overview



2 Mixed Strategies





CPSC 532A Lecture 4, Slide 12

æ

< 🗗 >

Recap	Mixed Strategies	Fun Game	Maxmin and Minmax
Fun Game!			



• Play once as each player, recording the strategy you follow.

Mixed Strategies; Maxmin



Recap	Mixed Strategies	Fun Game	Maxmin and Minmax
Fun Game!			



• Play once as each player, recording the strategy you follow.

Mixed Strategies; Maxmin

▶ < 불 ▶ < 불 ▶ 불 · ∽ ♀ < CPSC 532A Lecture 4, Slide 13

Recap	Mixed Strategies	Fun Game	Maxmin and Minmax
Fun Game!			



• Play once as each player, recording the strategy you follow.

Mixed Strategies; Maxmin



Recap	Mixed Strategies	Fun Game	Maxmin and Minmax
Fun Game!			



- Play once as each player, recording the strategy you follow.
- What does row player do in equilibrium of this game?

Recap	Mixed Strategies	Fun Game	Maxmin and Minmax
Fun Game!			



- Play once as each player, recording the strategy you follow.
- What does row player do in equilibrium of this game?
 - row player randomizes 50-50 all the time
 - that's what it takes to make column player indifferent

Recap	Mixed Strategies	Fun Game	Maxmin and Minmax
Fun Game!			



- Play once as each player, recording the strategy you follow.
- What does row player do in equilibrium of this game?
 - row player randomizes 50-50 all the time
 - that's what it takes to make column player indifferent
- What happens when people play this game?

Recap	Mixed Strategies	Fun Game	Maxmin and Minmax
Fun Game!			



- Play once as each player, recording the strategy you follow.
- What does row player do in equilibrium of this game?
 - row player randomizes 50-50 all the time
 - that's what it takes to make column player indifferent
- What happens when people play this game?
 - with payoff of 320, row player goes up essentially all the time
 - with payoff of 44, row player goes down essentially all the time

Lecture Overview







(신문) (신문) CPSC 532A Lecture 4. Slide 14

æ

< 🗗 >

Maxmin Strategies

- Player *i*'s maxmin strategy is a strategy that maximizes *i*'s worst-case payoff, in the situation where all the other players (whom we denote -i) happen to play the strategies which cause the greatest harm to *i*.
- The maxmin value (or safety level) of the game for player *i* is that minimum amount of payoff guaranteed by a maxmin strategy.
- Why would *i* want to play a maxmin strategy?

• E • • E • •

Maxmin Strategies

- Player *i*'s maxmin strategy is a strategy that maximizes *i*'s worst-case payoff, in the situation where all the other players (whom we denote -i) happen to play the strategies which cause the greatest harm to *i*.
- The maxmin value (or safety level) of the game for player *i* is that minimum amount of payoff guaranteed by a maxmin strategy.
- Why would *i* want to play a maxmin strategy?
 - a conservative agent maximizing worst-case payoff
 - a paranoid agent who believes everyone is out to get him

Definition (Maxmin)

The maxmin strategy for player i is $\arg \max_{s_i} \min_{s_{-i}} u_i(s_1, s_2)$, and the maxmin value for player i is $\max_{s_i} \min_{s_{-i}} u_i(s_1, s_2)$.

Mixed Strategies; Maxmin

CPSC 532A Lecture 4, Slide 15

Minmax Strategies

- Player *i*'s minmax strategy against player -i in a 2-player game is a strategy that minimizes -i's best-case payoff, and the minmax value for *i* against -i is payoff.
- Why would *i* want to play a minmax strategy?

Minmax Strategies

- Player *i*'s minmax strategy against player -i in a 2-player game is a strategy that minimizes -i's best-case payoff, and the minmax value for *i* against -i is payoff.
- Why would *i* want to play a minmax strategy?
 - to punish the other agent as much as possible

Minmax Strategies

- Player *i*'s minmax strategy against player -i in a 2-player game is a strategy that minimizes -i's best-case payoff, and the minmax value for *i* against -i is payoff.
- Why would *i* want to play a minmax strategy?
 - to punish the other agent as much as possible

Definition (Minmax, 2-player)

In a two-player game, the minmax strategy for player i against player -i is $\arg\min_{s_i} \max_{s_{-i}} u_{-i}(s_i, s_{-i})$, and player -i's minmax value is $\min_{s_i} \max_{s_{-i}} u_{-i}(s_i, s_{-i})$.

▲□→ ▲目→ ▲目→ 三日

- Minmax Strategies
 - Player *i*'s minmax strategy against player -i in a 2-player game is a strategy that minimizes -i's best-case payoff, and the minmax value for i against -i is payoff.
 - Why would *i* want to play a minmax strategy?
 - to punish the other agent as much as possible

We can generalize to n players.

Definition (Minmax, *n*-player)

In an *n*-player game, the minmax strategy for player *i* against player $j \neq i$ is i's component of the mixed strategy profile s_{-i} in the expression $\arg\min_{s_{-i}} \max_{s_i} u_j(s_j, s_{-j})$, where -j denotes the set of players other than j. As before, the minmax value for player j is $\min_{s_{-i}} \max_{s_i} u_i(s_i, s_{-i})$.

(日) (四) (王) (王) (王)

Theorem (Minimax theorem (von Neumann, 1928))

In any finite, two-player, zero-sum game, in any Nash equilibrium each player receives a payoff that is equal to both his maxmin value and his minmax value.

Theorem (Minimax theorem (von Neumann, 1928))

In any finite, two-player, zero-sum game, in any Nash equilibrium each player receives a payoff that is equal to both his maxmin value and his minmax value.

Each player's maxmin value is equal to his minmax value. By convention, the maxmin value for player 1 is called the value of the game.

Theorem (Minimax theorem (von Neumann, 1928))

In any finite, two-player, zero-sum game, in any Nash equilibrium each player receives a payoff that is equal to both his maxmin value and his minmax value.

- Each player's maxmin value is equal to his minmax value. By convention, the maxmin value for player 1 is called the value of the game.
- For both players, the set of maxmin strategies coincides with the set of minmax strategies.

Theorem (Minimax theorem (von Neumann, 1928))

In any finite, two-player, zero-sum game, in any Nash equilibrium each player receives a payoff that is equal to both his maxmin value and his minmax value.

- Each player's maxmin value is equal to his minmax value. By convention, the maxmin value for player 1 is called the value of the game.
- For both players, the set of maxmin strategies coincides with the set of minmax strategies.
- Any maxmin strategy profile (or, equivalently, minmax strategy profile) is a Nash equilibrium. Furthermore, these are all the Nash equilibria. Consequently, all Nash equilibria have the same payoff vector (namely, those in which player 1 gets the value of the game).