

Reasoning under Uncertainty: Marginalization, Conditional Probability, and Bayes

CPSC 322 Lecture 24

Lecture Overview

- **Recap Semantics of Probability**
- **Marginalization**
- Conditional Probability
- Chain Rule
- Bayes' Rule

Recap: Possible World Semantics for Probabilities

Probability is a formal measure of subjective uncertainty.

- Random variable and probability distribution

$$\begin{array}{ll} X & x_1 \rightarrow P(x_1) \\ \text{dom}(X) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} & x_2 \rightarrow P(x_2) \\ & x_3 \rightarrow P(x_3) \end{array} \quad \text{sum to 1}$$

- Model Environment with a set of random vars

$$\sum_{w \in W} \mu(w) = 1$$

- Probability of a proposition f

$$P(f) = \sum_{w \models f} \mu(w)$$

Learning Goals for today's class

- **You can:**
- Given a joint probability distribution (JPD), compute distributions over any subset of the variables
- Derive and use the formula to compute conditional probabilities $P(h/e)$
- Derive the **Chain Rule** and **Bayes' Rule**

Joint Distribution and Marginalization

<i>cavity</i>	<i>toothache</i>	<i>catch</i>	$\mu(w)$
T	T	T	.108
T	T	F	.012
T	F	T	.072
T	F	F	.008
F	T	T	.016
F	T	F	.064
F	F	T	.144
F	F	F	.576

$$P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache}, \text{catch})$$

Given a joint distribution, e.g. $P(X, Y, Z)$ we can compute distributions over any smaller sets of variables

$$P(X, Y) = \sum_{z \in \text{dom}(Z)} P(X, Y, Z = z)$$

	<i>toothache</i>		\neg <i>toothache</i>	
	<i>catch</i>	\neg <i>catch</i>	<i>catch</i>	\neg <i>catch</i>
<i>cavity</i>	.108	.012	.072	.008
\neg <i>cavity</i>	.016	.064	.144	.576

<i>cavity</i>	<i>toothache</i>	$P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache})$
T	T	.12
T	F	.08
F	T	.08
F	F	.72

Joint Distribution and Marginalization

<i>cavity</i>	<i>toothache</i>	<i>catch</i>	$\mu(w)$
T	T	T	.108
T	T	F	.012
T	F	T	.072
T	F	F	.008
F	T	T	.016
F	T	F	.064
F	F	T	.144
F	F	F	.576

A.

<i>cavity</i>	<i>catch</i>	$P(\text{cavity}, \text{catch})$	$P(\text{cavity}, \text{catch})$	$P(\text{cavity}, \text{catch})$
T	T	.12	.18	.18
T	F	.08	.02	.72
F	T
F	F

B.

C.

$$P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache}, \text{catch})$$

Given a joint distribution, e.g. $P(X, Y, Z)$ we can compute distributions over any smaller sets of variables

$$P(X, Z) = \sum_{y \in \text{dom}(Y)} P(X, Z, Y = y)$$

iclicker.

Lecture Overview

- Recap Semantics of Probability
- Marginalization
- **Conditional Probability**
- **Chain Rule**
- Bayes' Rule
- Independence

Conditioning (Conditional Probability)

- We **model our environment** with a **set of random variables**.
- Assuming we have the **joint**, we can compute the probability of **any formula**
- Are we done with reasoning under uncertainty?
- What can happen?
- Think of a patient showing up at the dentist office.
Does she have a cavity?

Conditioning (Conditional Probability)

- Probabilistic conditioning specifies **how to revise beliefs based on new information**.
- You build a probabilistic model (for now the joint) taking all background information into account. This gives the **prior probability**.
- If **evidence** e is all of the information obtained subsequently, the **conditional probability** $P(h|e)$ of h given e is the **posterior probability** of h .

$$P(\text{cavity} = T \mid \text{toothache} = T) \quad ?$$

Conditioning Example

- Prior probability of having a cavity

$$P(\text{cavity} = T)$$

- Should be revised if you know that there is toothache

$$P(\text{cavity} = T \mid \text{toothache} = T)$$

- It should be revised again if you were informed that the dental probe did not catch anything

$$P(\text{cavity} = T \mid \text{toothache} = T, \text{catch} = F)$$

- What about the weather?

$$P(\text{cavity} = T \mid \text{sunny} = T)$$

How can we compute $P(h|e)$

- What happens in term of possible worlds if we know the value of a random var (or a set of random vars)?
- Some worlds are **ruled out**. The others become **more likely**.

<i>cavity</i>	<i>toothache</i>	<i>catch</i>	$\mu(w)$	$\mu_e(w)$
T	T	T	.108	.54
T	T	F	.012	.06
T	F	T	.072	.36
T	F	F	.008	.04
F	T	T	.016	0
F	T	F	.064	0
F	F	T	.144	0
F	F	F	.576	0

$$e = (cavity = T)$$

$$\mu_e(w) = \frac{\mu(w)}{P(e)} \text{ if } w \models e$$

$$\mu_e(w) = 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

How can we compute $P(h|e)$

$$P(h | e) = \sum_{w \models h} \mu_e(w)$$

$$P(\text{toothache} = F \mid \text{cavity} = T) = \sum_{w \models \text{toothache} = F} \mu_{\text{cavity}=T}(w)$$

<i>cavity</i>	<i>toothache</i>	<i>catch</i>	$\mu(w)$	$\mu_{\text{cavity}=T}(w)$
T	T	T	.108	.54
T	T	F	.012	.06
T	F	T	.072	.36
T	F	F	.008	.04
F	T	T	.016	0
F	T	F	.064	0
F	F	T	.144	0
F	F	F	.576	0

Semantics of Conditional Probability

$$\mu_e(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{P(e)} \times \mu(w) & \text{if } w \models e \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- The conditional probability of formula ***h*** given evidence ***e*** is

$$\begin{aligned} P(h \mid e) &= \sum_{w \models h} \mu_e(w) = \sum_{w \models h \wedge e} \frac{1}{P(e)} \times \mu(w) = \frac{1}{P(e)} \sum_{w \models h \wedge e} \mu(w) \\ &= \frac{P(h \wedge e)}{P(e)} \end{aligned}$$

Semantics of Conditional Prob.: Example

<i>cavity</i>	<i>toothache</i>	<i>catch</i>	$\mu(w)$	$\mu_e(w)$
T	T	T	.108	.54
T	T	F	.012	.06
T	F	T	.072	.36
T	F	F	.008	.04
F	T	T	.016	0
F	T	F	.064	0
F	F	T	.144	0
F	F	F	.576	0

$e = (cavity = T)$



$P(h / e) = P(\text{toothache} = T \mid \text{cavity} = T) =$

A. 0.4 B. 0.6 C. 0 D. 0.12 E. 0.42

Conditional Probability among Random Variables

$$P(X / Y) = P(X, Y) / P(Y)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(X / Y) &= P(\text{toothache} / \text{cavity}) \\ &= P(\text{toothache} \wedge \text{cavity}) / P(\text{cavity}) \end{aligned}$$

$P(T \wedge C)$	<i>Toothache = T</i>	<i>Toothache = F</i>
<i>Cavity = T</i>	.12	.08
<i>Cavity = F</i>	.08	.72

$P(T C)$	<i>Toothache = T</i>	<i>Toothache = F</i>
<i>Cavity = T</i>		
<i>Cavity = F</i>		

note that rows sum to 1

Product Rule

- Definition of conditional probability:
 - $P(X_1 | X_2) = P(X_1, X_2) / P(X_2)$
- **Product rule** gives an alternative, more intuitive formulation:
 - $P(X_1, X_2) = P(X_2) P(X_1 | X_2) = P(X_1) P(X_2 | X_1)$
- **Product rule** general form:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= P(X_1, \dots, X_t, X_{t+1} \dots X_n) \\ &= P(X_1, \dots, X_t) P(X_{t+1} \dots X_n | X_1, \dots, X_t) \end{aligned}$$

Chain Rule

- **Product rule** general form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= \\ &= \mathbf{P}(X_1, \dots, X_t) \mathbf{P}(X_{t+1} \dots X_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_t) \end{aligned}$$

- **Chain rule** is derived by the successive application of product rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n) &= \mathbf{P}(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \mathbf{P}(X_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}) \mathbf{P}(X_{n-1} \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}) \mathbf{P}(X_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) = \dots \\ &= \mathbf{P}(X_1) \mathbf{P}(X_2 \mid X_1) \dots \mathbf{P}(X_{n-1} \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}) \mathbf{P}(X_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{P}(X_i \mid X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Chain Rule: Example

$$P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache}, \text{catch}) =$$

$$P(\text{cavity}) * P(\text{toothache} | \text{cavity}) * \\ P(\text{catch} | \text{cavity}, \text{toothache})$$

$$P(\text{toothache}, \text{catch}, \text{cavity}) =$$

$$P(\text{toothache}) * P(\text{catch} | \text{toothache}) * \\ P(\text{cavity} | \text{toothache}, \text{catch})$$

In how many other ways can this joint be decomposed using the chain rule?



A. 4

B. 1

C. 8

D. 0

Lecture Overview

- Recap Semantics of Probability
- Marginalization
- Conditional Probability
- Chain Rule
- **Bayes' Rule**
- **Independence**

Using conditional probability

- Often you have **causal knowledge** (forward from cause to evidence):
 - For example
 - ✓ $P(\text{symptom} \mid \text{disease})$
 - ✓ $P(\text{light is off} \mid \text{status of switches and switch positions})$
 - ✓ $P(\text{alarm} \mid \text{fire})$
 - In general: $P(\text{evidence } e \mid \text{hypothesis } h)$
- ... and you want to do **evidential reasoning** (backwards from evidence to cause):
 - For example
 - ✓ $P(\text{disease} \mid \text{symptom})$
 - ✓ $P(\text{status of switches} \mid \text{light is off and switch positions})$
 - ✓ $P(\text{fire} \mid \text{alarm})$
 - In general: $P(\text{hypothesis } h \mid \text{evidence } e)$

Bayes Rule

- By definition, we know that :

$$P(h|e) = \frac{P(h \wedge e)}{P(e)} \quad P(e|h) = \frac{P(e \wedge h)}{P(h)}$$

- We can rearrange terms to write

$$P(h \wedge e) = P(h|e) \times P(e) \quad (1)$$

$$P(e \wedge h) = P(e|h) \times P(h) \quad (2)$$

- But

$$P(h \wedge e) = P(e \wedge h) \quad (3)$$

- From (1) (2) and (3) we can derive

Bayes Rule

$$P(h|e) = \frac{P(e|h)P(h)}{P(e)}$$

Example for Bayes rule

- On average, the alarm rings once a year
 - $P(\text{alarm}) = 1/365$
- If there is a fire, the alarm will almost always ring
 - $P(\text{alarm}|\text{fire}) = 0.999$
- On average, we have a fire every 10 years
 - $P(\text{fire}) = 1/3650$
- The fire alarm rings. What is the probability there is a fire?
 - Take a few minutes to do the math!

$$P(h | e) = \frac{P(e | h)P(h)}{P(e)}$$



A. 0.999

B. 0.9

C. 0.0999

D. 0.1

Conditional probability (irrelevant evidence)

- New evidence may be irrelevant, allowing simplification, e.g.,
 - $P(\text{cavity} \mid \text{toothache}, \text{sunny}) = P(\text{cavity} \mid \text{toothache})$
 - We say that Cavity is conditionally independent from Weather (more on this next class)
- This kind of inference, sanctioned by domain knowledge, is crucial in probabilistic inference

Plan for this part of the course

- **Probability** is a rigorous formalism for uncertain knowledge
- **Joint probability distribution** specifies probability of every **possible world**
- Probabilistic queries can be answered by **summing over possible worlds**
- - - **WE ARE HERE** - - -
- For nontrivial domains, we must find a way **to reduce the joint distribution size**
- **Independence** (*rare*) and **conditional independence** (*frequent*) provide the tools

Next Class

- Marginal Independence
- Conditional Independence