Nov 21!

Final Report roughly 600-1400 words; appendices for (1) Softwere (2) data (3) rosults summarized in report (4) any other supporting documents

Software: Must be your own, except for Gurdbi supplied examples and examples supplied by me (but this may reduce the difficulty and you must acknowledge the source in your report and bibliography)

Suggested Format: Take progress report and

- (1) Remove any questions and models not used (Subsection 1.3 and Section 2 of sample progress report)
 - (2) Add material in Sections 3-7 of sample progress report
 - (3) Add bibliographical references.
- Homework #5 due today 11:59 pm
- Homework At Steel (Lowest 2 homework scores are
 drapped)
- Quality of presentations quite high so far 5.8/6

Must submit - R

- PDF file -LaTeX source

1,500 L

- tex

PROGRESS REPORT SAMPLE: EXAM PERIOD LENGTH AND CONFLICTS

JOEL FRIEDMAN

	USED 40	
	Mean 3-7	
Contents	pages 12 point	
	Courrer funt	
1. Introduction) double space	1
Keep 1.1. Overview 1.2. Motivation		1
1.2. Motivation		1
1.3. Specific Questions in this Line of Research	600-1400	2
Remove 2. Models	> 600-1400	2
2.1. Basic Model	words	2
2.2. More Refined Modeling for Classes	000103	2
2. Models 2.1. Basic Model 2.2. More Refined Modeling for Classes 2.3. Modeling Students in Classes	(SHORT)	3
c 3. Data	(5/10 .)	3
4. Our Algorithms		3
4. Our Algorithms 5. Our Main Current Results 6. Obstacles for Encountered Schar		3
6. Obstacles Encountered-Scream		3
7. Questions for Future Research		3
R: Hissorph		
Scarton C O		
Supporting		
Appendix /2		

Copyright: `Copyright Joel Friedman 2017. Not to be copied, used, or revised without explicit written permission from the copyright owner.

This is an example of a proposal. I am putting subsections headings and details that may not appear in a publication.

1. Introduction

1.1. Overview. [Short paragraph with one or two sentences.] The goal of this article is to study tradeoffs between the length of a final exam period the number of students with exam conflicts. We also study the effect of allowing large classes to give individual exams for each section.

456 words

1.2. Motivation. [Short paragraph with one or two sentences.] Our motivation is that students and teaching staff tend to prefer a short exam period, but exam conflicts creates additional work for the teaching staff.

Research supported in part by an NSERC grant.

must be

else is interested in your research

The motivation is clear.

words

JOEL FRIEDMAN

1.3. Specific Questions in this Line of Research. [One to three paragraphs.]

The first type of questions we study involve varying the length of the exam period, and seeing how the number of conflicts increases as the period shortens. Specifically we want to know under what circumstances there is a threshold phenomenon, meaning that the number of conflicts is extremely sensitive to the length of the exam period in a small interval of lengths, and is otherwise fairly insensitive to the length. We wish to study this question for a number of exam scenarios, based on the size of the university and versatility of the programs.

We will also examine if allowing large classes to schedule separate exams for each of its sections can significantly reduce the exam period length.

2. Models

Give some precise models you can work with. Start with a simple one; if there are more elaborate models, describe them.

2.1. Basic Model. The simplest model of exam schedule is the classical model of exam scheduling formulated as an ILP, akin to a graph colouring problem. The basic setup is that we have n classes and m possible exam periods, and we are given the set, P, of pairs (i,j) with $1 \leq i,j \leq n$ such that classes i and j have common students. For each possible exam schedule, assigning classes to exam periods, we set for $i=1,\ldots,n$ and $k=1,\ldots,m$

$$x_{ik} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if class i is assigned colour k, and} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

Conversely, if x_{ik} are variables such that are either 0 or 1 and such that

(1)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{ik} = 1, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n$$

then we can interpret the x_{ik} as determining an assignment of classes to exam periods. In this description, an exam schedule has no conflicts if for all $(i,j) \in P$ and all exam periods $k = 1, \ldots, m$ we have

(2)
$$x_{ik} + x_{jk} \le 1 \quad \text{for all } (i,j) \in P \text{ and } k = 1, \dots, m.$$

So a conflict free schedule is equivalent to the feasibility of x_{ik} subject to (1) and (2).

2.2. More Refined Modeling for Classes. A fancier model is to replace (2) by introducing variables $y_{ijk} = 0, 1$ subject to

$$x_{ik} + x_{jk} \le 1 + y_{ijk}.$$

Then the minimum value of y_{ijk} is 0 if i, j aren't both assigned the colour k, but otherwise y_{ijk} must be 1; one can then seek to minimize

$$\sum_{k} \sum_{(i,j) \in P} y_{ijk} w_{ij}$$

where $w_{ij} > 0$ is some measure of how bad it is to assign classes i and j to the same exam period.

Must be tied directly to your models

2 Maybe only medel of proposal

Hew to the progress report

This is the main content.

Models most

be precise

concisely.

->

2.3. Modeling Students in Classes. A refinement of the above model would be to keep track of individual students; we could try to minimize the number of students *exam hardships*, i.e., three exams in a 24 hour period, or get a more accurate count of how many conflicts there will be.

This can be modeled by the data that specifies for each of s students, the subset of classes the student is taking. So for $\ell = 1, ..., s$ we are given the subset $S_{\ell} \subset \{1, ..., n\}$ of classes that the ℓ -th student is taking.

Given this data, in terms of the variables x_{ij} above, the ℓ -th student does not have an exam hardship during any four consective exams (representing a 24-hour exam period) provided that for each $k = 1, \ldots, n-3$ and each $\ell = 1, \ldots, s$ we have

$$\sum_{j=k}^{k+3} \sum_{i \in S_{\ell}} x_{ij} \le 2.$$

Otherwise we could introduce a variable h_{ℓ} , which is 1 if student ℓ has some exam hardship and 0 otherwise, and then require

$$\sum_{j=k}^{k+3} \sum_{i \in S_{\ell}} x_{ij} \le 2 + 2h_{\ell} ;$$

hence the minimum value of h_{ℓ} is 0 if student ℓ has no hardships, and 1 otherwise. Then we can use the sum of the h_{ℓ} in the objective function.

3. Data

Using the UBC website, we have gathered the course requirements for students majoring in the following degree programs: (1) B.A. in Mathematics, (2) B.Sc. in Computer Science, (3) B.A. in Psychology. We have gathered class sizes in the last year for the core courses in such programs, and taken a sample of possible electives. See Appendix A for this information.

Using the above data, we have created data sets of sample course selections for students in years 1—5 in each of these majors, by state how this is done.

We have also created some data sets of the number of exam conflicts in each pair of courses by state how this is done.

4. Our Algorithms

Describe the LP/ILP/QP software you are using. We use the Gurobi libraries in Python to solve the ILP's based on the above ILP's.

If you are using other algorithms, describe them.

- 5. Our Main Current Results
- 6. Obstacles Encountered So Far.
- 7. Questions for Future Research

Our next steps are to describe your very next step or two.

New progress report

You can have extra stiff in these one or more appendices,

Give a list of specific questions that would be good to study, including (1) some that you might have time for, and (2) one or two that you won't have time for.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z4, CANADA, and DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z2, CANADA.

E-mail address: jf@cs.ubc.ca or jf@math.ubc.ca URL: http://www.math.ubc.ca/~jf