# HOMEWORK #4, MATH 441, FALL 2017

#### JOEL FRIEDMAN

**Copyright:** Copyright Joel Friedman 2017. Not to be copied, used, or revised without explicit written permission from the copyright owner.

## Please note:

- (1) You may work together on homework, but you must write up your own solutions individually. In particular, you must write your own code, spread-sheets, etc.
- (2) You must acknowledge with whom you worked (specify their gradescope.com email addresses). You must also acknowledge any sources you have used beyond the textbook and class material.
- (3) When you submit your homework to gradescope.com, you need to put the solutions to different problems on different pages; gradescope.com will ask you to identify which pages correspond to which problems.
- (1) Consider the solution (??) to the Markowitz utility of the model  $R = w_1A + w_2M$  subject to  $w_1, w_2 \ge 0$  and  $w_1 + w_2 = 10$ .
  - (a) What is  $w_1^*$  for the values  $\mu = .05$ ,  $\mu = 1$ , and  $\mu = 1000$ ?
  - (b) Explain intuitively—in terms of  $\mu$  representing risk aversion—why  $w_1$  is very close to 0 for  $\mu = 1000$ .
  - (c) For the analogous solution (??) for the model  $R = w_1 A + w_2 B$  (subject to the same conditions), what is the value of  $w_1^*$  for the value  $\mu = .05$ ?
  - (d) Explain intuitively—in terms of the difference between the models  $w_1A + w_2M$  and  $w_1A + w_2B$ —why for  $\mu = .05$  the value of  $w_1$  is 10 for one of the models and less than 10 for the other.

### Solution:

- (a) Respectively,  $w_1^* = 10, 5/4, 5/4000.$
- (b) With  $\mu = 1000$  we are very averse to risk and hence want to invest mostly in M (which has zero variance and is therefore "without risk").
- (c)  $w_1^* = (1/8)(0.05)^{-1} = 1/(.4) = 2.5.$
- (d) The return on the riskless instruments M, B are respectively 0, 9, and hence higher for B. Hence, for the same amount of risk aversion, we will spend more on B in a mix of A and B than in

Research supported in part by an NSERC grant.

#### JOEL FRIEDMAN

M in a mix of A and M. Hence it makes sense that for certain values of  $\mu$  we may invest everything in A and nothing in M in the optimal investment, and when we replace M by B it becomes more desirable to invest something in B.

(2) Compute the Markowitz Utility  $U(w_1, w_2, \mu)$  for the portfolio  $R = w_1A + w_2N$ . [Note the formula  $\operatorname{Corr}(A, N) = -1$  in the Section 7, and note that the formulas in Section 8 imply that

 $Var(w_1X + w_2Y) = w_1^2 Var(X) + 2w_1w_2 Cov(X, Y) + w_2^2 Var(Y) .$ 

Then find the optimum feasible solution for this model under the conditions  $w_1, w_2 \ge 0$  and  $w_1 + w_2 = 10$ .

Solution: We have  $\operatorname{Cov}(A, N) = \operatorname{Corr}(A, N)\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(A)\operatorname{Var}(N)} = -4,$ and hence  $\operatorname{Var}(w_1A + w_2N) = w_1^2 \operatorname{Var}(A) + 2w_1 w_2 \operatorname{Cov}(A, N) + w_2^2 \operatorname{Var}(N)$  $= w_1^2 4 - 2w_1 w_2 4 + w_2^2 4.$ Under the condition  $w_2 = 10 - w_1$  we therefore have  $Var(w_1A + w_2N) = w_1^2 4 - 2w_1(10 - w_1)4 + (10 - w_1)^2 4 = 16w_1^2 - 160w_1 + 400$ We also have  $\overline{w_1A + w_2N} = 10w_1 + 10w_2 = 10w_1 + 10(10 - w_1) = 100.$ Hence the Markowitz utility is  $U(\mu; w_1A + w_2N) = \overline{w_1A + w_2N} - \mu \operatorname{Var}(w_1A + w_2N)$  $= 100 - \mu (16w_1^2 - 160w_1 + 400).$ Differentiating in  $w_1$  we see that the maximum is attained when  $32w_1$  –  $160 = 0 \text{ or } w_1 = 5.$ [This should make sense, since A, N have the same expected return, so  $\overline{w_1A + w_2N} = 100$  regardless of the investment, and A + N = 20 is "riskless," and so 5A + 5N = 100 is also "riskless."]

(3) Compute the Markowitz Utility  $U(w_1, w_2, \mu)$  for the portfolio  $R = w_1C + w_2P$ . Then find **all** optimum feasible solutions for this model under the conditions  $w_1, w_2 \ge 0$  and  $w_1 + w_2 = 10$ .

**Solution:** First solution: since C = P, if  $w_1 + w_2 = 10$ , then  $w_1C + w_2P = w_1C + w_2C = 10C$ . Hence any  $w_1^*$  with  $0 \le w_1^* \le 10$  gives the same (and therefore optimal) utility.

Second solution: We can also find this via computation: under the condition  $w_2 = 10 - w_1$  we have:

 $\overline{w_1C + w_2P} = w_110 + w_210 = w_110 + (10 - w_1)10 = 100,$ 

and  $\operatorname{Cov}(C, P) = \operatorname{Cov}(C, C) = 4$  (since P = C, or we can derive this using the fact that  $\operatorname{Corr}(P, C) = 1$  since they are the same instrument). Hence

 $Var(w_1C + w_2P) = w_1^2 4 + 2w_1w_2 4 + w_2^2 4$ =  $w_1^2 4 + 2w_1(10 - w_1) 4 + (10 - w_1)^2 4 = 400$ and hence the Markowitz utility is  $100 - \mu 400$ , which is independent of  $w_1$ . Hence any  $w_1^*$  with  $0 \le w_1^* \le 10$  gives the optimal utility.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z4, CANADA, AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z2, CANADA.

E-mail address: jf@cs.ubc.ca or jf@math.ubc.ca

URL: http://www.math.ubc.ca/~jf