

## Math 340 Note Sheet for Midterm, Fall 2014

$$\text{ValueAliceAnnouncesPure}(A) = \max_i \text{MinEntry of } i\text{-th row of } A = \max_i \min_j a_{ij}$$

$$\text{ValueBettyAliceAnnouncesPure}(A) = \min_j \text{MinEntry of } j\text{-th column of } A = \min_j \max_i a_{ij}$$

$$\text{DualityGap} = (\text{ValueBettyAnnouncesPure}) - (\text{ValueAliceAnnouncesPure})$$

The value of Alice announces a mixed strategy is

$$\min_{\vec{p} \text{ stoch}} \text{MinEntry}(\vec{p}^T A)$$

Is given by LP

$$\begin{aligned} \max v \quad \text{s.t.} \quad & \vec{p}^T A \geq [v \ v \ \dots \ v], \\ & p_1 + \dots + p_m = 1, \quad p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

If all entries of  $A$  are positive this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \max v \quad \text{s.t.} \quad & \vec{p}^T A \geq [v \ v \ \dots \ v], \\ & p_1 + \dots + p_m \leq 1, \quad v, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For example,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{gives} \quad v \leq p_1 + 3p_2, \quad v \leq 2p_1 + 4p_2, \quad \text{etc.}$$

If  $A$  is  $m \times n$  and  $n < m$ , then we know that there is an optimum strategy where at most  $n$  of  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  are zero.

If  $A$  is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix, then either (1) the duality gap is zero, or (2) Alice and Betty have mixed strategies where the values are balanced, e.g.,

$$\vec{p}^T A = [v \ v]$$

for Alice.

For any stochastic  $\vec{p}$  and  $\vec{q}$  we have

$$\text{MinEntry}(\vec{p}^T A) \leq \text{MaxEntry}(A\vec{q})$$

and if these are equal then this common value is the value of (the mixed strategy games of  $A$ ).

LP standard form: maximize  $\vec{c} \cdot \vec{x}$ , subject to  $A\vec{x} \leq \vec{b}$ ,  $\vec{x} \geq \vec{0}$ .

Unbounded LP: A variable enters, but nothing leaves.

2-phase method: (1) introduce  $x_0$  on right, (2) pivot  $x_0$  into the basis for a feasible dictionary, and try to maximize  $w = -x_0$ , (3) if  $w$  reaches 0, pivot  $x_0$  out of dictionary and eliminate all  $x_0$ ; e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} x_4 &= -7 + \dots + x_0 & x_0 \text{ enters, } x_9 \text{ leaves} \\ x_9 &= -8 + \dots + x_0 \end{aligned}$$

Degenerate pivots: say  $x_5$  enters, and have  $x_3 = 0 + x_2 - 2x_5 + \dots$ . Then  $x_3$  cannot tolerate any positive  $x_5$  value, and leaves without changing the basic feasible solution (and  $z$  value). Degenerate pivots not necessarily bad, but cycling can only occur when all pivots in the cycle are degenerate.

Perturbation method: Add  $\epsilon_1$  to first inequality,  $\epsilon_2$  to second inequality, etc.,  $1 \gg \epsilon_1 \gg \epsilon_2 \gg \dots$ . Never has a degenerate pivot (wrt the  $\epsilon_i$ 's), since dictionary pivots represent invertible linear transformations (which can't have a row of zeros). In more detail, we have

$$\vec{x}_B = A_B^{-1}(\vec{b} + \vec{\epsilon} - A_N \vec{x}_N)$$

and since  $A_B$  is the inverse of a matrix, it cannot have a row of all 0's, and hence each entry of  $A_B^{-1}\vec{\epsilon}$  is nonzero.