## Solutions: Homework \#4

1. 

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrr}
w_{1} & = & 1 & -x_{1} & -x_{2} \\
w_{2} & = & -1 & -2 x_{1} & +x_{2} \\
w_{3} & = & -4 & +3 x_{1} & +2 x_{2} \\
\hline \zeta & = & & 3 x_{1} & +x_{2}
\end{array}
$$

This is not feasible; we use the two-phase method. Introduce $x_{0}$ and maximize $w=-x_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rlrrrr}
w_{1} & = & 1 & -x_{1} & -x_{2} & +x_{0} \\
w_{2} & = & -1 & -2 x_{1} & +x_{2} & +x_{0} \\
w_{3} & = & -4 & -3 x_{1} & +2 x_{2} & +x_{0} \\
\hline \zeta & = & & & -x_{0}
\end{array}
$$

The most negative variable is $w_{3}$, so $x_{0}$ enters and $w_{3}$ leaves.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrrrr}
x_{0} & = & 4 & -3 x_{1} & -2 x_{2} & +w_{3} \\
w_{1} & = & 5 & -4 x_{1} & -3 x_{2} & +w_{3} \\
w_{2} & = & 3 & -5 x_{1} & -x_{2} & +w_{3} \\
\hline \zeta & = & -4 & +3 x_{1} & +2 x_{2} & -w_{3}
\end{array}
$$

$x_{1}$ enters and $w_{2}$ leaves:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrrrl}
x_{0} & = & 11 / 5 & -(7 / 5) x_{2} & +(3 / 5) w_{2} & +(2 / 5) w_{3} \\
x_{1} & = & 3 / 5 & -(1 / 5) x_{2} & -(1 / 5) w_{2} & +(1 / 5) w_{3} \\
w_{1} & = & 13 / 5 & -(11 / 5) x_{2} & +(4 / 5) w_{2} & +(1 / 5) w_{3} \\
\hline \zeta & = & -11 / 5 & +(7 / 5) x_{2} & -(3 / 5) w_{2} & -(2 / 5) w_{3}
\end{array}
$$

$x_{2}$ enters and $w_{1}$ leaves

$$
\begin{array}{rlrlll}
x_{0} & = & 6 / 11 & +(7 / 11) w_{1} & +(1 / 11) w_{2} & +(3 / 11) w_{3} \\
x_{1} & = & 4 / 11 & +(1 / 11) w_{1} & -(3 / 11) w_{2} & +(2 / 11) w_{3} \\
x_{2} & = & 13 / 11 & -(5 / 11) w_{1} & +(4 / 11) w_{2} & +(1 / 11) w_{3} \\
\hline \zeta & = & -6 / 11 & -(7 / 11) w_{1} & -(1 / 11) w_{2} & -(3 / 11) w_{3}
\end{array}
$$

The maximum value of $\zeta=-6 / 11$. Hence the minimum value of $x_{0}=6 / 11$. Since this is non-zero, we conclude that the original LP problem is not feasible.
2. Again, we introduce slacks and then $x_{0}$ to the right-hand-sides.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrll}
w_{1} & = & 7 & -x_{1} & +x_{0} \\
w_{2} & = & -1 & +x_{1} & +x_{0} \\
w_{3} & = & -4 & +x_{1} & +x_{0} \\
\hline \zeta & = & & & -x_{0}
\end{array}
$$

(You could omit the $x_{0}$ from the $w_{1}$ line; the dictionaries would be slightly different.) We pivot $x_{0}$ in and $w_{3}$, which has the most negative constant, leaves.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrll}
w_{1} & = & 11 & -2 x_{1} & +w_{3} \\
w_{2} & = & 3 & & +w_{3} \\
x_{0} & = & 4 & -x_{1} & +w_{3} \\
\hline \zeta & = & -4 & +x_{1} & -w_{3}
\end{array}
$$

So $x_{1}$ enters and $x_{0}$ leaves.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
w_{1} & =3 & +2 x_{0} & -w_{3} \\
w_{2} & =3 & & +w_{3} \\
x_{1} & =4 & -x_{0} & +w_{3} \\
\hline \zeta & = & -x_{0}
\end{array}
$$

So $x_{0}$ is eliminated and we bring in the old objective:

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{1} & = & 3 & -w_{3} \\
w_{2} & = & 3 & +w_{3} \\
x_{1} & = & 4 & +w_{3} \\
\hline \zeta & = & 12 & +3 w_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $w_{3}$ enters and $w_{1}$ leaves and we get:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrr}
w_{3} & =3 & -w_{1} \\
w_{2} & =6 & -w_{1} \\
x_{1} & =7 & -w_{1} \\
\hline \zeta & =21 & -3 w_{1}
\end{array}
$$

This is a final dictionary, so we are done.
Had we pivoted $w_{2}$ instead of $x_{0}$ into the first dictionary, we would have obtained

$$
\begin{array}{rlrrr}
w_{1} & = & 8 & -2 x_{1} & +w_{2} \\
x_{0} & = & 1 & -x_{1} & +w_{2} \\
w_{3} & = & -3 & & \\
\hline \zeta & = & -1 & +x_{1} & -w_{2} \\
\hline \zeta
\end{array}
$$

In this case we get a negative constant in the $w_{3}$ row.
3. (a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{1} & =2+\epsilon_{1} & -x_{1} & \\
w_{2} & =3+\epsilon_{2} & & -x_{2} \\
w_{3} & =5+\epsilon_{3} & -x_{1} & -x_{2} \\
\hline \zeta & = & 2 x_{1} & +x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$x_{2}$ enters and $w_{2}$ leaves.

$$
\begin{array}{llrrr}
w_{1} & = & 2+\epsilon_{1} & -x_{1} & \\
x_{2} & = & 3+\epsilon_{2} & & -w_{2} \\
w_{3} & = & 2-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3} & -x_{1} & +w_{2} \\
\hline \zeta & = & 3+\epsilon_{2} & +2 x_{1} & -w_{2}
\end{array}
$$

$x_{1}$ enters; since $2+\epsilon_{1}>2-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}$ (we'd have a tie if the $\epsilon_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ s weren't there), $w_{3}$ leaves:

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrr}
w_{1} & = & \epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{3} & +w_{3} & -w_{2} \\
x_{2} & = & 3+\epsilon_{2} & & -w_{2} \\
x_{1} & = & 2-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3} & -w_{3} & +w_{2} \\
\hline \zeta & = & 7-\epsilon_{2}+2 \epsilon_{3} & -2 w_{3} & +w_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Now $w_{2}$ enters and $w_{1}$ leaves (this would be a degenerate pivot if not for the $\epsilon_{i}$ 's).

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrr}
w_{2} & = & \epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{3} & +w_{3} & -w_{1} \\
x_{2} & = & 3-\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{3} & -w_{3} & +w_{1} \\
x_{1} & = & 2+\epsilon_{1} & & -w_{1} \\
\hline \zeta & = & 7+\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{3} & -w_{3} & -w_{1}
\end{array}
$$

This dictionary is final, and so the optimal solution is $x_{1}=2$, $x_{2}=3$. A picture is given Figure 1.
(b) The dictionaries look the same, with $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{3}$ interchanged. So the first dictionary is

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
w_{1} & = & 2+\epsilon_{3} & -x_{1} \\
w_{2} & =3+\epsilon_{2} & & -x_{2} \\
w_{3} & =5+\epsilon_{1} & -x_{1} & -x_{2} \\
\hline \zeta & = & 2 x_{1} & +x_{2}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 1: Drawing for the first simplex method
and the first pivot is $x_{2}$ enters, $w_{2}$ leaves, as before. But on the second pivot $x_{1}$ enters and $w_{1}$ leaves, since the the second dictionary looks like:

$$
\begin{array}{lrrrr}
w_{1} & = & 2+\epsilon_{3} & -x_{1} & \\
x_{2} & = & 3+\epsilon_{2} & & -w_{2} \\
w_{3} & = & 2-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{1} & -x_{1} & +w_{2} \\
\hline \zeta & = & 3+\epsilon_{2} & +2 x_{1} & -w_{2}
\end{array}
$$

and $2+\epsilon_{3}<2-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{1}$. Thus we get to the final dictionary

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrr}
w_{3} & = & -\epsilon_{3}-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{1} & +w_{1} & +w_{2} \\
x_{2} & = & 3+\epsilon_{2} & & -w_{2} \\
x_{1} & = & 2+\epsilon_{3} & -w_{1} & \\
\hline \zeta & = & 7+2 \epsilon_{3}+\epsilon_{2} & -2 w_{1} & -w_{2}
\end{array}
$$

A picture is give in Figure 2.
(c) Note that this final dictionary is different, with different basic variables! Also, we took one fewer pivots this new way.
Note also that this shows there is more than one final dictionary here. For the future, this means that there is more than one optimal solution for the dual problem; this is due to the three lines coinciding at the optimal solution for the primal LP.


Figure 2: Drawing for the second simplex method, i.e., $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{3}$ interchanged

When we perturb a degenerate problem, we can expect more than one geometry to emerge depending on the perturbation. The perturbed problems and the $\epsilon_{i}$ 's are guides to which pivots to take, so different geometries give rise to different sequences of dictionaries. There are many other comments one could make along these lines (this is not a threat).

