

"Acceptance Problem"

Thm: $A_{TM} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is Turing machine that accepts } w \}$ is undecidable.

Pf: Assume H is a T.m. that decides A_{TM} .

{ always halt in q_{acc} or q_{rej}

SELF CONTRADICTION

Use H to construct a new T.m., D as follows:

— on input w ① check if $w = \langle M \rangle$ for some M .

② if so, D outputs the (negation) opposite of H on $\langle M, \langle M \rangle \rangle$.
if not, doesn't matter what you do (halt! q_{acc}, q_{rej})

[Opposite: accept if H ends in q_{rej} , reject -- q_{acc}]

Given D : What is the result of D on input $\langle D \rangle$?

Either { D accepts $\langle D \rangle$: $\Rightarrow H$ rejects $\langle D, \langle D \rangle \rangle$ $\xrightarrow{\text{by def of } H, A_{TM}}$ D , on input $\langle D \rangle$ rejects
 D rejects $\langle D \rangle$: -- accept -- $\Rightarrow D$ accepts $\langle D \rangle$

Imagine that we use U , universal TM, for H

U given $\langle M, w \rangle$ "interprets" "simulates" M on w { q_{acc} if M on w accepts
 q_{rej} if M on w rejects
 loops if M on w "loops"

Why is there no contradiction?

~~fake~~ D is built on running H (now U) and doing opposite

IF $\langle M, w \rangle$ accepts, then D on $\langle M, w \rangle$ q_{rej}

$\langle M, w \rangle$ is not accepted { either rejects -- q_{acc}
 loops -- D loops

~~fake~~ doesn't halt

Can ~~fake~~ D accept $\langle D \rangle$ \Rightarrow contradiction
 " reject " \Rightarrow "
 D ~~fake~~ must loop !!

Theorem: Take any universal TM, U . Let (2)

D' be built as follows: on input s

(1) check if $s = \langle M \rangle$ of some M

(2) if so, "run" U on $\langle M, \langle M \rangle \rangle$
if not, do whatever

(3) if/when we halt, print the opposite $acc \rightarrow rej$
 $rej \rightarrow acc$

Then: D' on input $\langle D' \rangle$ doesn't halt, i.e. loops.

Feeding $\langle D', \langle D' \rangle \rangle$ into D' (really will run U on it then do something at the end)

M accept w : we halt in q_{acc}

M does not reject w : $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{" " " } q_{rej} \\ \text{we loop (don't halt)} \end{array} \right.$

Universal	Decider
✓	✓
✓	✓
✓	X 😊

Theorem: Complement of A_{TM} , $\overline{A_{TM}}$,

$\overline{A_{TM}} = \{ s \mid \begin{array}{l} s \text{ is not equal to } \langle M, w \rangle \\ \text{s.t. } M \text{ accepts } w \end{array} \}$ is not recognizable

(Analogy: If L_1 and L_2 regular, $L_1 \cap L_2$ regular.)

So if $L_1 \cap L_2$ not regular, one of L_1, L_2 is not regular.

Show L_1 is not regular, it's enough to show that $L_1 \cap ab^*c^*$ is not regular.

(Fact: If L is recognized by a TM and \overline{L} " " " " } then L is decidable.)

Since A_{TM} is recog, $\overline{A_{TM}}$ isn't, otherwise A_{TM} would be decidable