

HOMEWORK 4, CPSC 421/501, FALL 2015

JOEL FRIEDMAN

Copyright: Copyright Joel Friedman 2015. Not to be copied, used, or revised without explicit written permission from the copyright owner.

1. Show that P is closed under union, concatenation, and star. In other words, show that if $L_1, L_2 \in P$, then $L_1 \cup L_2$, $L_1 \circ L_2$, and L_1^* are in P. [Hint: For the operation “star,” you might try dynamic programming.]

2. Write a 3CNF formula for the Boolean formula:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 \text{ AND } x_2 \text{ AND } \dots \text{ AND } x_{n-1}) \text{ IMPLIES } x_n$$

whose size is linear in n . [Hint: you may have to introduce some additional variables.]

3. Let

$\text{SIMPLE-NP} = \{\langle M, w, 1^t \rangle \mid M \text{ is a NTM that accepts } w \text{ on some computation path within time } t\}$,

i.e., the language consisting of a non-deterministic Turing machine, M , an input, w , to M , such that at least one computation path halts within time t and accepts w . Show that the above language is NP-complete (from scratch), i.e., show that SIMPLE-NP is in NP, and that any language in NP can be reduced to SIMPLE-NP. (Note that the time t is specified in unary, i.e., as a string of t 1's.) Is the NP-completeness of SIMPLE-NP as surprising as that of SAT or SUBSET-SUM? Explain.

The above idea will give us other complete problems in various other classes.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z4, CANADA, AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z2, CANADA.

E-mail address: jf@cs.ubc.ca or jf@math.ubc.ca

URL: <http://www.math.ubc.ca/~jf>