

'Abstract away' what we don't need

Oct 28, 2015
CPSC 421/501

Axioms:

- sets P, \mathcal{L} , Result: $P \times \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \{\text{yes, no, nothknt}\}$
(denote $P[i]$)

- have EncodeBoth: $P \times \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$
denoted $\langle P, i \rangle$, there is a $U \in P$ s.t.

$$\text{Result}(U, \langle P, i \rangle) = P[i]$$

or $U[\langle P, i \rangle] = P[i]$

- have EncodeProg: $P \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$
denote $\langle P \rangle$ s.t. for any $P \in \mathcal{P} \exists P' \in \mathcal{P}$ s.t.

$$** P'[\langle Q \rangle] = P[\langle Q, \langle Q \rangle \rangle] \text{ for all } Q$$

- Show acceptance is undecidable
(abstract)

- Midterms topics, sample problems
- homework coming back today

Midterm on Oct 30

Covers up to Oct 16 -

showed A_{TM} is undecidable
(but recognizable)

Today following §6 of Handout

(Midterm covers §1-4 of handout)

Now claim:

$$A_p = L_{\text{yes}} = \{ \langle P, i \rangle \mid P[i] = \text{yes} \}$$

is recognizable (by U)
but undecidable:

Proof: Say H decides $A_p = L_{\text{yes}}$.

$$\text{i.e. } H[i] = \begin{cases} \text{yes} & \text{if } i \in A_p \\ \text{no} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let:

$$(***) D[\langle Q \rangle] = \neg H[\langle Q, \langle Q \rangle \rangle].$$

Claim: $D[\langle D \rangle] = \text{yes or no,}$

but we get a contradiction either way.

- for all $P \in \mathcal{P}, \exists P' \in \mathcal{P}$ s.t.

$$* P'[i] = \neg P[i], \text{ where}$$

$\neg \text{yes} = \text{no}, \neg \text{no} = \text{yes}, \neg \text{nothknt} = \text{nothknt}$

* : add a bit of code at the end

** : "beginning"

input is $\langle Q \rangle \rightarrow$ find the

"string" for $\langle Q, \langle Q \rangle \rangle$

run P on $\langle Q, \langle Q \rangle \rangle$

* \Rightarrow negation

** \Rightarrow self-referencing...

Midterm topics:

Handout §1-4

- Paradoxes

- Self-referencing with negation
- Set of all sets that don't contain themselves (Russell's paradox)

- Thm: $|S| < |\text{Power}(S)|$

If $f: S \rightarrow \text{Power}(S)$ that is surjective: let

$$T = \{s \in S \mid s \notin f(s)\}$$

- Berry Paradox (Exercises: moo two)
- "This is a lie"

- Countable versus uncountable

- {Languages} usually uncountable

By

$$D[\langle Q \rangle] = \text{yes if}$$

$$\neg H[\langle Q, \langle Q \rangle \rangle] = \text{yes}$$

$$H[\langle Q, \langle Q \rangle \rangle] = \text{no}$$

$$Q \text{ on input } \langle Q \rangle = Q[\langle Q \rangle] = \text{no}$$

$$D \text{ on input } \langle Q \rangle = \text{yes} \Rightarrow$$

$$Q \text{ on input } \langle Q \rangle = \text{no}$$

$$\text{So } D \text{ on input } \langle D \rangle = \text{yes}$$

$$\Rightarrow D \text{ on input } \langle D \rangle = \text{no}$$

$$= D \text{ on input } \langle D \rangle = \text{no} \Rightarrow \text{similar contradiction}$$



- {Programs} or {Algorithms} usually countable

Ch. 3 Turing machines, multi-tape Turing machines

- ~~Ch 4~~: PALINDROME: takes order n^2 one tape, order n 2-tape

Ch 4: Counting, A_{TM} is recognizable, but undecidable