

GROUP HOMEWORK 3, CPSC 421/501, FALL 2025

JOEL FRIEDMAN

Copyright: Copyright Joel Friedman 2025. All rights reserved. Free to download for personal research and education needs (but see Disclaimer below). Otherwise not to be copied, used, or revised without explicit written permission from the copyright owner.

Disclaimer: The material may sketchy and/or contain errors, which I will elaborate upon and/or correct in class. For those not in CPSC 421/501: use this material at your own risk...

Please note:

- (1) You must justify all answers; no credit is given for a correct answer without justification.
- (2) Proofs should be written out formally.
- (3) You do not have to use LaTeX for homework, but **homework that is too difficult to read will not be graded.**
- (4) You may work together on homework in groups of up to four, **but you must submit a single homework as a group submission under Gradescope.**

- (0) Who are your group members? Please print if writing by hand.

- (1) What is wrong with the following proof that there exists no surjection from $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1)$ (the set of rational numbers, r , satisfying $0 \leq r < 1$):¹ *Assume there is a surjection $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1)$, i.e., there is a sequence $r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots$ such that each element of $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1)$ appears (at least) once. Write out the decimal expansion of each r_i (as we did when we proved that $\mathbb{R} \cap [0, 1)$ is uncountable). Let r be the number whose i -th digit (i.e., the digit in the 10^{-i} place) equals*

$$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r_i \text{'s } i\text{-th digit is not a 1, and} \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence r is not equal to any of the r_i . Hence, by contradiction, we see that there is no surjection from $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1)$.

(I've put all of this in *italics* to express the fact that there is an error somewhere.)

- (2) Exercise 9.2.6, parts (a)–(c), on the handout “Uncomputability in CPSC421/501.”

¹Thanks to ARS for suggesting this type of question.

- (3) Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$ be functions on sets A, B, C . Say that gf is injective and, in addition, $|A| = |B|$ (meaning there is a bijection from A to B), but A, B can be infinite sets. Is g necessarily injective? (Give a proof that g is injective or give examples of f, g where g is not injective).

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC
V6T 1Z4, CANADA.

Email address: `jf@cs.ubc.ca`

URL: `http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~jf`