Homework 1, CPSC 421/501, Fall 2023 Problems: Exercises 7.2.5, 7.2.10, 7.2.23, 7.2.24 7.2.5 $(\alpha) f(A) = \emptyset$, and $f(C) = \{A, B, C\} = P$ (b) Since A & f(A), A ET Since (Ef(C), (#T We know $T \neq f(A)$, since $A \notin f(A)$ but AET. We know T = f(C) since $C \in f(c)$ but $C \notin T$.

(C) Now we know f(B) = {A, C}, and have B&E(B) So BET. Since C & T and A,B & T, we have $T = \{A, B\}.$ _____ 7,2.16 (a) T = { David} (b) If David does not love themself, then David ET and {People Whom David Loves} = \$\$. So David & { People Whom David Loves } but David ET So T \$ {People Whom David Loves}

7.2.23 (a) Oppenheimer E f (A), but we don't know which other movies lie in f(A) (b) g defined by g (Oppenheimer) = A g(Barbie) = B q (Encounters) = C g(2001) = D (C) Oppenheimer E f (A) = f (glopperheimer) Berbie & f(B) = f(g(Berbie)) Encounters & f(C) = f(g(Encounters))

 $2001 \in f(0) = f(g(2001))$

Hence $T = \{ s \mid s \notin f(g(s)) \}$

= { Barbie, Encounters }

We have ? Je have : T = f(gl Oppenheimer)), since Oppenheumer E f(glOppenheumer) but Openheumer & T. Similarly T & f (g(Barbie)), since Barbie ∉ f (g(Burbie)) but Barbie ET Similarly T & f(g(s)) for all SES. Hence if T is the set of movies Seen by X, then $X \notin \{A, B, C, D\}$.

7.2.24 We have the following information

Oppenbeimer A Barbie B 2001 C Encounters D Here a line (edge) means that we know if a movie was seen by a person - it doesn't matter if it was ar wasn't. For A, B, D there are only arrows for Cppenheimer and Barbie é

Cppenheimer T > ABarbie KB (ignore) (ignore) (ignore) D So any map g: S-35' that is built from this information

can only have g(x) = A,B,D

if X = Oppenheimer, Burbie.

So one of A, B, D is not in

the image of g.

(Note that you don't really need

to draw the graph above, but it may help. This type of problem goes under the umbrella terms "matching" or "bipartita metching." If you have solved Sudoku puzzles, you have likely appealed to similar ideas.)