GROUP HOMEWORK 3, CPSC 421/501, FALL 2023

JOEL FRIEDMAN

Copyright: Copyright Joel Friedman 2023. Not to be copied, used, or revised without explicit written permission from the copyright owner.

Please note:

- (1) You must justify all answers; no credit is given for a correct answer without justification.
- (2) Proofs should be written out formally.
- (3) You do not have to use LaTeX for homework, but homework that is too difficult to read will not be graded.
- (4) You may work together on homework in groups of up to four, but you must submit a single homework as a group submission under Gradescope.
- (1) Exercise 7.2.26(a,b,d,f) on the handout "Uncomputability in CPSC421/501." You may use any result proven in class, but nothing more.
- (2) (a) Show that if $L \subset \Sigma^*_{ASCII}$ is recognizable but undecidable, then the complement of L, i.e., $\Sigma^*_{ASCII} \setminus L$, is unrecognizable. You may use any result proven in class, but nothing more.
 - (b) **Extra Credit:** Exercise 7.2.26(g) on the handout "Uncomputability in CPSC421/501." You may use any result proven in class, and part (a) above, but nothing more.

You may use the following identities in the problem below:

$$\binom{2}{2} + \binom{3}{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{2} = \binom{n+1}{3},$$

(where $\binom{n}{k}$ is the binomial coefficient "*n* choose *k*," i.e. n!/(k!(n-k)!)), and

$$1 + 2^2 + 3^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.$$

Research supported in part by an NSERC grant.

JOEL FRIEDMAN

(3) Let i_1, i_2, \ldots be a sequence elements of Σ^*_{ASCII} such that each element of Σ^*_{ASCII} appears exactly once in this sequence.¹ Say that p is a Python program, and we want to know if p accepts at least one input. We can do this by the following algorithm:

Phase 1: simulate p for one step on input i_1 ;

Phase 2: simulate p for two steps on i_1 and one step on i_2 ;

Phase 3: simulate p for three steps on i_1 , for two steps on i_2 , and for one step on i_3 ;

etc.:

Phase k: on the k-th phase, for j = 1, 2, ..., k we simulate p for k - j + 1 steps on i_j ;

Consider the total number of steps run in each phase; for example, Phase 3 has 6 steps total, and the total number of steps in Phases 1 to 3 is 1 + 3 + 6 = 10. (Our convention is that when you simulate p on an input for some number of steps, you forget all previous simulations of p on any input.) Say that p accepts only one input, namely i_{ℓ} , and that p requires m program steps to do so.

(a) Show that the total number of steps until the above algorithm stops (i.e., when it detects that p accepts i_{ℓ} after m steps) is **exactly**

$$(1/6)(\ell + m)^3 + O(1)(\ell + m)^2,$$

where the O(1) refers to an "order 1 term," i.e., a function of ℓ, m that is bounded by a constant for $\ell + m$ sufficiently large. By **exactly** we mean that $(1/6)(\ell + m)^3 + O(1)(\ell + m)^2$ is both a lower bound and an upper bound (for different values of O(1)).

(b) Say that we use the following variant: for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the k-the phase consists of simulating k steps of p on each of i_1, \ldots, i_k . Show that the total number of steps needed is **exactly**

 $(1/3)(\max(\ell, m))^3 + O(1)(\max(\ell, m))^2.$

- (c) Say that we use the following variant: for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the k-the phase consists of simulating 5k steps of p on each of i_1, \ldots, i_{5k} . Show that the total number of steps needed is **exactly** $c(\max(\ell, m))^3 + O(1)(\max(\ell, m))^2$ for some constant, c. What is c?
- (d) Using the previous part, for any constant c > 0, give a variant of the above algorithm that takes **at most** $c(\max(\ell, m))^3 + O(1)(\max(\ell, m))^2$ steps.
- (4) **Extra Credit:** Continuing with the setup and notation as in the previous problem:
 - (a) Describe a variant of the above algorithm that uses no more than $O(1)(\max(\ell, m))^2$ steps.
 - (b) Prove that there is a constant c > 0 such that any such algorithm requires at least $c(\max(\ell, m))^2$ steps for $\max(\ell, m)$ sufficiently large, and give such a constant, c. [This implies that there is a c > 0 for

 $\mathbf{2}$

¹ In CPSC 421/501, we typically do this by listing the strings according to their length (and lexicographical order for strings of equal length), so that $i_1 = \epsilon$ (which is the single string of length 0), i_2, \ldots, i_{129} are the elements of Σ_{ASCII} , $i_{130}, \ldots, i_{1+128+128^2}$ are the elements of Σ_{ASCII}^2 , etc.

which this holds for all $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$, but it is simpler to find a *c* that holds when $\max(\ell, m)$ is sufficiently large.]

Department of Computer Science, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, CANADA.

V6T 1Z4, CANADA. E-mail address: jf@cs.ubc.ca URL: http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~jf