

**SUPPLEMENTAL MIDTERM PRACTICE, CPSC 421/501, FALL
2023**

JOEL FRIEDMAN

DOCUMENT UNDER CONSTRUCTION AND IS INCOMPLETE

Copyright: Copyright Joel Friedman 2023. Not to be copied, used, or revised without explicit written permission from the copyright owner.

- (1) For each of ACCEPTANCE, NON-ACCEPTANCE, NON-PYTHON, HALTING, REJECTING, LOOPING on page 8 of the handout: “Uncomputability in CPSC 421/501,” which are decidable? Which are recognizable? Explain.
- (2) Explain how we proved in the first few weeks of classes that
 $\text{NON-SELF-ACCEPTING} = \text{GROUCHO-MARX-SELF} = \{p \in \Sigma_{\text{ASCII}}^* \mid p \notin \text{LanguageRecBy}(p)\}$
is unrecognizable, by appealing to Cantor’s theorem (which states that any map $f: S \rightarrow \text{Power}(S)$ is not surjective). In particular, what values of S and f did we use?
- (3) Which of the following are true? Explain: explain why they are (always) true, or give a counterexample and explain why this is a counterexample.
 - (a) If L_1, L_2 are decidable, then $L_1 \setminus L_2$ is decidable.
 - (b) If L_1, L_2 are undecidable, then $L_1 \setminus L_2$ is undecidable.
 - (c) If L_1, L_2 are recognizable, then $L_1 \setminus L_2$ is recognizable.
 - (d) If L_1, L_2 are unrecognizable, then $L_1 \setminus L_2$ is unrecognizable.
- (4) Same as Question (3), where $L_1 \setminus L_2$ is replaced with $L_1 \cup L_2$.
- (5) Same as Question (3), where $L_1 \setminus L_2$ is replaced with $L_1 \cap L_2$.
- (6) MORE PROBLEMS MAY BE ADDED LATER.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC
V6T 1Z4, CANADA.

E-mail address: jf@cs.ubc.ca

URL: <http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~jf>

Research supported in part by an NSERC grant.