CPSC Final Practice 2023 (1) (a) True: The Boolean variables in the proof of the Cook-Lenn theorem can be used to build a circuit of size O(tini2) for any Turing machine that takes time time (assuming this in for all n). (b) False: Formulas are very special cases of curavits; having a circuit of size O(tin)2) does not imply that you have an equivalent Circuit of size O(tiniz) (or any polyins). (c) True; see a homework problem this year, which reduces SUBSET-SUM to PARTITION. (d) True; if P=NP, then any LEP s.t. L # \$ or Z for some I is NP-complete. (e) True; donc in class; an alternate method

was done on the homework, using  $Th_{2,n}(x_{1,--},x_n)$ = Th 2, 1/2 (X, -, Xn/2) V Th 2, 1/2 (Xn/2+1, ---, Xn)  $\vee \left( \left( X_{1} \vee \dots \vee X_{n/2} \right) \wedge \left( X_{n/2} \vee \dots \vee X_{n} \right) \right)$ (f) True; a formula of size O(nlognn) in X1,--, Xn gives a circuit of size O(nlog2n) (g) False; Subbotonskaya's 1961 result shows Parity n requires formula size at > N3/2 (later results proved  $\ge N^{2-\varepsilon}$  for all  $\varepsilon^{>0}$ ). (h) True?  $Parity (X_{1,-}, X_{n}) = Parity (X_{1,-}, X_{n})_{2}$ € Parityn/2 (Xn/2+1, --, Xn) with n even shows that Ln ≤ 4 Ln/2 For n even, where Lm = min size for Paritym

 $(2) \times ( \rightleftharpoons \times_1 \lor \times_1 \lor \times_1 \lor )$ X2 VXZVXYV7X5 ED  $(X_2 \vee X_3 \vee Z_1) \wedge (\neg Z_1 \vee X_4 \vee \neg X_5)$  is setisfiable, hence both are true iff  $(X_1 \vee X_1 \vee X_1) \wedge (X_2 \vee X_3 \vee Z_1) \wedge (\neg Z_1 \vee X_4 \vee \neg X_5)$ is setisfiable. (3) No- a 3CNF is either always true, or false on at least  $\frac{1}{8}$  of the possible settings of its variables to T,F. Since X2 × X3 × X4 × 7 X5 is take on only 16 of the settings of its variables to T,F (namely X2=X3=Xy=F and X5=T and

the other settings of its other variables), X2 × X3 × X4 - 1×5 cannot be written as a 3CNF.