

**SUPPLEMENTAL FINAL PRACTICE: SOLUTIONS**  
**CPSC 421/501, FALL 2021**

JOEL FRIEDMAN

**DOCUMENT UNDER CONSTRUCTION AND IS INCOMPLETE**

**Copyright:** Copyright Joel Friedman, December 2021. Not to be copied, used, or revised without explicit written permission from the copyright owner.

**In all the exercises below, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $C_k$  be, as usual,**

$$C_k = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid \text{the } k\text{-th last symbol of } w \text{ is } a\},$$

**where  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ .**

(1) True/False:

- (a) The oracle ACCEPTANCE is less powerful than the oracle ACCEPTANCE<sup>ACCEPTANCE</sup> in Turing machine computations.

**Solution:** True, see Exercise 8.7.4 of the handout on Uncomputability OR Ruining the Suprises in CPSC421

- (b) The oracle ACCEPTANCE is less powerful than the oracle ACCEPTANCE<sup>ACCEPTANCE<sup>ACCEPTANCE</sup></sup> in Turing machine computations.

**Solution:** True, see Exercise 8.7.4 of the handout on Uncomputability OR Ruining the Suprises in CPSC421

- (c) The oracle ACCEPTANCE is less powerful than the oracle HALT in Turing machine computations.

**Solution:** False: they are equally powerful: this was probably mentioned in class, see perhaps Uncomputability OR Ruining the Suprises in CPSC421, and/or [Sip]. The point is that if you want to solve an instance of the acceptance problem with a halting oracle, then you can just “postprocess” the machine,  $M$ , to loop when it reaches the reject state, obtaining a machine  $M'$  and then call the halting oracle on  $M'$  (with the same input); similarly one can solve an instance of the halting problem by taking a Turing machine,  $M$ , and add some postprocessing to  $M$ , taking all transitions to the reject state and substituting a transition to the accept state, to obtain a machine,  $M'$ , whereupon acceptance in  $M'$  is equivalent to halting on  $M$ .

- (d) The oracle ACCEPTANCE is more powerful than the oracle HALT in Turing machine computations.

**Solution:** False, see above.

- (e) The oracle ACCEPTANCE is just as powerful as the oracle HALT in Turing machine computations.

**Solution:** True, see above.

- (f) The set of Turing machines is countably infinite.

**Solution:** False. See Homework 8 solutions.

- (g) The set of standardized Turing machines is countably infinite.

**Solution:** True. See Homework 8 solutions.

- (h) The set of standardized Turing machines with oracle HALT is countably infinite.

**Solution:** True. Once an oracle is fixed, the oracle machine runs just like a regular Turing machine with a bit more conventions regarding the oracle tape and calls. Then consider the above two questions.

- (i) The set of standardized Turing machines with oracle

$\text{HALT}^{\text{ACCEPTANCE}}$

is countably infinite.

**Solution:** True. Once an oracle is fixed, the oracle machine runs just like a regular Turing machine with a bit more conventions regarding the oracle tape and calls. Then consider the above two questions.

- (j) MORE PROBLEMS MAY BE ADDED LATER.

**Solution:**

- (k) MORE PROBLEMS MAY BE ADDED LATER.

**Solution:**

- (l) There exists an algorithm provably in P as of 2021 for the problem 2COLOUR.

**Solution:** True.

- (m) If 3COLOUR turns out to be in P, then  $P = NP$ .

**Solution:** True.

- (n) There exists an algorithm provably in P as of 2021 for the problem 3COLOUR, commonly known to most computer science theoreticians on this planet.

**Solution:** True: such a question illustrates the difficulty in asking many simple questions about NP, NP-completeness, etc., on an exam. As a related example, had we given the definition of NP-completeness this year, one could ask whether or not 3COLOUR is NP-complete, but not 2COLOUR, since 2COLOUR is NP-complete iff  $P = NP$ , which is currently unresolved (to the best of our knowledge, at least on this planet).

- (o) The oracle ACCEPTANCE is provably less powerful than the oracle  $\text{ACCEPTANCE}^{\text{ACCEPTANCE}^{\text{ACCEPTANCE}}}$  in Turing machine computations, by techniques commonly known to most computer science theoreticians on this planet as of this year, 2021.

**Solution:** True, but unlikely to appear on the 2021 final exam for reasons mentioned above.

- (2) True/False:
- (a) The set of all 2-tape Turing machines is countable.  
**Solution:** False.
  - (b) The set of all 2-tape standardized Turing machines is countable.  
**Solution:** True.
  - (c) The set of all algorithms that can be described by 2-tape Turing machines operating on a standardized alphabet (i.e.,  $\Sigma$  of the form  $[k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$ ) is countable.  
**Solution:** True: an algorithm does not care about the particular “names” of  $Q$  and  $\Gamma$ . Hence one can take each of  $Q, \Gamma$  to be a set of the form  $[k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$ ; since  $\Sigma$  is also of this form, this allows any 2-tape Turing machine can be standardized to give the same algorithm.
- (3) True/False (based on Homework 9):
- (a) The language CONNECTED, of descriptions of graphs that are connected, lies in P.  
**Solution:** True.
  - (b) The language CONNECTED, of descriptions of graphs that are connected, lies in NP.  
**Solution:** True.
  - (c) The language 2COLOUR, of descriptions of graphs that are (legally) 2-colourable, lies in P.  
**Solution:** True.
- (4) True/False:
- (a) The set of all possible configurations on a given Turing machine can be identified with a subset of all finite strings over some alphabet.  
**Solution:** True; see Homework 10.
  - (b) The set of all possible configurations on a given Turing machine is countable.  
**Solution:** True (follows from (a) above).
- (5) MORE PROBLEMS MAY BE ADDED LATER.  
**Solution:**
- (6) MORE PROBLEMS MAY BE ADDED LATER.  
**Solution:**

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC  
V6T 1Z4, CANADA.

*E-mail address:* `jf@cs.ubc.ca`

*URL:* `http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~jf`